

GE-International Journal of Management Research

ISSN (O): (2321-1709), ISSN (P): (2394-4226)

Impact Factor- 5.779, Volume 6, Issue 9, September 2018

Website- www.aarf.asia, Email: editor@aarf.asia, editoraarf@gmail.com

INCLINATION TOWARDS AGRIPRENEURSHIP AMONG YOUNGSTERS- A STUDY CONDUCTED IN IDUKKI DISTRICT

Misheal Tomy

PG Student(Commerce), Marian College Kuttikkanam, Autonomous, PIN:685 531, Kerala

Mr. Ubais Iqbal

Assistant Professor, Reseach and PG Department of Commerce, Marian College Kuttikkanam, Autonomous, PIN:685 531, Kerala

ABSTRACT

Indian economy is ostensibly an agrarian economy with a contribution of 7.68 percentage of the total global agricultural output and moreover an economy with a highly promising and propitious young population.

Being an agrarian economy with assuring young population, Agripreneurship is a rosy subject as far as Indian economy is concerned. The present study made an attempt to figure out the determinants and challenges in the adoption of agripreneurship as a career option by youngsters. The study ends up with the following major findings such as; youngsters have a positive perception and attitude on most of the grounds under study except accessibility to inputs, credit facilities, slow and low return, profit and return from agripreneurship, potential for growth of agripreneurs, youth involvement for the development of agriculture sector and pride involved in agripreneurship. Besides, the study identified inadequate technical knowledge, dominant logic that only experienced person can run the agri-business, lack of support from family, lack of confidence to succeed in agri-business, lack of decisiveness to start agripreneurship, lack of positive mind-set regarding agripreneurship, lack of awareness on agricultural business supporting services and difficult to get expected number of consumers as major challenges facing by youngsters in the adoption of agriprneurship as a career option. In order to change the negative perception of agribusiness venture in some youths, Government, Non-Governmental Organizations, other agriculture promoting agencies, educational institutions and society should educate the youth about the

benefit of venturing into agribusiness and should minimize the challenges that youth who venture in agribusiness faces. Various strategies should be put in place to motivate and encourage more youth to venture in agribusiness

Key Words: Agripreneurship, Perception, Attitude, Constraints

INTRODUCTION

There are about 1.8 billion young and the 85% of these belong to developing countries (Affairs D. E., 2015). It is imperative for any agricultural based economy to motivate and encourage the youth to engage in agriculture to enable such economy to thrive and be stable. The importance of youth labour in agriculture emanates from the fact that they are able to overcome various challenges facing the agriculture sector since they are less conservative and are open to change, new methods and technology than elderly farmers (Daudu, 2009). Today's and tomorrow's agri-"culture" demands an entirely different approach from the past. We are keen in using the "buzz" words of the day with the entire scenario. Agripreneurship is one of those words. However, we collectively forget or ignore to check if we are ready to embrace the idea of Agripreneurship or if we are enough equipped to convert this idea into reality. This is an attempt to understand the readiness to embrace the concepts of Agripreneurship in the real world scenarios of developing countries, by the current and future generations, its transition from traditional to commercial agriculture and the problems it faces. The project discusses level of inclination of youngsters towards agripreneurship and some of the developmental challenges facing by them.

OBJECTIVES

- To study the perception about agripmeurship among youngsters
- To study the attitude of youngsters about agripmeurship.
- To test the association of gender and current status of respondents on the perception and attitude of youngsters towards agripmeurship

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

(Farah Adala Abdullah, 2012) explored the inclination of the younger generation particularly the youth towards involvement in agriculture. Most of the youth expressed their positive feelings towards agriculture as a booster for their socio-economic level. The study found problems such as high risks and unattractive have obstructed their maximum involvement in agriculture. (Muthomi, 2017), (Bose, 2013), (Rizki Novanda Ridha, 2016), (Singh, 2012) and

(Adekunle, 2009) found that business know-how, access to affordable employees, access to agricultural inputs, access to markets, access to agricultural machinery, access to technical assistance, access to mentors, education on agriculture/agribusiness, access to information about agribusiness, access to capital ,access to extension services, low returns to agricultural investment, lack of access to tractors and other farming inputs, public perception of farmers, lack of basic knowledge of modern farming techniques as the major impediments.

