



THE CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF CEMENT AND STEEL INDUSTRY IN INDIA

Mohan Kumar M.S.

Research Scholar, Tumkur University & Assistant Professor,
Department of Commerce, Government First Grade College Soraba,
Soraba (T), Shivamogga (D), Karnataka, India

&

Dr. T. Aswatha Narayana

Research Guide, Tumkur University & Associate Professor,
Department of commerce, GFGC K R Puram, Bengaluru, India

ABSTRACT

Capital structure and financing decisions can contribute to maximizing the value of the firm. Financing decisions go hand in hand with investment decisions. The present study is about Analysis the Capital Structure of Cement and Steel Industry in India, the study is based on the secondary data collected from the annual reports of selected companies in cement and steel industry during the period 2013 to 2017, the study uses tools like averages, one way ANOVA & t test to analyse the relationship between the steel and cement industry. The results reveals that there is a significant difference in average debt size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study but it is proved subsequently there is no significant difference for average debt/equity ratio between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.

Keywords: Capital structure, Investment decisions, one way ANOVA, t test,

Introduction

Capital structure and financing decisions can contribute to maximizing the value of the firm. Financing decisions go hand in hand with investment decisions. That is, a firm needs sufficient funds to support its activities resulting from its investment decisions. Capital structure refers to the sources of financing employed by the firm. These sources include debt, equity, and hybrid securities that a firm uses to finance its assets, operations, and future

growth. Often thought of in terms of financial leverage, a firm's capital structure is a direct determinant of its overall risk and cost of capital. The sources of capital have important consequences for the firm and can affect its value and hence shareholder wealth. For example, while debt is the least costly form of capital, the effects of increasing leverage through the use of debt simultaneously increase financial risk. Borrowing not only increases the risk of default for a firm but also increases the volatility of a firm's earnings per share and its return on equity. The benefits of a lower cost of debt decrease as leverage rise due to increasing financial risk and the likelihood of financial distress and bankruptcy. As with most financial decisions, financial decisions involve a risk-return trade-off. Given the dramatic changes that have occurred recently in the economy, such as the global financial crisis, the topic of capital structure and corporate financing decisions is critically important.

Review of literature

Dr. Sarbapriya Ray (2013), in their work "Investigating capital structure determinants in listed cement companies of India" they identified the asset composition, size and non-debt tax shields are found to have a statistically positive relationship with debt-equity ratio which supports earlier research findings and age, profitability and asset collateral have significant negative relations with leverage.

Abolfazl Ghadiri Moghaddam & other (2014) examine the relationship between capital structure and financial performance, paying particular attention to the degree of industry level competition. The study covers 111 companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange during the period 2009 to 2013. The study shows that leverage, industry Lerner index, and industry concentration (Herfindahl-Hirschman Index) have a negative and significant impact on the firm performance. Also, only industry concentration decreases the negative impact of leverage on the firm performance.

A. M. Goyal (2014) in their study entitled "The Relationship between Capital Structure & Profitability of Public Sector Banks in India" examines the impact of capital structure on the performance of Indian public sector banks as measured from their Return on Equity. The study covers sample size of 19 public sector banks during the year 2008 to 2012. The study shows that a positive relationship between short term debt to capital and profitability as measured by Return on Equity. Long term debt to capital, Total debt to capital and Total assets are found to have a negative relationship with Return on Equity. Results also indicated that there exists a positive relationship between Size and profitability of Indian public sector banks.

Dr. Rosy Kalra & Ankush Singhal (2015) in the work “Analysis of Capital Structure Determinants of Ambuja Company” examine the relationship between capital structure and financial performance of the Ambuja cement company India. The study, based on secondary data on Ambuja Cement Company during the year 2003-04 to 2012-13, the study shows that the net income approach method of capital structure theories with a judicious mixture of debt and equity, a firm can evolve an optimum capital structure will be the one at which value of the firm is the highest and the overall cost of capital is the lowest. At that structure the market price per share would be Maximum.

