International Research Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences
ISSN: (2349-4077)
Impact Factor 5.46 Volume 7, Issue 3, March 2020
Website- www.aarf.asia, Email : editor@aarf.asia , editoraarf@gmail.com

THE LABORATORY COLONY OF ANOPHELES STEPHENSI WAS USED TO DETERMINE A BIOLOGICAL FORM USING THE ODORANT-BINDING PROTEIN 1 INTRON I SEQUENCING

Anshra Hasmi[1], Dr. Amit Sharma[2]
[1]Research Scholar,[2]Associate Professor
Department of Zoology, Shri Venkateshwara University, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background

A prominent malaria vector in Asia, Anopheles stephensi Listen (1901) has lately been discovered in certain African regions. A three-species family tree has been proposed for the An. stepehnsi genus: All type, intermediates, and mysorensis has a distinct habitat and capacity of the plasmodium transmission. An. went between the two olfaction binds receptor-1 (AnsteObp1), mtdna hydroxylase modules 1 and 2 (COI but instead COII), and also the nuclear internal transcript spacer 2 gene were all abundant in their An. stephensi makes available population, which they utilized to identify the species complex members (ITS2).

Keywords: Prominent Malaria Vector,StepehnsiGenus,StephensiMysorensis Type,Species.

Methods

The number of ridges in each mosquito egg was counted using a stereomicroscope (n = 50). Female mosquitoes were used for the extraction of genomic DNA. The PCR products were purified and sequenced after partial segments of the AnsteObp1, COI, COII, and ITS2 genes were amplified. MEGA 7 was used to perform phylogenetic analysis after matching the query sequences against the GenBank submissions.

Results

Each egg float had a number of ridges ranging from 12–13, which is consistent with the An. stephensimysorensis type. Each of the three An. stephensi strains had 99.46 percent resemblance between its COI, COII and ITS2 sequencing results compared to those of the other three strains, respectively. AnsteObp1 intron I region sequences produced Siblings variety C (mysorensis form) for An. stephensi found Persia and Afghanistan completely resembled the dna submitted for An. went between the two C (mysonensis version).

Conclusions

Using the morphological and molecular data described in this publication, it should be possible to classify and differentiate An. stephensi var mysorensisidentical or separate insect species animals, or from various biotypes of those species. AnsteObp1 should really be favoured above Che il and ITS2, which cannot be employed for intercultural (Area)

distinction of the B. went between the two group complicated, as a potent dna barcode for quick and exact species classification An. stephensi species.

Introduction

Cryptic species complexes include numerous medically significant insects that are physically indistinguishable (isomorphic), yet have different reproduction strategies, and have periodic prevelance, host choices, complication rates, sleeping patterns, and attacking phases that differ from one another [1–3]. Malaria parasites are transmitted by around 70 of the 482 species of anopheline mosquito, and roughly 30 complexes of these insects have been discovered to date [4–6]. The discovery of new species on this planet has led to an ongoing expansion of the number of A. aegypti insect vectors [4–8]. Identification of the species complexes An. gambiae, An. culicifacies, and An. dirus has become increasingly important in light of recent discoveries on their biology and distribution in Africa, India, and Thailand [5]. The characterization of the zika epidemic and the associated vector preventive actions [5] may be substantially muddled if they fail to distinguish between Sibling genus of the complicated of ectoparasites genus, both vector and non-vector. Because of inadequate understanding of complexities of vector mosquitoes, the human number of malaria deaths in Asia-Pacific increased from 217 millions in 2016 to 219 billion in 2017 or 229 billion in 2019, in accordance with the Health Organisation (WHO).

An. characteristics of an individual is one of most prevalent parasitic infections in the Gulf Region, the Indian continent, Syria, Iraq, Bangla, parts Of southeast asia, Thailand, Siam, and Africa [9,11,12]. An. stephensi has three varieties, namely mysorensis (intermediary), medium (type), and mysorensis (mysorensis), according to study on egg morphometry [3, 5]. Mysorensis is only discovered in rural areas and is a poor transmitter (very zoophilic), yet it is vulnerable to Vivax (VK210B) [3,8,14] and an effective vector of malaria in urban areas [13]. Only reports from rural and peri-urban regions have been made about the intermediate biological form's ability to spread [6,15]. Despite effective malaria control measures, An. stephensi is expanding its global distribution [6]. Because the accurate identification of Anopheles stephensi and other Anopheles complex members is critical in malaria surveillance, effective control and elimination efforts [5,15], there is a great need for this.

