

SYNTHESIS, STRUCTURE AND REDOX PROPERTIES OF A COPPER (I) COMPOUND INVOLVING MULTIRING NITROGEN-HETEROCYCLIC LIGAND

Nabanita Kundu

Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, Lady Brabourne College, P-1/2, Suhrawardy Avenue, Kolkata 700017, India.

ABSTRACT

Copper(I) complex $[Cu(L)(PPh_3)_2]$ $PF_6(1)$ containing a new biologically relevant heterocyclic bis-bidentate ligand viz. 3,3'-dipyridine-2-yl-[1.1']bi[imidazo[1,5-a]pyridineyl] (L) has been prepared and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Compound in its solid state structure shows $\pi - \pi - \pi$ sandwichnoncovalent interactions as well as hydrogen bonding interactions that play dominant roles in shaping the extended structure of the molecule. Electrochemically, these compounds are quite interesting, undergoing ligand-based oxidations in two successive one-electron steps.

Keywords: Copper(I) compound; N- heterocyclic ligand; X-ray diffraction analysis; $\pi - \pi - \pi$ sandwich noncovalent interactions; hydrogen bonding.

Introduction

Coordination compounds that combine redox active metals and non-innocent ligands, both capable of providing multiple electronic states, are the focus of a good number of recent publications because of their meaningful participations in catalytic and enzymatic reactions.^{1,2} Such species are known to play pivotal role during substrate turnovers by a host of metalloenzymes*viz*. pyruvate formate lyase,³ prostaglandin H synthase,⁴ DNA photolyase,⁵ cytochrome *c* peroxidase,⁶diol hydrase,⁷ biotin synthase,⁸lipoyl

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

synthase,⁹ribonucleotide reductase,¹⁰galactose oxidase,¹¹ lysine-2,3-aminomutase.¹² These enzymes containing redox-active metals and radical bearing residues *viz*. Tyr[,] Cys[,] Gly[,] Trp[,] and TrpH^{,+} are capable of storing and transferring redox equivalents to the biologically relevant target molecules as well as executing variety of complex reaction steps like proton transfer, single electron transfer, hydrogen atom abstraction, which are essential for the overall enzymatic actions.^{1,2}

Non-covalent interactions involving π -systems have been extensively studied in recent years.¹³ Such interactions play a crucial role in many frontline areas of contemporary science, from molecular biology to crystal engineering.¹⁴⁻¹⁵ For example, face to face π -stacking interactions involving aryl rings of nucleobase pairs are important for the stability of DNA double helix.^{13,16}Hydrogen bonds are also crucial and playdistinctive roles in structural chemistry and biology.^{15b}

We have recently synthesized^{17,18} a π -electron-deficient *N*-heterocyclic compound, viz., 3,3'-dipyridin-2-yl[1,1']bi[imidazo[1,5-a] pyridinyl] (L) containing a pair of biologically relevant¹⁹ imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine moieties. Herein, we report the synthesis of a copper(I) complex (**1**) of a nitrogen-rich electron deficient heterocyclic ligand (L). The compound has been characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. In addition, the redox behavior of the complex has been investigated

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

Bis-bidentate type ligand L was prepared as described elsewhere.^{17,18} Precursor complex [Cu(PPh₃)₂NO₃] was prepared following a literature method.²⁰All other reagents are commercially available and used as received. Solvents were reagent grade, dried by standard methods²¹ and distilled under nitrogen prior to their use.

2.2. Synthesis

$[Cu(L)(PPh_3)_2] PF_6$

To a stirred methanolic solution (40 mL) of L (39 mg, 0.1 mmol), solid $[Cu(PPh_3)_2NO_3]$ (65 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added in portions. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h when a yellow solution was obtained. To the solution was then added NH_4PF_6 (15 mg, 0.1 mmol) and stirred for further 0.5 h. Finally, the solution was filtered and kept in the air

for ca. two days. Yellow crystalline compound deposited at this stage was collected by filtration and dried in vacuum over CaCl₂. Yield: 28%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{60}H_{46}N_6CuF_6P_3$: C, 64.20; H, 4.10; N, 7.49. Found: C, 63.97; H, 4.13; N, 7.42%. FT-IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3463, 1587, 1498, 1481, 1434, 1313, 838, 786, 742, 696.