Dr. K.S. Sekhara Rao & Dr. V. Venu Madhav (2015) in their empirical study entitled “Capital Structure Strategies and Its Effect on Sustainable Corporate Growth - A Study on Dr. Reddy's Laboratories” examine the facts related to the capital structure design, and its impact on the organization including the performance, by using various theories and tools. The study has the net profit as an indicator of the overall performance of the organization. The study shows that the capital structure has a close relationship to company performance, and it is influencing the overall performance of the organization.

Objectives of the study

- To study the pattern of the capital structure of Cement and steel industry in India
- To study the interrelationship between several pertinent aspects relating to capital structure of Cement and steel industry in India

Research Methodology

The study is based on secondary data during the period from 2012-13 to 2016-17 & a sample size of five cement & five Steel companies in India on the bases of Sales & listed in BSE and availability of required data for the study period. The data were collected from the published sources like books, annual reports of companies & website of money control.com. The collected data will be analyzed by using Averages, one way ANOVA.

Table 01: Profile of Sampled Companies of Cement & Steel Industry

| Sl. No | Name of Company | Year of Establish | Headquarter |
|--------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Ultratech Cement | 1987 | Mumbai |
| 2 | ACC Cement | 1936 | Mumbai |
| 3 | Ambuja Cements | 1983 | Mumbai |
| 4 | Prism Cement | 1997 | Hyderabad |

| | | | |
|----|------------------------------|------|---------------|
| 5 | Shree Cement | 1970 | Kolkata |
| 6 | Tata Steel | 1907 | Mumbai |
| 7 | JSW Steel | 1982 | Mumbai |
| 8 | Steel Authority of India Ltd | 1954 | New Delhi |
| 9 | VISA Steel | 2003 | Kolkata |
| 10 | Steel exchange India Ltd | 1999 | Visakhapatnam |

Source: Collected from data available in annual reports of company

Data Analysis

Table 02: Total debts of sampled companies in Cement Industry (Rs. in Crores)

| Name of the company | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Average company wise |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|
| Ultratech Cement | 4462.68 | 4872.78 | 6511.83 | 4829.91 | 5215.96 | 5178.63 |
| ACC Cement | 85.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.50 | 50.02 | 34.11 |
| Ambuja Cement | 34.63 | 29.15 | 19.09 | 22.68 | 23.58 | 25.83 |
| Prism Cement | 1281.52 | 1576.14 | 1760.37 | 1647.61 | 1299.48 | 1513.02 |
| Shree Cement | 977.38 | 1078.27 | 616.36 | 716.67 | 1292.48 | 936.23 |
| Average Year wise | 1368.25 | 1511.27 | 1781.53 | 1450.47 | 1576.30 | 1537.56 |

Source: computed from data available in annual reports of company

The above table shows that the average debt of cement companies is 1537.56 crores over the debt, i.e.f study. Further, we can say that the average debt of Ultratech cement is recorded highest average debt, i.e. 5178.63 Crores and Ambuja cement is recorded lowest i.e. 25.83 crores. Moreover, we can also see that, except Ultratech cement rest of all the companies have debt size, which is less than the industry average debt.

Ho: There is no significant difference in the average total debt of the sampled companies in Cement industry.

H1: There is a significant difference in the average total debt of the sampled companies in Cement industry.

Table 03: One Way ANOVA of Total Debt (Cement Industry)

| SUMMARY | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> | |
| Ultratech Cement | 5 | 25893.16 | 5178.632 | 626637.5 | |
| ACC Cement | 5 | 170.55 | 34.11 | 1293.723 | |
| Ambuja Cement | 5 | 129.13 | 25.826 | 37.21873 | |
| Prism Cement | 5 | 7565.12 | 1513.024 | 45618.05 | |
| Shree Cement | 5 | 4681.16 | 936.232 | 74826.54 | |

ANOVA

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
|---------------------|----------|----|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Between Groups | 90826521 | 4 | 22706630 | 151.6985 | 1.17E-14 | 2.866081 |
| Within Groups | 2993652 | 20 | 149682.6 | | | |
| Total | 93820174 | 24 | | | | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

F cal. = 151.6985 > F crit. = 2.866081

Hence we will reject null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is a significant difference of the average total debt of the sampled companies of the cement industry.

