

International Research Journal of Human Resources and Social Sciences Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2017 Impact Factor- 5.414

ISSN(O): (2349-4085) ISSN(P): (2394-4218)

© Associated Asia ResearchFoundation (AARF)

Website: www.aarf.asia Email: editor@aarf.asia, editoraarf@gmail.com

ANALYSIS OF EXTRINSIC FACTORS AND ITS IMPACT ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO ADVANCED TECHNOLOGICAL INSTITUTES IN SRI LANKA)

P. P. G. Thyagi Pushpika

Assistant Lecturer, Department of Accountancy, ATI Tangalle, Sri Lanka.

ABSTRACT

The research was designed to analyze the extrinsic factors and its impact on organizational citizenship behavior. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data from randomly selected 137 respondents from a population of 225 academics from eighteen Advanced Technological Institutes in Sri Lanka. The evidence was obtained from the Senior Lecturers, Lecturers and Assistant Lecturers in Advanced Technological Institutes. Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation coefficient and multiple regression used to obtain the results. The results indicated that there was a significant positive impact in term of relationship with subordinates, compensation, working condition and job security with OCB. The findings of the research revealed that extrinsic factors were having a significant positive association with organizational citizenship behavior, altruism and general compliance at 0.01 significant level (2-tailed). The multiple regression analysis indicates that 63% of variations in organizational citizenship behavior is caused by the extrinsic factors of job satisfaction of academic staff of ATIs.

KEYWORDS - Advanced Technological Institutes, Altruism, Extrinsic Factors, General Compliance, Organizational Citizenship Behavior.

1. Introduction

Academics play a significant role in the development of a country. Job satisfaction of academic staff in the Advanced Technological Institutes (ATIs) is important because it

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

influences in delivering excellent educational services and producing qualified students. Academic staffs' job satisfaction has a dynamic impact on their organizational citizenship behavior. As far as the literature concerned, there are no studies have been done on the Extrinsic Factors (EFs) of job satisfaction and Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) of the academics in the context of Sri Lanka. Thus, the present research is designed to study the influence of the EF towards the organizational citizenship behavior with special reference to ATIs in Sri Lanka.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB)

Organizational citizenship behavior is the extra role behavior performed voluntarily and not enforceable through the formal job description. Similarly, Organ (1988) recognizes OCB as the "individual behavior that is discretionary, not directly or explicitly recognized by the formal reward system, and that in the aggregate promotes the efficient and effective functioning of the organization". Schnake (1991) has identified it as "Functional, extra-role, prosocial organizational behavior, directed at individuals, groups, and/or an organization."

OCB contribute to the effective functioning of an organization (Robbins and Judge, 2001, p. 25). It helps employees in an organization to cope with stressful conditions through interdependence (Smith, Organ, & Near, 1983) and also contribute to performance and competitive advantage (Nemeth and Staw, 1989).

2.1.1. Dimensions of OCB

General compliance: General compliance refers to "a more impersonal form of conscientiousness that does not provide immediate aid to any one specific person but rather is indirectly helpful to others involved in the system" (Smith, Organ & Near, 1983, pg. 657). These behaviors benefit to the organization in general.

Altruism: Helping behavior or Altruism behavior is "directly and intentionally aimed at helping a specific person in face-to-face situations (e.g. Orienting new people, assisting someone with a heavy workload)" Smith, Organ, & near (1983, pg. 657). The Behaviors that immediately and indirectly through this means contribute to the organization.

Podsakoff et al., 2000 identifies altruism as Organizational citizenship behavior directed towards the individual (OCB-I) and general compliance as Organizational citizenship behavior directed towards the organization (OCB-O).

2.2. Job satisfaction

Robbins & Judge in 2010 state that job satisfaction is 'a positive feeling about a job, resulting from an evaluation of its characteristics'. Furthrmore, Armstrong (2006) explains job satisfaction as 'the attitudes and feelings people have about their work'; positive attitudes indicate job satisfaction, while negative attitudes indicate job dissatisfaction. The satisfied employees are more committed to their job than their dissatisfied employees.