2.3. Physical measurements

Elemental (C, H, N, and S) analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer model 2400 Series II CHNS Analyzer. The IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu model 8400S FT-IR spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets. Cyclic voltammetry in CH₃CN was recorded on a BAS model 100 B/W electrochemical workstation using a platinum or a glassy carbon working and platinum wire counter electrodes. Ag/AgCl was used for references and Fc/Fc⁺ couple as the internal standard.²²

2.4. X-ray crystallography

Diffraction quality crystals of **1** (needle, yellow crystals, 0.30 x 0.10 x 0.10 mm³ obtained from methanol solution) were obtained from the designated solvents by slow evaporation at room temperature. Crystals were mounted on glass fibers and aligned on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer. Intensity data for compound **1** were measured employing a monochromatizedMoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) source using phi and omega scan techniques at 223(2) K. In all cases, absorption corrections based on multiscan using the SADABS software²³ were applied. The structures were solved by direct methods²⁴ and least square refinement [anisotropic displacement parameters, hydrogen atoms in the riding model approximation and a weighing scheme of the form $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ for $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3)$] was on F^2 .²⁴Bruker SHELXTL²⁵ was used both for structure solutions and refinements. Crystallographic parameters and the final residuals are given in Table 1.

4.3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Syntheses

The protocol followed for the synthesis of **1** is summarized in scheme 1. The *cisoid* mode of the ligand to generate $[Cu(PPh_3)_2(L)]^+$, we believe, is favored by the steric effect of the bulky coligands, stabilizing π - π - π interactions and tetrahedral environment around Cu(I). IR spectra of the complexes contain all the pertinent bands due to the coordinated bipyridine type ligands²⁶ appearing at ca. 1600, 1485, 1461, 1313, 1247, 770 cm⁻¹ region (compiled in

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

the experimental section) as prominent features. In the mononuclear copper(I) complex **1**, along with the signature bands²⁷ of the coordinated triphenylphosphine ligand at ca. 1434 and 696 cm⁻¹ also appears a strong band at 838 cm⁻¹ due to the PF_6^- counter anion.²⁸

3.2. Description of crystal structures

The molecular structure and atomic labeling scheme for **1** is shown in Figure 1. Selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Table 2. The complex crystallizes in a monoclinic space group P2(1)/n with eight molecular weight units per cell. The coordination environment around Cu(1) is distorted tetrahedral ($\tau_4 = 0.82$)²⁹ and is defined by two imidazole nitrogens N(1), N(4) in *cisoid* conformation and two phosphorous atoms P(1), P(2) from the triphenylphosphine co-ligands, leaving two pyridine nitrogen atoms free from coordination.

Of particular interest in this structure is the presence of two π - π - π sandwich interactions (Figure 2) involving one imidazole ring as central π system and one phenyl ring from a PPh₃ co-ligand and one azopy ring. The *cisoid* conformation of the ligand brings one of the phenyl rings of PPh₃ into close proximity to an imidazole ring to generate intramolecular π - π -stacking. The imidazole ring further participates in intermoleular π - π interactions with the azopy ring from an adjacent ligand strand. Here the centro-symmetric ligand is much short of planarity (twist angle about central im-im is ca. 31°), giving indications of the absence of intermolecular π - π - π interactions involving both sides of the ligand. The intramolecular π -stacking interactions are not quite of a classical type as judged by the dihedral angles (9.18 and 21.28°) between phenyl and im planes of both sides. One side deviates more from a classical nature than the other one. This is also reflected from the fact that some atoms of the phenyl ring of more deviated side come rather close to the centroid of the im, e.g. C(2E)-im_{centroid} and H(2E)-im_{centroid} distances are 3.132 Å and 2.970 Å, respectively. Intermolecular π -stackings are more classical in nature as evident from the dihedral angles (4.62 and 3.27°). As a consequence of two simultaneously existing intramolecular π - π -stacking interactions involving two phenyl rings from two PPh₃ existing one above and one below the central im rings, the P(1)-Cu(1)-P(2) bond angle is significantly enlarged (131.10(5)°) than the values observed in other closely related compounds.³⁰ The distortion induced by π - π stacking is also evident from Cu-P-C_{ph} bond angles. The Cu(1)-P(1)-C(2B) and Cu(1)-P(2)-C(1E) angles of 110.15 and 108.06° are much reduced in comparison to the other Cu-P-C_{ph}angles, ranging between 116-121°. Cu(I)-N bond distances (2.164(4) and 2.181(4) Å) are slightly longer than that observed in [Cu(Phen)(PPh₃)₂]NO₃.³⁰