Table 04: Total debts of sampled companies of Steel Industry (Rs. in Crores)

| Name of the company | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Average company wise |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Tata Steel Ltd | 25911.51 | 26126.78 | 26210.25 | 30993.79 | 30209.04 | 27890.27 |
| JSW Steel | 16543.79 | 24974.98 | 25761.23 | 27941.06 | 32696.57 | 25583.53 |
| SAIL | 21500.57 | 24266.70 | 28220.72 | 31511.03 | 38900.52 | 28879.91 |
| VISA Steel | 2210.43 | 2323.71 | 2765.15 | 2986.66 | 2839.41 | 2625.07 |
| SEIL | 356.68 | 338.29 | 658.00 | 585.86 | 892.49 | 566.26 |
| Average Year wise | 13304.60 | 15606.09 | 16723.07 | 18803.68 | 21107.61 | 17109.01 |

Source: computed from data available in annual reports of company

The above table shows that average debt of Steel companies is 17109.01 crores over the period of study. Further we can say that average debt of SAIL is recorded highest average debt, i.e. 28879.91 Crores and SEIL is recorded lowest i.e. 566.26 crores. Moreover, we can also see that, except VISA steel & SEIL rest of all the companies have debt size which is more than industry average debt.

Hypothesis Testing

Ho: There is no significant difference in the average total debt of the sampled companies in steel industry.

H1: There is a significant difference in the average total debt of the sampled companies in steel industry.

Table 05: One Way ANOVA of Total Debt (Steel Industry)**SUMMARY**

| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Tata Steel Ltd | 5 | 139451.4 | 27890.27 | 6214097 |
| JSW Steel | 5 | 127917.6 | 25583.53 | 34568024 |
| SAIL | 5 | 144399.5 | 28879.91 | 45876579 |
| VISA Steel | 5 | 13125.36 | 2625.072 | 114763.9 |
| SEIL | 5 | 2831.32 | 566.264 | 52780.12 |

ANOVA

| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i> | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| Between Groups | 4.05E+09 | 4 | 1.01E+09 | 58.30999 | 9.64E-11 | 2.866081 |
| Within Groups | 3.47E+08 | 20 | 17365249 | | | |
| Total | 4.4E+09 | 24 | | | | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

F cal. = 58.30999 > F crit. = 2.866081

Hence we will reject null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is a significant difference of the average total debt of the sampled companies of the steel industry.

Comparison of Average Debt of two selected Industries**Hypothesis Testing**

Ho: There is no significant difference for average debt size between cement and steel industries over the period of study

H1: There is significant difference for average debt size between cement and steel industries over the period of study

Table 06: Average Debt of Cement and Steel Companies

| Industry/Year | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Cement | 1368.248 | 1511.268 | 1781.53 | 1450.474 | 1576.304 |
| Steel | 13304.596 | 15606.092 | 16723.07 | 18803.68 | 21107.61 |

Source: computed from data available in annual reports of company

Table 07: Analysis of Average Debt of Cement and Steel Companies

| t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | <i>Cement</i> | <i>Steel</i> |
| Mean | 1537.5648 | 17109.0088 |
| Variance | 24491.06308 | 8935488.615 |
| Observations | 5 | 5 |
| Pearson Correlation | 0.318953436 | |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 | |
| Df | 4 | |
| t Stat | 11.83084344 | |
| P(T<=t) one-tail | 0.0001461 | |
| t Critical one-tail | 2.131846782 | |
| P(T<=t) two-tail | 0.000292201 | |
| t Critical two-tail | 2.776445105 | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

$\alpha=0.05 < P \text{ Value } 0.0001461 \text{ (one-tail)}$

$\alpha=0.05 < P \text{ Value } 0.000292201 \text{ (two-tail)}$

Hence we will reject null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is a significant difference for average debt size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.

Table 08: Debt/Equity Ratio of sampled companies in Cement Industry

| Name of the company | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Average company wise |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|
| Ultratech Cement | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.27 |
| ACC Cement | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Ambuja Cement | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Prism Cement | 1.18 | 1.56 | 1.73 | 1.67 | 1.36 | 1.50 |
| Shree Cement | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| Average Year wise | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.39 |

Source: computed from data available in annual reports of company

The above table shows that average debt/equity ratio of cement companies is 0.39 over the period of study. Further, we can say that the average debt/equity ratio of Prism Cement is recorded highest i.e. 1.50 and Ambuja & ACC cement is recorded lowest i.e. 0.00. Moreover, we can also see that, except Prism Cement rest of all the companies have average debt/equity ratio which is less than the industry average debt/equity ratio.