Previous studies have identified that satisfied employees tend to contribute more in terms of job performance, organizational citizenship behavior, job commitment, customer satisfaction, cost reduction, the polite undertaking of responsibilities, Organizational productivity and conductive work environment (Robbins & Judge, 2012; Smith,1992). Whereas employee job dissatisfaction will lead to high levels of stress, rise absenteeism, high employee turnover cost, withdrawal behaviors and poor employee morale and low productivity in an organization. (Terry, Nielson and Perchard, 1993; Luthans, 1995; Cameron & Pierce, 1997; Bennett & Robinson, 2003)

Herzberg's (1966) two-factor theory emphasizes two distinct categories, intrinsic (motivation) factors that lead to job satisfaction and extrinsic (hygiene) factors that contribute to job dissatisfaction.

Factors which are internally related to individual work or task is known as intrinsic factors. Examples include responsibility, recognition, achievements, advancement and work itself. On the other hand, the extrinsic factors are factors that are external to the individual and distinctive from the work itself. Thus factors such as organizational policies, working condition, salaries, and relations with, supervisors, colleagues, and subordinates cannot be controlled.

2.2.1. Dimension of Extrinsic Factors

Company Policies –Company policies are set of documented broad guidelines that can affect a firm's objectives, operations and strategies. On the other hand, if company doesn't have clear policies and strategies it may lead toward dissatisfaction (Locke, 1976)

Relationship with supervisors- A good working relationship with the supervisor is essential at every stage of the job. A positive relationship with supervisor stimulates job satisfaction. (Vroom, 1982; Bruce &Blackburn, 1992; Harris, Harris & Eplion, 2007).

Relationship with co-workers-. The quality of interpersonal relationships between co-workers associated with job satisfaction (Harris, Winkowski, & Engdahl, 2007). Furthermore, co-worker relationships also benefit the organization in improving productivity and success. However, lack of co-worker relationships has a negative effect on job satisfaction, turnover and job-related depression (Luthans, 1998; Shirey, 2004)

Relationship with subordinates – Relationship between superior–subordinate is found to be the most important predictors of job satisfaction (Goldhaber, Yates, Porter, & Lesniak, 1979). A Satisfactory upward and downward communication are essential to fill the gap between superior and subordinates, to increase the levels of job satisfaction, performance and may reduce the job stress.

Compensation – In developing countries, compensation plays a crucial role in job satisfaction. Hence organizations have to make sure employee salaries and benefits are comparable to other organizations. Lai (2011) notes that a positive relationship between employee satisfaction and job-based wages, skill-based pay and performance based pay.

Job Security - Job security is an important factor which determines the job satisfaction among both state and non-state employees. It is affected by a worker's performance, the success of the business and the current economic environment. Conversely, organizational instability, political unsteadiness, and economic uncertainty will have potentially negative consequences which undermine job security.

Status- Rostamy, Hosseini, Azar, Khaef-Elahi, & Hassanzadeh (2008) have acknowledged a positive connection between status and job satisfaction. When the job status improves employees enable to enjoy special privileges such as company-transportation, medical insurance, employee accommodations that would distinguish one employee from another. This would enhance an employee's importance in the job and lead to job satisfaction.

Working Conditions – A working work environment with up to date facilities and equipment, adequate personal workspace, safe handling of tools and equipment, proper

working methods can decrease dissatisfaction. Else, the likeliness of job dissatisfaction increases (Luthans, 1998). Further, most employees prefer working relatively close to home, in clean and relatively modern facilities, and with adequate tools and equipment (Locke 1976).

3. Objectives

- I. To study the extrinsic factors influence on the job satisfaction of the academic staff
- II. To identify the impact of extrinsic factors toward organizational citizenship behavior.
- III. To offer possible recommendations to increase Organizational Citizenship Behavior.

4. Hypotheses

H1: Extrinsic factors has a significant positive impact with OCB of the academic staff.

H2: Extrinsic factors has a significant positive relationship on the general compliance of the academic staff.

H3: Extrinsic factors has a significant positive relationship on the altruism of the academic staff.