The studies made by (B.G Gadhvi, 2013), (Abdul Aziz Abdullah, 2013), (Nnanna Agwu, 2014) and (Nain M.S, 2015) observed that major constraints perceived by agricultural postgraduates were; bank loan procedure is extensive, lack of consciousness to have agribusiness, inadequate technical knowledge regarding agribusiness, the dominant logic that only experienced person can run the agribusiness and lack of support from the family. (Jeffry Lawrence D'Silva, 2009) identified that youths from smaller cities and towns were found to be more knowledgeable and involved extensively in farming activities compared to their counterparts from larger population centers while (A.S Bahaman, 2010) and (Lawrence, 2010) observed urban and rural youth have same level of acceptance, attitude and knowledge towards contract farming.

The researches made by (Shrivastava, 2010), (Dr. Tripathi Ravindra, 2015), (A.P. Upadhyay, 2013) and (Bairwa Shoji Lal, 2014) found that increases the income level and employment opportunities, induces productivity gains by smallholder farmers and integrating them into local, national and international markets, reduces food costs, supply uncertainties and improving the diets in rural as well as urban areas and thus agripreneurship plays various roles in the growth and development of national economy. (Dhakre, 2014) observed that aspiration of students towards agriculture enterprise was positively and significantly associated with father occupation, family size and aim of joining.

RESEARCH GAP

The study revised almost all the available and existing works of literature on the topic agriprneurship and most of these literatures were revolving around factors influencing the adoption of agripreneurship, knowledge about agripreneurship and extend of adoption of agripreneurship etc. Therefore the existing works of literature leaves a need over which constitutes the topic and relevance of the current study which is the inclination towards Agripreneurship among youngsters.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

In this study the following hypotheses are adopted for testing.

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

- i. $[H_0]$: There is no association between gender of the respondents and their perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.
- ii. [H₀]: There is no significant difference between the current status of respondents and perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

It is an empirical study based on both primary and secondary data. Primary data was collected through questionnaire. Using convenient sampling technique, 55 sample respondents were selected. For the purpose of validating the questionnaire, a pilot study was conducted among 10 respondents. The secondary data used in the study were collected from journals, websites and other publications. The collected data was analysed by using statistical and mathematical tools and techniques like percentage, mode, t-test, anova etc. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software was used during the process of data analysis. The results of analysis of data are presented in the form of tables and graphs.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

1. PROFILE ANALYSIS

Table 1. Profile of the respondents

| 1.1 Gender of | f the responde | ents | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| | Male | | | | | Female | | | | | |
| Frequency | 41 | | | | | 14 | | | | | |
| Percentage | 74.5 | | | | | 25.5 | | | | | |
| 1.2 Current s | tatus of the re | sponden | ts | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Studying Agri-related | | elated works | Business | Professional job | | Jo | b seeking | Others | | |
| Frequency | 10 | .0 12 | | 9 | 7 | | 11 | | 5 | | |
| Percentage | 18.2 | 23.6 | | 16.4 12.7 | | | | 0.0 | 9.1 | | |
| 1.3 Occupation | on of father | • | | | | | | | • | | |
| | Business Ag | | griculture | Govt. job | | Private job | | Others | | | |
| Frequency | 10 | 11 | | 11 | | 13 | | 10 | | | |
| Percentage | 18.2 | | 0.0 | 20.0 | | 23.6 | | 18.2 | | | |
| 1.4 Occupation | on of mother | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Business Home making | | Govt. job | | Private job | | Others | | | | |
| Frequency | 9 | | 5 | 1 | | 7 | | 11 | | | |
| Percentage | 16.4 | 29 | 9.1 | 21.8 | | 12.7 | | 20.0 | | | |

Source: Primary Data

From the table the study interprets that, out of the 55 respondents 74.5 % are male and 25.5% are female. Of the 55 respondents 10 are studying, 13 are doing agriculture related works, 9 are doing business, 7 are professionals, 11 are job seekers and 5 are doing other kind of works. There are 11 respondents each [20%] whose father is doing business and government job. Another 10 respondents' father is agriculturists. The number of students whose father is

doing private job is more [23.6%]. 18.2% fathers are businessmen and another 18.2% are doing other jobs. Of the respondents 29.1% of the mothers are engaged in home making. 21.8% of mothers are government employees, 16.4% are doing business, 12.7% of mothers are private employees and 20% are doing other jobs.