Hypothesis Testing

Ho: There is no significant difference in the Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in Cement industry.

H1: There is a significant difference in the Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in Cement industry.

Table 09: One Way ANOVA of Debts/Equity Ratio (Cement Industry)

| SUMMARY | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> | | |
| Ultratech Cement | 5 | 1.37 | 0.274 | 0.00273 | | |
| ACC Cement | 5 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.00003 | | |
| Ambuja Cement | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Prism Cement | 5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 0.05185 | | |
| Shree Cement | 5 | 0.89 | 0.178 | 0.00367 | | |

| ANOVA | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i> | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups | 7.957944 | 4 | 1.989486 | 170.6834 | 3.74E-15 | 2.866081 |
| Within Groups | 0.23312 | 20 | 0.011656 | | | |
| Total | 8.191064 | 24 | | | | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

F cal. = 170.6834 > F crit. = 2.866081

Hence we will reject null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is a significant difference of the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in cement industry.

Table 10: Debts/Equity Ratio of sampled companies in Steel Industry

| Name of the company | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Average company wise |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| Tata Steel Ltd | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.43 |
| JSW Steel | 0.84 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.33 | 1.36 | 1.12 |
| SAIL | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 1.08 | 0.72 |
| VISA Steel | 4.25 | 6.33 | 22.59 | -6.48 | -4.34 | 4.47 |
| SEIL | 2.05 | 1.62 | 2.86 | 2.24 | 8.37 | 3.43 |
| Average Year wise | 1.62 | 1.99 | 5.50 | -0.34 | 1.41 | 2.04 |

Source: computed from data available in annual reports of company

The above table shows that average debt/equity ratio of Steel companies is 2.04 over the period of study. Further, we can say that the average debt/equity ratio of VISA Steel is recorded highest i.e. 4.47 and Tata Steel Ltd is recorded lowest i.e. 0.43. Moreover, we can also see that, except VISA Steel & SEIL rest of all the companies have average debt/equity ratio which is less than the industry average debt/equity ratio.

Hypothesis Testing

Ho: There is no significant difference in the Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in steel industry.

H1: There is a significant difference in the Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in steel industry.

Table 11: One Way ANOVA of Debts/Equity Ratio (Steel Industry)

| SUMMARY | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <i>Groups</i> | <i>Count</i> | <i>Sum</i> | <i>Average</i> | <i>Variance</i> |
| Tata Steel Ltd | 6 | 2.58 | 0.43 | 0.00476 |
| JSW Steel | 6 | 6.744 | 1.124 | 0.038344 |
| SAIL | 6 | 4.344 | 0.724 | 0.040664 |
| VISA Steel | 6 | 26.82 | 4.47 | 105.8722 |
| SEIL | 6 | 20.568 | 3.428 | 6.265016 |

| ANOVA | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Source of Variation</i> | <i>SS</i> | <i>df</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P-value</i> | <i>F crit</i> |
| Between Groups | 77.96604 | 4 | 19.49151 | 0.868443 | 0.496531 | 2.75871 |
| Within Groups | 561.1049 | 25 | 22.4442 | | | |
| Total | 639.071 | 29 | | | | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

F cal. = 0.868443 < F crit. = 2.75871

Hence we will accept null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is no significant difference of the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in Steel industry.

Table 12: Analysis of Average Debt/Equity ratio of Cement and Steel Companies

t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means

| | <i>Cement</i> | <i>Steel</i> |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Mean | 0.3912 | 2.0352 |
| Variance | 0.0016612 | 4.5510512 |
| Observations | 5 | 5 |
| Pearson Correlation | 0.520891182 | |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 | |
| Df | 4 | |
| t Stat | 1.740266283 | |
| P(T<=t) one-tail | 0.078394617 | |
| t Critical one-tail | 2.131846782 | |
| P(T<=t) two-tail | 0.156789234 | |
| t Critical two-tail | 2.776445105 | |

$\alpha=0.05$;

Here,

$\alpha=0.05 > P \text{ Value } 0.078394617$ (one-tail)

$\alpha=0.05 > P \text{ Value } 0.156789234$ (two-tail)

Hence we will accept null hypothesis. Therefore we can say that there is no significant difference for average debt/equity ratio size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.