5. Methodology of the Research

5.1. Population & sample

The population consists with the Senior Lecturers, Lecturers and Assistant lecturers of 18 no of Advanced Technological Institutes in the higher educational sector in Sri Lanka. Since the population is more or less homogenous with similar organization structure and working force, stratified random sampling was used in this study. According to Sekaran (2003), the researchers distributed 150 questionnaires among the academic staff in ATIs. One hundred thirty-seven members returned and the response rate was 91 %.

5.2. Measurement of Variables

A structured questionnaire was used to collect the views of the respondents. It consisted three parts. Section-A deals with the demographic characteristics.

Section- B measured the OCB adapted from Spector, P. E., Bauer, J. A., & Fox, S. (2010) OCB Checklist.

Section- C used to measure the extrinsic factors of JS derived from Ewen et al. (1966), Graen (1966), House and Wigdor (1967), Lindsay et al (1967), Maidani (1991), and Pizam &

Ellis (1999) ,Teck-Hong & Waheed (2011) Klassen, Usher, and Bong (2010), and Tang et al. (2004).

5.3. Mode of Analysis

Descriptive statistics used to measure the central tendency of the data. Correlations were used to ascertain the connection between extrinsic factors and OCB. Multiple linear regression was then executed to estimate the value of the dependent variables based on the independent variables. Model assumptions were checked for accuracy.

5.4 Profile of Respondents

Sample includes 18.2% senior lecturers, 19.7 % lecturers and 62 % asst. lecturers. 37.2% of the academics were employed less than 5 years, 42.3 % academics were employed between 5 years to 10 years, and 20.4 % are employed for more than 10 years. In the selected sample most of the academics were in the middle age as the table shows that there 11.7% academics who were less than 30, 81 % in between the age of 30 to 45 and 7.3% lecturers were more than 45 years above. 32.8 % respondents were males and females represent 67.2% of the total respondents. Furthermore, 81.8 % of academics were married and 18.2 % were unmarried. The table represents from the sample 62 % of employees holding the Master's degree, 19 % of employees holding the Postgraduate diplomas and 19% of academics had Bachelor's degree and no one with Doctoral degree. Further, the majority of the respondent were in the area of accountancy, 6.6% from the management, 20.4% from the English, 8% from the Engineering, 29% from the IT and 7.3% from the Agriculture.

6. Results & Analysis

6.1. Descriptive Analysis

Table 6.1.1. Descriptive Analysis

	Minimu	Maximu	Mean	Std.
	m	m		Deviation
Company policy	1.00	5.00	2.87	.982
Relationship with supervisor	2.00	5.00	3.58	.543
Relationship with co- worker	2.00	5.00	3.75	.659

Relationship with subordinates	1.50	5.00	3.72	.606
Compensation	1.00	4.50	2.99	.881
Status	2.50	4.50	3.91	.424
Working condition	2.00	5.00	3.85	.614
Job security	2.00	5.00	3.62	.538
Extrinsic factor	2.23	4.17	3.53	.359

According to the table, academic staff satisfied with the relationship with a supervisor, relationship with co-workers, relationship with subordinates, job status, working conditions and job security (μ =3.58, 3.75, 3.72, 3.91, 3.85 and 3.62) respectively). On the other hand, company policy and compensation reported a moderate level of satisfaction as the mean value of 2.87 and 2.99. The mean value of the extrinsic factor is 3.53. Thus, the academic staff of ATIs has a moderate level of satisfaction with their job.

6.2 . Correlation Analysis

Table 6.2.1 Pearson Correlation Result

	Extrinsic factors OCB		Altruism	
OCB	761**			
Altruism	.616**	.874**		
General compliance	.706**	.861**	.505**	

Table 6.2.1 shows the results of correlations that measure the relationship between EF, OCB and dimensions of OCB. EF have a significant positive relationship with OCB (0.761). Furthermore, the extrinsic factors have a positive relationship with altruism and general compliance with a correlation coefficient of (0.616 and 0.706 respectively). Thus there is a significant association between the extrinsic factors and the dimension of OCB. OCB and its dimensions have a strong significant positive relationship with each other. Altruism and OCB are strongly and positively correlated with a correlation coefficient of 0.874 at 1% level.