The genetics of the An. stephensi population are currently poorly understood [16,17]. However, despite the widespread use of molecular markers such as mitochondrial oxidases subunits 1 and 2 (CO1 and CO2), The majority of these criteria, including the domain-3 (D3) and 16s Rrna gene intergenic transcriptional space 2 (rDNA-ITS2) locations, have just been able to distinguish between different biologic phases of An. s.l. An alternate method for distinguishing An. went between the two compound persons is by the use of the sequences of the Mercaptan Receptor-1 intron I [15]. The pervasiveness and genetic drift of morphological traits there in An. stepehnsi combination had significant effects on humans for controller and infection managemen depend on identification [4,5].

AnsteObp1 intron I succession was shown to be a good measure for swift and precise proof of identity of An. salmonella sp in this study, which was also intended to scrutinise the ability of COI but instead COII, but instead ITS2 (commonly used tags) gene differences for reassembling the phylogenetic analysis and acceptance of species of An. salmonella sp in about there considered a single, in order to exemplify (as a secondary data analysis).

An precise representation of the makes available colony that might be used in target gene, Interactive user, and other wildlife management strategies. Vector population replacement/suppression is becoming increasingly popular and significant, yet these emerging technologies are largely species-specific. Preliminary sequence data provided by this study may help us better understand and identify the mysorensis form of An. stephensi, as well as its taxonomic and phylogenetic status.

The upkeep of a colony

More than six years have passed since the An An. stephensi swarm was housed in the variously interpreted at Wang Seng School in Canton, Zhejiang District, China (the Hor strain). Wen-Yue Xu first gathered information first from viral college of science of the Third Defense University Medical center in Wuhan, China [19]. In order to raise plants, sugarcane solution (10% (W/V) sugar) was employed under conditions of 28°C, 70% RH, or a 12:12 (L: D) sunlight. Polycarbonate pans (30 x 40 x 8 cm) were used to raise larvae. Larvae were raised in these dishes using electrolyte the Atomic 2 juvenile feed in accordance with the usual procedure reported in [20].

Collection and morphological analysis of mosquito eggs

Anesthetized white mouse (Kunming strain) for 30 minutes permitted female mosquitoes to begin egg development after 5–7 days as adults. Female mosquitoes that had been blood fed were isolated and housed in individual 50 mL plastic tubes (one insect per tube) with a dump paper at the bottom for egg collection after they had been engorged by blood feeding. Cotton soaked in a 10% sugar solution was inserted into the tubes. Following a three-day processing period, the adult females were subjected to further molecular testing. To count the number of ridges on one side of the eggs, about 50 eggs were put on a slide (each time) using a drop of water and inspected under stereomicroscope with 40 (bright field illumination) magnification. A scanning electron microscope image was also obtained to demonstrate the egg's shape clearly.

Extracting DNA and performing a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Dongsheng Biotech's DNA extraction kit was used to extract the DNA of individual female mosquitoes from each tube following the manufacturer's instructions. For this experiment, one mosquito was homogenized (at 50 Hzs for 30–60 seconds) in 1.5 mL of STE buffer using a tiny steel ball. A Pcr amplification quantity of 5 L was produced by combining this grinding solution the 18 mL of something like the Extraction and purification buffer and incubating the mixture for 2 seconds at room temperature by each subject. Samples were subjected to 95°C for 15 min but then just cool to room temperature in preparation for PCR. After being completely blended with 2 L of neutralising solvent, that was maintained at room temperature

for a short while. The collected DNA then is either directly synthesized for further gene transcription or subsequently preserved at -20°C.

Its2 and AnsteObp1 segments were amplified.

Amplification of the COI, COII, ITS2 and AnsteObp1 partial genes was carried out for each mosquito (n = 50) by PCR. As seen in Table 1, each marker has its own set of primers as well as PCR conditions that were followed. In PCR procedures, double Instead of template DNA, liquid was used as a treated group. Screening the plasmids in both ends while extracting the DNA from the TaKaRa agar (Japan) were used to purify the PCR results.