2. INFERENTIAL ANALYSIS

Table No. 2.1

Table showing perception and attitude of respondents

| 2.1 | PERCEPTION | MODE |
|-----|---|------|
| 1 | High initial investment | 1 |
| 2 | Lack of incentives de-motivate the agripreneurial intention | 1 |
| 3 | Low social status | 1 |
| 4 | Insurance schemes are dissatisfied | 1 |
| 5 | Credit facilities are inadequate | 4 |
| 6 | Slow and low return | 4 |
| 7 | Poor accessibility to inputs | 5 |
| 8 | Lack of access to technical assistance | 3 |
| 2.2 | ATTITUDE | |
| 1 | Promises a good profit | 2 |
| 2 | Potential for growth | 1 |
| 3 | Youth involvement for development | 1 |
| 4 | Pride profession | 1 |
| 5 | Satisfaction and experience | 3 |
| 6 | High-status job that is glamorous and worthy | 5 |
| 7 | Interesting and stimulating | 4 |
| 8 | Fit for those living in village | 3 |
| 9 | Encouraged by family and friends | 2 |
| 10 | Professional and high approval obtainable | 3 |
| 11 | Inherited the tradition of family | 4 |
| 12 | Promise good return | 1 |

Source: Primary Data

Factors pertaining to Perception towards agripreneurship shows the extent to which respondents feel being able to enact the behaviour of an agripreneur. Mode of the first four variables of the factor Perception is 1 and it indicates high degree of disagreement to the statements, for the fifth and sixth variable mode is 4 which indicate Agreement to the statements. Mode of the seventh variable is 5 which show high degree of agreement to the statement and for the eighth variable it is 3 which mean the majority of the respondents are neutral to the statement. Therefore the study interprets that majority of the respondents are having a positive perception about the first four variables related to Agripreneurship and having negative perception about the fifth, sixth and seventh variables and they have no perception regarding the eighth factor.

The mode of all the variables pertaining to the factor Attitude shows either positive or negative emotions, beliefs or behaviours of respondents toward agripreneurship. Majority of the respondents are disagreeing with the first, second, third, fourth, ninth and twelfth statements given in the questionnaire and they are agreeing with the sixth, seventh and eleventh variable given. Majority of the respondents are neutral to the fifth, eighth and tenth variables. Therefore the study interprets that majority of the respondents have positive attitude towards sixth, seventh and eleventh variable, negative attitude to the first, second, third, fourth, ninth and twelfth factors, and they have no any stand regarding the fifth, eighth and tenth factor.

Table No.2.2

Table showing plan to start agripreneurial venture.

| Plan to start agriprneurship | No. of respondents | Percent |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Yes | 31 | 56.4 |
| No | 24 | 43.6 |
| Total | 55 | 100.0 |

Source: Primary Data

It is clear from the table that majority of respondents (56.4%) possess agripreneurial intentions and students do not any plan to start agriculture business in near future scales to 43.6%.

3. HYPOTHESIS TESTING

3.1 Hypothesis Testing 1

 $[H_0]$: There is no association between gender of the respondents and their perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

 $[\mathbf{H_1}]$: There is association between gender of the respondents and their perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.

| Table No.3.1.1 Independent sample t test based on Gender and Perception | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Gender of | the | Mean | Std. | t value | Degree of | Sig. | Mean | | |
| respondent | | | Deviation | | freedom | (2-tailed) | Difference | | |
| Male | | 2.8933 | .56704 | 466 | 95 | .643 | 07992 | | |
| Female | | 2.9732 | .51464 | | | | 07992 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Table No.3.1.2 Independent sample t test based on Gender and Attitude | | | | | | | | | |
| Table No.3.1.2 | Inde | pendent sa | ample t test b | ased on Ge | ender and At | titude | | | |
| Table No.3.1.2 Gender of | Indep the | oendent sa Mean | ample t test b Std. | t value | ender and At Degree of | titude Sig. (2- | Mean | | |
| | | | _ | | | | Mean Difference | | |
| Gender of | | | Std. | | Degree of | Sig. (2- | | | |

Source: Primary Data

The mean value of male and female respondents on their perception on agripreneurship shows that there is no significance difference in the mean scores of male (M=2.8933, S.D=.56704) and female (M=2.9732, S.D=.51464) respondents (t (95) = -.466, p=.643). This result suggests that gender of respondents does not have an effect on their perception on agripreneurship.