Furthermore, General compliance and OCB indicate a strong positive correlation with a coefficient of 0.861 at 1% level.

Table 6.2.2 Correlation between Extrinsic Factors, Organization Citizenship Behavior and Dimensions of Organization Citizenship Behavior

	Company policy	Relationship with supervisor	Relationship with co-worker	Relationship with	Compensation	Status	Working condition	Job security
Relationship with supervisor	.129							
Relationship with co-worker	.197*	.315**						
Relationship with subordinates	.222**	.449**	.376**					
Compensation	.138	.108	016	.258**				
Status	.002	.174*	.184*	.224**	.248**			
Working condition	.200*	.268**	.258**	.416**	.302**	.195*		
Job security	069	.260**	.187*	.104	.109	.298**	.186*	
Altruism	.253**	.359**	.329**	.449**	.329**	.183*	.474**	.333**
General compliance	.239**	.406**	.362**	.707**	.384**	.275**	.492**	.278**
OCB	.284**	.440**	.398**	.663**	.410**	.263**	.557**	.353**

Table 8.2.3 shows that there is a significant positive relationship among relationship with company policy (.253), relationship with supervisors (.359), relationship with subordinates (.329), relationship with co-worker (.449), compensation (.329), status (.183), working conditions (.474) and job security (.333) with altruism. In addition to that there is a significant positive relationship between relationship with company policy (.239), relationship with supervisors (.406), relationship with subordinates (.362), relationship with co-worker (.707), compensation (.384), status (.275), working conditions (.492) and job security (.333) with General compliance. Table 8.2.3 further deduces that all the EF have a notable positive linear correlation with the OCB at 1% significant level i.e. company policy (.284), relationship with supervisors (.440), relationship with subordinates (.398), relationship

with co-worker (.663) ,compensation (.410) , status (.263),working conditions (.557) and job security(.353).

6.3 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Table 6.3.1. The Relationship between Extrinsic Factors and Organization Citizenship Behavior

	Unstandardi	zed	Standardized	t	Sig.
	Coefficients		Coefficients		
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	121	.308		393	.695
Company policy	.054	.028	.106	1.930	.056
Relationship with supervisor	.070	.056	.076	1.250	.214
Relationship with co- worker	.083	.045	.110	1.843	.068
Relationship with subordinates	.332	.054	.401	6.102	.000
Compensation	.114	.033	.200	3.472	.001
Status	023	.068	020	343	.732
Working condition	.181	.049	.222	3.680	.000
Job security	.206	.053	.221	3.866	.000

R-square 0.652

Adjusted R-Square 0.63

F-statistics 29.97

Table 6.3.1shows that there is strong model significance between EF and OCB (p=0.000). Thus, 63. % of the variance in OCB is due to the variances in EF of job satisfaction (R2 = 0.63). Additionally, the regression coefficient results of each individual predictor show a significant positive relationship among relationship with subordinates, compensation, working condition and job security with OCB ($\beta = 0.401$, 0.200, 0.222, 0.221 and p = 0.000, 0.001, 0.000, 0.000) and negligible connection among Company policy, Relationship with

supervisor, Relationship with co-worker, status with ($\beta = 0.106$, 0.076, 0.110 -0.020 and p = 0.056, 0.214, 0.068, 0.732).

7. Discussion

The study showed that there was statistically significant positive the association between EFs and OCB. However, EFs only accounted for 63 % of the variance in OCB. Thus, there are other factors such as recognition, responsibility, achievement, advancement, work-life balance, organizational culture and social factors could have an impact on OCB in academics in ATIs.

The findings of the present study indicated that EF has a significant positive relationship with, OCB, altruism and general compliance. This result was consistent with previous research (Lee & Allen, 2002). Findings further showed that there was a significant positive impact on the relationship with subordinates, compensation, working condition and job security with OCB and negligible impact on company policy, relationship with supervisors, relationship with co-workers, and status with OCB which has supported by Podsakoff et al. (2000).