Findings

- The Ultratech cement is recorded highest average debt, i.e. 5178.63 Crores, which is more than the industry average.
- There is a significant difference of the average total debt of the sampled companies of the cement industry.
- SAIL is recorded highest average debt, i.e. 28879.91 Crores, which is more than the industry average of 17109.01 crores.
- There is a significant difference of the average total debt of the sampled companies in the steel industry.
- There is a significant difference in average debt size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.
- Prism Cement is recorded highest debt/equity ratio, i.e. 1.50 which is more than average debt/equity ratio of cement companies i.e. 0.39 over the period of study.
- There is a significant difference in the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in the cement industry.

- The average debt/equity ratio of VISA Steel is recorded highest i.e. 4.47 and Tata Steel Ltd is recorded lowest i.e. 0.43 over the period of study.
- There is no significant difference in the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in the Steel industry.
- There is no significant difference in average debt/equity ratio size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.

Conclusion

The debt is the least expensive form of capital; the effects of increasing leverage through the use of debt simultaneously increase financial risk. Borrowing not only increases the risk of default for a firm but also increases the volatility of a firm's earnings per share and its return on equity. The present study is trying to analysis the pattern of the capital structure of cement & the Steel industry in India, the result shows that there is a significant difference of the average total debt of the sampled companies of the steel industry & Cement industry and also there is a significant difference in average debt size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study. There is a significant difference of the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies in the cement industry but in the steel industry have no difference of the average Debts/Equity Ratio of the sampled companies and also there is no significant difference for average debt/equity ratio size between automobile and steel industries over the period of study.

Reference

- 1) Abolfazl Ghadiri Moghaddam, and Mohammad Abbaspour. 2015. "The Relationship between Capital Structure, Cash Holdings and Firm Value in Companies Listed in Tehran Stock Exchange". *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business* 6:9, 1-11.
- 2) Baker, H. Kent, and Gerald S. Martin. *Capital Structure and Corporate Financing Decisions: Theory, Evidence, and Practice*, John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, 2011.
- 3) Goyal M. 2014. "The Relationship between Capital Structure & Profitability of Public Sector Banks in India". *Asian Journal of Research in Banking and Finance* 4:6, 63-80.
- 4) Kraus, Alan, and Robert H. Litzenberger. 1973. "A State Preference Model of Optimal Financial Leverage." *Journal of Finance* 38:4, 911– 922.
- Modigliani, Franco, and Merton H. Miller. 1958. "The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and the Theory of Investment." *American Economic Review* 48:3, 261– 297.

- 5) Myers, Stewart C. 1984. "The Capital Structure Puzzle." *Journal of Finance* 39:3, 575– 592.
- 6) Myers, Stewart C., and Nicholas S. Majluf. 1984. "Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions When Firms Have Information That Investors Do Not Have." *Journal of Financial Economics* 13:2, 187– 222.
- 7) Ross, Stephen A. 1977. "The Determination of Financial Structure: The Incentive Signaling Approach." *Bell Journal of Economics* 8:1, 23– 40.
- 8) Rosy Kalra, and Ankush Singhal. 2015. "Analysis of Capital Structure Determinants of Ambuja Company". *South Asian Academic Research Journals*, 5:4, 346-353.
- 9) Sarbapriya Ray. 2013. "Investigating capital structure determinants in listed cement companies of India". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative analysis* 1:3, 47-58.
- 10) Sekhara Rao K.S. and Venu Madhav V. 2015. "Capital Structure Strategies and Its Effect on Sustainable Corporate Growth - A Study on Dr. Reddy's Laboratories". *Asian J. Management* 6:2, 101-109.
- 11) Top cement companies details retrieved from <http://companiesinindia.net/top-10-cement-companies-in-india.html>
- 12) Top steel companies details retrieved from <http://companiesinindia.net/top-10-steel-companies-in-india.html>