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

Elongated Cu(I)-P distances (2.283(14) and 2.285(13) Å) are consistent with the corresponding values found in analogous compounds.³¹

3.3. Electrochemistry

The redox behavior of **1** has been examined by cyclic voltammetry (CV). Voltammogram of **1** (Figure 3) features a couple of oxidation processes involving electron transfers only on the ligand part of the compound. The product after second oxidation is quite unstable, survives only partially to show up in the reverse scan with diminished current height. However, at a much faster scan rate (ca. 2000 mVs⁻¹) and at a very low temperature (-30 °C) (Figure 3, inset) this product does survive to become completely visible.

4. Concluding Remarks

The copper(I) compound (1) of the ligand L has been synthesized. The structures in the solid state involve multiple π -stacking interactions, extending up to several aromatic π systems. H-bonding interactions are also present in the solid state. These noncovalent interactions play dominant roles in shaping the extended structures of these compounds in the solid state. Electrochemically, this compound is also interesting, undergoing ligandbased oxidations in two successive single-electron steps.

5. References

- (1) Stubbe, J.; van der Donk, W. A. Chem. Rev. 1998,98, 705.
- (2) See contributions to the thematic issue: Chem. Rev. 2003,103, 2081.
- (3) Knappe, J.; Wagner, A. F. V. Adv. Prot. Chem. 2001,58, 277.
- (4) Dorlet, P.; Seibold, S. A.; Babcock, G. T.; Gerfen, G. J.; Smith, W. L.; Tsai, A. L.; Un, S. *Biochemistry*2002,41, 6107.
- (5) Cheek, J.; Broderick, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2860.
- (6) Huyett, J. E.; Doan, P. E.; Gurbiel, R.; Houseman, A. L. P.; Sivaraja, M.; Goodin, D. B.; Hoffman, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995,117, 9033.
- (7) Magnusson, O. T.; Frey, P. A. *Biochemistry*2002,41, 1695.
- (8) Berkovitch, F.; Nicolet, Y.; Wan, J. T.; Jarrett, J. T.; Drennan, C. L. Science2004,303, 76.
- (9) Cicchillo, R. M.; Iwig, D. F.; Jones, A. D.; Nesbitt, N. M.; Baleanu-Gogonea, C.; Souder, M. G.; Tu, L.; Booker, S. J. *Biochemistry*2004, 43, 6378.