The results of the correlation analysis revealed that compensation was a statistically significant predictor of job satisfaction (r=0.410 and p = 0.01). This demonstrated that the job satisfaction of academics increased when their remuneration increases. A positive association was established among the relationship with supervisors, relationship with co-workers and relationship with subordinates with job satisfaction (r = 0.440, 0.398, 0.663; p =0.000, 0.000, 0.000 respectively). This was supported by vroom (1964) & Locke (1976). A significant relationship was established between company policy and job satisfaction (r = 0.284; p = 0.00). A positive and weak association was established between status and job security with job satisfaction (r = 0.263, 0.353 and p = 0.000, 0.000 respectively)

Furthermore, it was discovered that academic staff satisfied with the relationship with a supervisor, relationship with co-workers, relationship with subordinates, job status, working conditions and job security, instead of company policy and compensation reported(μ = 3.58,3.75,3.72,3.91, 3.85,3.62,2.87 and 2.99 respectively). However, the academic staff of ATIs had a moderate level of satisfaction with their job in terms of EF (μ = 3.3 and SD=0.36).

8. Conclusion

This study aims to the analysis of extrinsic factors and its impact on OCB of academic staffs employed in the ATIs. According to the findings, it depicts that OCB and EFs are 76.10% correlated and the value of adjusted R square is 0.63 and it states that 63 % variance in OCB (dependent variable) is caused by EFs (independent variable) in the sample data set. The result supports the hypothesis of extrinsic factors has a significant positive impact (F value=29.97) on organization citizenship behavior of the academic staff. In the study undertaken, academic staff was satisfied with the relationship with supervisors, relationship with subordinates, relationship with co-workers, status, working conditions and job security and a moderate level of job satisfaction with company policy and compensation. The findings indicated that a significant strong positive relationship between extrinsic factors and OCB. In addition to this, there was a significant relationship between extrinsic factors with general compliance and altruism. Further, the regression analyses indicated that relationship with subordinates, compensation, working condition and job security had a significant and positive impact on OCB of academic staff in ATIs.

References

- [1] D. W. Organ, Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome (Lexington: Mass: Lexington Books, 1988).
- [2] M. Schnake, Organizational Citizenship: A Review, Proposed Model, and Research Agenda, Human Relations, 44, 1991, 735-759.
- [3] S. P. Robbins, *Organizational behavior* (New Jersey: Prentice-Hall., 2001).
- [4] C. Smith, D. W. Organ and J. P. Near, *Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature and antecedents*, Journal of Applied Psychology, 68, 1983, 653-663.
- [5] Nemeth, C. Jeanne and B. M. Staw, *The tradeoffs of social control and innovation in groups and organizations*, L. Berkowitz, Ed., Academic Press, 1989, 175-210.
- [6] P. M. Podsakoff, S. B. MacKenzie S., J. B. Paine and D. G. Bachrach, *Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research*, Journal of Management, 26, 2000, 513-561.
- [7] S. P. Robbins and T. A. Judge, *Essentials of organizational behavior* (Upper River Saddle: Pearson Education Inc., 2010).
- [8] M. Armstrong, A handbook of human resource management practice (London: Kogan Page Publishing, 2006) 264.
- [9] S. P. Robbins and T. A. Judge, *Organizational behavior*(NJ: Prentice Hall, 2012).