The results shows that there is no significance difference in the mean scores of male (M=2.9654, S.D=.42450) and female (M=2.9226, S.D=.47756) respondents (t (95) = .316, p=.753) on their attitude towards agripreneurship. his result suggests that gender of respondents does not have an effect on their attitude on inclination towards the agripreneurship.

3.2 Hypothesis Testing II

 $[\mathbf{H}_0]$: There is no association between current status of the respondents and their perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.

 $[H_1]$: There is association between current status of the respondents and their perception and attitude towards agripreneurship.

| Table No. 3.2.1 One-Way ANOVA of Current Status and Perception. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----|----------------|------|------|--|
| Descriptive Statistics | One - Way ANOVA | | | | | | | | |
| Current Status | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | | | Sum of Square | F | Sig. | |
| Studying | 10 | 3.0375 | .54661 | D | | 450 | 202 | 020 | |
| Agri-related works | 13 | 2.8846 | .49598 | Between Group Within Group | | .459 15.912 | .283 | .920 | |
| Business | 9 | 2.9861 | .87152 | Total | | 16.371 | | | |
| Professional job | 7 | 2.7500 | .43899 | | | | | | |
| Job seeking | 11 | 2.8523 | .43236 | | | | | | |
| Others | 5 | 2.9750 | .54054 | | | | | | |
| Total | 55 | 2.9136 | .55061 | | | | | | |
| Table No. 3.2.2 | On | e-Way A | NOVA of Cu | ırrent Status a | nd. | Attitude. | | | |
| Current Status | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | | | Sum of Square | F | Sig. | |
| Studying | 10 | 3.0750 | .59246 | Between Group Within Group | | .753 9.439 | .782 | .567 | |
| Agri-related works | 13 | 3.0000 | .47140 | Total | | 10.192 | | | |
| Business | 9 | 2.7685 | .32483 | | | | | | |
| Professional job | 7 | 2.7857 | .44581 | | | | | | |
| Job seeking | 11 | 3.0227 | .38386 | | | | | | |
| Others | 5 | 3.0167 | .16029 | | | | | | |
| Total | 55 | 2.9545 | .43444 | | | | | | |

Source: Primary Data

In the case of one-way ANOVA on current status and perception of respondents test statistic accepted the null hypothesis and so it can be concluded that there is no association between current status of respondents and their perception towards the agripreneurship.

Null hypothesis is accepted in the case of current status and attitude of respondents, on the ground of significant value being above 0.05. That is, current status of the respondents and the attitude of respondents towards the agripreneurship are not associated.

MANAGERIAL IMPLICATION

Government and Non-Governmental Organizations schemes, banks and financial institutions, agriculture promoting institutions and other agencies should be more reachable to people, so

© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

that accessibility to agricultural inputs can be improved. Orientation techniques like seminars by agripreneurs, industrial visits, workshops, and incubation facilities etc. should be implemented to enhance the intention of youth to become agripreneur and make them technically updated.

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The study found that most of the youngsters are inclined to agripreneurship and they have a positive perception towards it. The study has also identified some negative attitude of respondents towards agripreneurship in certain aspects. The study leaves a scope for developing a model suggesting the adoption of agripreneurship as a career option for future works.

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to assess the inclination of youngsters towards the agripreneurship by analysing perception and attitude of youngsters towards the agripreneurship and the constraints facing by youngsters in the adoption of agripreneurship as a career option. The results shows that youngsters have a positive perception and attitude on most of the grounds under study except accessibility to inputs, credit facilities, slow and low return, profit and return from agripreneurship, potential for growth of agripreneurs, youth involvement for the development of agriculture sector and pride involved in agripreneurship.