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

- (10) Licht, S.; Gerfen, G. J.; Stubbe, J. Science1996, 271, 477.
- (11) Whittaker, J. W. Arc. Biochem. Biophys. 2005, 433, 227.
- (12) Banerjee, R. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2083.
- (13) Janiak, C. J. Dalton Trans. 2000, 3885.
- (14) (a) Jeffrey, G. A.; Saenger, W. *Hydrogen bonding in Biological Structures*, Springer, Berlin, 1991. (b) Dougherty, D. A. *Science*1996, 271, 163. (c) Ma, J. C.; Dougherty, D. A. *Chem. Rev.*1997, 97, 1303. (d) Gallivan, J. P.; Daugherty, D. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*2000, *122*, 870.
- (15) (a) Gallivan, J. P.; Daugherty, D. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA1999, 96, 9459. (b) Desiraju, G. R.; Steiner, T. The Weak Hydrogen Bonds in Structural Chemistry and Biology, Oxford University Press, 1999. (c) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. Acc. Chem. Res.2000, 33, 601. (d) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. Coord. Chem. Rev.1999, 183, 19.
- (16) Hunter, C. A.; Sanders, J. K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5525.
- (17) Kundu, N.; Abtab, S. M. T.; Kundu, S.; Endo, A.; Teat, S. J.; Chaudhury, M. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 2652.
- (18) Kundu, N.; Maity, M.; Chatterjee, P. B.; Teat, S. J.; Endo, A.; Chaudhury, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2011, 133, 20104.
- (19) Knueppel, D.; Martin, S. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2569.
- (20) Gysling, H. J. Inorg. Synth. 1979, 19, 93.
- (21) Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. R. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals; 2nd Ed. Pergamon: Oxford, England, 1980.
- (22) Gagné, R. R.; Kovel, C. A.; Lisensky, G. C. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 3854.
- (23) Sheldrick, G. M.; SADABS, Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- (24) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta. Crystallogr. 1990, 46A, 467.
- (25) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97. *Program for Crystal Structure Refinements;* University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- (26) (a) Sinha, S. P. Spectrochim. Acta. 1964, 20, 879. (b) Schilt, A. A.; Taylor, R. C. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem, 1959,9, 211.
- (27) Kubicki, M.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Xanthopoulou, M. N. Polyhedron, 2001, 20, 2179.
- (28) Nakamoto, K. Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds 5th Ed.; VCH-Wiley: New York, 1997.
- (29) Yang, L.; Powell, D. R.; Houser, R. P. Dalton Trans. 2007, 955.

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

- (30) Kirchoff, J. R.; McMillan, D. R.; Robinson, W. R.; Powell, D. R.; McKenzie, A. T.; Chen, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**,24, 3928.
- (31) Vogler, C.; Kaim, W.; Hausen, H.-D. Z. Naturforsch1993, 486, 1470.

Table

Parameter	1
Composition	$C_{30}H_{23}Cu_{0.5}F_3N_3P_{1.5}$
Formula wt.	560.74
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	P2(1)/n
<i>a</i> , Å	12.9044(6)
b, Å	18.2795(7)
<i>c</i> , Å	21.7660(9)
a, deg	90.00
β , deg	93.2050(10)
γ, deg	90.00
$V, \text{\AA}^3$	5125.8(4)
$D_{\rm calc}, {\rm Mgm}^{-3}$	1.453
Temp, K	223(2)
λ, Å	0.71073
Ζ	8
$F(000)/\mu \text{ mm}^{-1}$	2304/0.590
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ [°]	50.00
Reflections Collected/Unique	30254/9030
$R_{\rm int}/$ GOF on F^2	0.0726/1.036
No of parameters	680
$R1(F_0), wR2(F_0) \ (I \ge 2\sigma(I))$	0.0694, 0.1706
Largest diff. peak	0.857

 Table 1. Summary of the Crystallographic Data for Complex 1

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

Bond Distances (Å)	
Cu(1)—N(1)	2.181(4)
Cu(1)—N(4)	2.164(4)
Cu(1)—P(1)	2.2832(14)
Cu(1)—P(2)	2.2855(13)
Bond Angles (deg)	
N(4)—Cu(1)—N(1)	80.81(14)
N(4)—Cu(1)—P(1)	113.78(10)
N(1)—Cu(1)—P(1)	102.20(11)
N(4)—Cu(1)—P(2)	103.87(11)
N(1)—Cu(1)—P(2)	113.95(10)
P(1)—Cu(1)—P(2)	131.10(5)

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (\AA) and Angles (deg) for 1

Figure Legends

Scheme 1: Protocol followed for the synthesis of Compound 1

Figure 1: Molecular structure and atomic labelling scheme for 1. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 2: Crystalpacking of 1 showing π - π - π sandwich interactions.

Figure 3: Cyclic voltammogram of **1** in DMF at 298 K and at a platinum electrode at varying scan rates (50, 100, 200, 500, 1000 and 2000 mVs⁻¹). The inset shows the increased reversibility of the second oxidation process at low temperature (-30 °C).

Scheme 1

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3