- [10] P. C. Smith, In Pursuit of Happiness: Why Study General Job Satisfaction?, in C. J. Cranny, P. C. Smith and E. F. Stone (Eds.), Job Satisfaction, 5 (New York, Lexington Books, 1992) 5-19.
- [11] D. J. Terry, M. Nielsen and L. Perchard, Effects of work stress on psychological wellbeing and job satisfaction: The stress buffering role of social support, Australian Journal of Psychology, 45(3), 1993, 168–175.
- [12] F. Luthans, *Organizational behaviour* (McGraw-Hill, 1995).
- [13] J. Cameron and D. Pierce, Rewards, interest and performance: an evaluation of experimental findings, American Compensation Association Journal, 4, 1997.
- [14] R. J. Bennett and S. L. Robinson, The past, present and future of workplace deviance research, in J. Greenberg (Ed.), Organizational behavior: The state of the science (Mahwah, Erlbaum, 2003) 247-281.
- [15] F. Herzberg, Work and the Nature of Man (Cleveland: World Publishing Company, 1966).
- [16] E. Locke, The nature and causes of job satisfaction, in M. D. Dunnette (Ed.) *Handbook* of industrial and organizational psychology, Chicago, Rand McNall, 1976) 1297-1349.
- [17] V. H. Vroom, Work and motivation (New York: Wiley, 1964).
- [18] W. Bruce and J. Blackburn, Balancing job satisfaction & performance: A guide for human resource professionals (Connecticut, Greenwood Publishing Group Inc., 1992).
- [19] K. Harris, R. Harris and D. Eplion, Personality, leader-member exchanges, and work outcomes, Journal of Behavioral and Applied Management, 8, 2007,92-107.
- [20] J. Harris, A. M. Winskowski and B. Engdahl, Types of workplace social support in the prediction of job satisfaction, The Career Development Quarterly, 56, 2007, 150-256.
- [21] F. Luthans, Organizational behaviour (Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill, 1998).
- [22] M. R. Shirey, Social support in the workplace: nurse leader implications, Nursing Economics, 22, 2004, 313-319.
- [23] G. M. Goldhaber, D. T. Porter, M. D. Yates and R. Lesnia, Organizational communication: 1978, Human Communication Research, 1, 1978, 76-96.
- [24] G. Lai and J. Lee, Organizational Structure, Corporate Governance and Risk Taking in the U.S. Property /Casualty Insurance Industry (2011).
- [25] A. Rostamy, H. K. Hosseini, A. Khaef-Elahi and A. Hassanzadeh, *Employees' social* status in Iranian public and governmental organizations: effect of individual, organizational, and social factors, Singapore Management Review, 30, 2008, 77-98.
- [26] U. Sekaran, Research method for business: a skill building approach (NY: John Wiley & Son, 2003).

- [27] P. E. Spector, J. A. Bauer and S. Fox, "). Measurement artifacts in the assessment of counterproductive work behavior and organizational citizenship behavior: Do we know what we think we know?, Journal of Applied Psychology, 95(4), 2010,781-790.
- [28] R. Ewen, P. Smith and C. Hulin, *An empirical test of the Herzberg two-factor Theory*, Journal of Applied Psychology, *50*(*6*), 1966, 544-550.
- [29] G. Graen, *Motivator and hygiene dimensions for research and development engineers*, Journal of Applied Psychology, *50*(*6*), 1966, 563 566.
- [30] C. Lindsay, E. Marks and L. Gorlow, *The Herzberg theory: a critique and reformulation*, Journal of Applied Psychology, *51*(4), 1967,330 339.
- [31] E. Maidani, Comparative study of Herzberg's two-factor theory of job satisfaction among public and private sectors, Public Personnel Management, 20(4), 1991, 441 448.
- [32] A. Pizam and T. Ellis, *Customer satisfaction and its measurement in hospitality enterprises*, International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, *11*(7), 1999, 326 339.
- [33] T. Teck-Hong and A. Waheed, *Herzberg's Motivation-Hygiene and job satisfaction in the Malaysian Retail Sector*, Asian Academy of Management Journal, *16(1)*, 2011,73-94.
- [34] R. Klassen, E. Usher and M. Bong, *Teachers' collective efficacy, job satisfaction, and job stress in cross-cultural context*, The Journal of Experimental Education, 78, 2010, 464–486.
- [35] T. L. P. Tang, R. Luna-Arocas, T. Sutarso and D. S. H. Tang, *Does the love of money moderate and mediate the income-pay satisfaction*, Journal of Managerial Psychology, 2, 2004, 111–135.
- [36] K. Lee and N. Allen, "Organizational citizenship behavior and workplace deviance: The role of affect and cognitions, Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(2), 2002, 131–142.