Conclusion

AnsteObp1 is found to be a reliable genetic marker for the identification of An. stephensi complex members in this investigation. COI, COII, and ITS2 cannot identify An. stephensi's sibling species, which supports the idea. Mysorensis morphological and molecular characterization, as well as the accompanying methods, are discussed in this work. An. stephensi complex sister species identification and detailed entomological surveillance help greatly to ongoing malaria control measures. It is necessary to do work on the expansion of human, seasonally quantity, host, habitat, vulnerability to falciparum pathogens, and biting cycling of the An. stephensi combination.

References

1.Gholizadeh S, Karimi NN, Zaker S, Djadid NN. The Role of Molecular Techniques on Malaria Control and Elimination Programs in Iran: A Review Article. Iran J Parasitol. 2018; 13(2);161–171. pmid:30069199

2.Djadid ND, Gholizadeh S, Aghajari M, Zehi AH, Raeisi A, Zakeri S. Genetic analysis of rDNA-ITS2 and RAPD loci in field populations of the malaria vector, Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae): implications for the control program in Iran. Acta Trop. 2006; 97:65–74. pmid:16188214

3.Subbarao SK, Vasantha K, Adak T, Sharma VP, Curtis CF. Egg float ridge number in *Anopheles stephensi*: ecological variation and genetic analysis. Med Vet Entomol. 1987;1(3): 265–271. pmid:2979540

4.Subbarao S K 1998 Anopheles species complexes in South East Asia region (Technical publication No. 18) (New Delhi:World Health Organization, South East Asia Regional Office).

5.World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2007). New Year Address to Staff at SEARO, 31 December 2007, WHO/SEARO, New Delhi. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia.

6.Oshaghi MA, Yaghoobi F, Vatandoost H, Abaei MR, Akbarzadeh K. Anopheles stephensi biological forms: Geographical Distribution and Malaria Transmission in Malarious regions of Iran. Pak Bio Scie. 2006b;9 (2):294–298.

7.Oshaghi MA, Yaghobi-Ershadi MR, Shemshad K, Pedram M, Amani H. The Anopheles superpictus complex: introduction of a new malaria vector complex in Iran. Bull Soc PatholExot. 2008;101:429–34. pmid:19192616

8.Gholizadeh S, Djadid ND, Nouroozi B, Bekmohammadi M. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Anopheles and Cellia subgenus anophelines (Diptera: Culicidae) in temperate and tropical regions of Iran. Acta Trop. 2013b;126:63–74.

9.Sinka M, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Patil AP, Temperley WH, et al. The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Paras and Vec. 2011; 4:89.

10.World Health Organization (WHO). World Malaria Report 2018 and 2020. World Health Organization; 2018.

11.Dash AP, Adak T, Raghavendra K, Singh OP. The biology and control of malaria vectors in India. 2007; Curr Sci: 92, 1571–1578.

12.Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khaireh BA. First record of the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa. Acta Trop. 2014;139:39–43. pmid:25004439

13.Vipin , Dube M, Gakhar SK. Genetic differentiation between three ecological variants (‘type’, ‘mysorensis’ and ‘intermediate’) of malaria vector Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae). Insect Science. 2010; 17: 335–343.

14.Basseri HR, MohamadzadehHajipirloo H, Mohammadi Bavani M, Whitten MMA. Comparative Susceptibility of Different Biological Forms of Anopheles stephensi to Plasmodium berghei ANKA Strain. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(9): e75413. pmid:24086525

15.Firooziyan S, Djadid ND, Gholizadeh S. Speculation on the possibility for introducing Anopheles stephensi as a species complex: preliminary evidence based on odorant binding protein 1 intron I sequence. Malar J. 2018; 17:366. pmid:30326917

16.Gholizadeh S, Firooziyan S, Ladonni H, Hajipirloo HM, Djadid ND, Hosseini A, et al. The Anopheles stephensi odorant binding protein 1 (AnsteObp1) gene: A new molecular marker for biological forms diagnosis. Acta Tropica. 2015; 146; 101–113. pmid:25795618

17.Mishra S, Sharma G, Das MK, Pande V, Singh OP. Intragenomic sequence variations in the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) ribosomal DNA of the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*. PLoS ONE. 2021; 16(6): e0253173. pmid:34125861

18.Alam MT, Bora H, Das MK, Sharma YD. The type and mysorensis forms of the Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) in India exhibit identical ribosomal DNA ITS2 and domain-3 sequences. Parasitol Res. 2008; 103:75–80. pmid:18309520