The study suggests involvement of Government, Non-Governmental Organizations, and other agriculture promoting agencies, educational institutions and society. If that is done, our great nation which is based on agriculture would have more number of young agripreneurs in future.

REFERENCES

A.P. Upadhyay, U. B. (2013). Identification of problems and formulation of extension strategies for upliftment of women agripreneurship in sericulture: An exploratory study in Garo hills of Meghalaya. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research*.

A.S Bahaman, L. J. (2010). Acceptance, attitude and knowledge towards agriculture economics activity between rural and urban youth. The case of contract farming. *Journal of applied sciences*.

Abdul Aziz Abdullah, S. A. (2013). Factors that influence the interest of youths in agricultural entrepreneurship. *International journal of business and social science*.

Adekunle, O. A. (2009). Constraints to youth involvement in agricultural production in kwara state, Nigeria. *Journal of agricultural extension*.

Affairs, D. E. (2015, May). Retrieved from www.unpopulation.org.

Affairs, D. o. (2015, May). Retrieved from www.unpopulation.org.

B.G Gadhvi, M. C. (2013). Agribusiness anxiety and constraints perceived in acceptance of agribusiness by post graduate students of SAUs of Gujarat,. *Gujarat journal of extension education*.

Bairwa Shoji Lal, L. K. (2014). Agripreneurship development as a tool to upliftment of agriculture. *International journal of scientific and research publications*.

Bhadauria, D. A. (2017). Agripreneurship: An avenue for unemployed youth. *Journal of rural & agriculture management*.

Bose, V. (n.d.). Empowering youth for sustainable agribusiness: A study of Kerala.

D, R. B. (2015). Agripreneurship- Issues and opportunities with a simple case study for better handling and post-harvest management of fruits and vegetables. *Indian journal of agriculture*

Dhakre, D. (2014). Aspiration of agriculture students towardsAgriculture Enterprise in West Bengal: A Case Study. *Indian Resaerch Journal of Extended Education*.

Dr. Tripathi Ravindra, A. S. (2015). Rural development through agripreneurship: A study of farmers in Uttar Pradesh. *Global journal of advanced research* .

FAO. Exploring opportunities and constraints for young agro entrepreneurs in Africa.

Farah Adala Abdullah, B. A. (2012). Inclination towards agriculture among rural youth in Malaysia. *Journal of basic and applied scientific research*.

Jeffry Lawrence D'Silva. (2009). A review of contract farming and factors that impinge youths acceptance to contract farming. *European journal of social sciences*.

Laurens Klerkx, C. L. (2005). *Matching demand and supply in the agricultural knowledge*. Retrieved from www.elsevier.com/locate/foodpol.

Lawrence, J. (2010). Socio-demographic factor that impinge youth acceptance towards agriculture: the case of contract farming. *Amerian- Eurassian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*.

Mcelwee, G. (2006). Farmers as entrepreneurs; Development of competitive skills. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*.

Muthomi, E. (2017). Challenges and opportunities for youth engaged in agribusiness in Kenya.

Nain M.S, B. R. (2015). Participatory identification and prioritization of agri enterprises in national capital region of India. *Indian journal of agricultural sciences*.

Nnanna Agwu, E. E. (2014). Determinants of agricultural labour participation among youths in Abia, Nigeria. *International journal of food and agricultural economics*.

Padaria, D. H. (2016). Agripreneurial attitude among the farmers of National Capital Region of Delhi. *Economic Affairs*, .

Reza Movahedi, S. L. (2013). The factors affecting agricultural students' attitude towards self-employment and entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*.

Reza Movahedi, T. C. (2013). Identifying entrepreneurship abilities in agricultural extension and education specialized credits. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*.

Rizki Novanda Ridha, B. a. (2016). Entrepreneurship intention in agricultural sector of young generation in Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*.

Shrivastava, D. V. (2010). A study of small agripreneurs and the business management. *International journal of management prudence*.

Singh, A. P. (2012). Strategies for developing agripreneurship among family comunity in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Asia Entrepreneurship and Sustainability*.