19.Zhang J, Zhang S, Wang Y, Xu W, Zhang J, et al. Modulation of Anopheles stephensi Gene Expression by Nitroquine, an Antimalarial Drug against Plasmodium yoelii Infection in the Mosquito. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(2). pmid:24586804

20.Khan I, Farid A, Zeb A. Development of inexpensive and globally available larval diet for rearing Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes. Para and Vec. 2013; 6:90. pmid:23570246

21.Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, et al. ClustalW and ClustalX version 2. Bioinformatics. 2007; 23 (21), 2947–2948. pmid:17846036

22.Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2011; 28 (10): 2731–2739. pmid:21546353

23.Sweet WC and Rao BA. Races of A. stephensi Liston. Indian Med Gaz. 1937;72:665–74. pmid:29013249

24.Zou YL, Hao YJ, Xu WY, Ding YR, Zhou Y, He QY, et al. NCBI direct submission (KT899888). 2015.

25.Stone AK, Knight L and Starcke H. (1959). A synoptic catalog of mosquito of the world (Diptera, culicidea). Thomas Say Foundation, Entomological society of America, Washington.

26.Puri IM (1949). Anophelines of the Oriental region. Malariology (ed. M.F. Boyd), pp, 483–505. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia.

27.Rutledge LC, Ward RA, Bickley WE. Experimental hybridization of geographic strains of Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am. 1970; 63:1024–30. pmid:5449397

28.Sweet WC, Rao BA, Subba Rao AM. Cross breeding of Anopheles stephensi type and Anopheles stephensi var. mysorensis. J Malar Inst Ind. 1938; 1:149–154.

29.Anyanwu GI, Davies DH, Molyneux DH, Phillips A. Variation in cuticular hydrocarbons among strains of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston possibly related to prior insecticide exposure. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1997; 91: 649–659. pmid:9425368

30.Coluzzi M, Di Deco M, Cancrini G. Chromosomal inversions in *Anopheles stephensi*. Parassitologia. 1973;15:129–36. pmid:4788354

31.Suguna SG. The genetics of three larval mutants in *Anopheles stephensi*. Indian J Med Res. 1981; 73 (Suppl):120–3. pmid:7287087

32.Mahmood F, Sakai RK. Inversion polymorphisms in natural populations of Anopheles stephensi. Can J Genet Cytol. 1984; 26:538–546. pmid:6498600

33.Subbarao SK (1996). Genetics of malaria vectors. Proc. Nat Acad. India 66 (B). SPL. Issue: 51–76.

34.Surendran NS, Sivabalakrishnan K, Gajapathy K, Arthiyan S, Jayadas TTP, Karvannan K, et al. Genotype and biotype of invasive Anopheles stephensi in Mannar Island of Sri Lanka. Para and Vec. 2018; 11:3;1–7. pmid:29298698

35.Nagpal BN, Srivastava A, Kalra NL, Subbarao SK. Spiracular indices in Anopheles stephensi: a taxonomic tool to identify ecological variants. J Med Entomol. 2003;40(6):747–9. pmid:14765648

36.Rao B. A., Sweet W. C., and Subbarao A. M.. 1938. Ova measurements of A. stephensi type and A. stephensivar.mysorensis. J. Malar. Inst. India. 1: 261Ð266.

37.Gakhar SK, Sharma R, Shar a A. Population genetic structure of malaria vector Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). Indian J Exper Bio. 2013; 51, 273–279.

38.Suleman M. Intraspecific variation in the reproductive capacity of Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 1990; 27:819–28. pmid:2231619

39.World Mosquito Program. (2021) mosquitoprogram.org/.

40.Wang G, Li C, Zheng W, Song F, Guo X, Wu Z, et al. An evaluation of the suitability of COI and COII gene variation for reconstructing the phylogeny of, and identifying cryptic species in, anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera Culicidae). Mitochondrial DNA Part A. 2016; 28 (5);769–777.

41.Chavshin AR, Oshaghi MA, Vatandoost H, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Raeisi A, Nikpoor F. Molecular characterization, biological forms and sporozoite rate of Anopheles stephensi in southern Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2014; 4(1): 47–51. pmid:24144130

42.Carter TE, Yared S, Gebresilassie A, Bonnell V, Damodaran L, Lopez K, et al. First detection of Anopheles stephensi Liston, 1901 (Diptera: Culicidae) in Ethiopia using molecular and morphological approaches. Acta Tropica. 2018; S0001-706X(18)30561-8. pmid:30189199