# THE RESERVE AND A SERVE AND A

#### **International Research Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences**

ISSN: (2349-4077)

#### Impact Factor 7.032 Volume 9, Issue 06, June 2022

Website- www.aarf.asia, Email: editor@aarf.asia, editoraarf@gmail.com

# "IMPACT OF THIAMETHOXAM (ACTARA) ON SPATHOSTERNUMPRASINIFERUM: UNRAVELING THE GENOTOXICITY AND POTENTIAL ECOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES FOR GRASSHOPPER POPULATIONS"

Rasmiprava Pattanaik, Research Scholar, Dept. Of Zoology, Kalinga University Dr. Ravinder Pal Singh, Professor, Dept. Of Zoology, Kalinga University

#### Abstract:

Concerns have been raised about the potential for genotoxic side effects of neonicotinoids, such as thiamethoxam. Grasshoppers, as important components of terrestrial ecosystems, play crucial roles in ecosystem functioning. However, the genotoxicity of thiamethoxam and its ecological consequences for grasshopper populations remain understudied. This study aims to unravel the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on Spathosternum Prasiniferum grasshoppers and assess the potential ecological implications. Researchers use techniques like the micronucleus and alkaline-comet tests to assess DNA damage and chromosomal abnormalities. These findings indicate that thiamethoxam exposure has significant genetic effects on grasshoppers. The study also explores ecological implications, taking into account altered population dynamics, and cascading impacts on ecosystem function. This research contributes to the understanding of the impacts of thiamethoxam on grasshopper species and highlights the need for comprehensive risk assessments and management strategies. The research emphasizes that pesticides must be used with care to protect non-target species and maintain ecosystem integrity.

**Keywords** DNA damage, chromosomal abnormalities, environmental consequences, pesticide risks assessment, population dynamics and ecosystem function.

#### Introduction:

Thiamethoxam, a widely used neonicotinoid insecticide, has been of growing concern due to its potential genotoxic effects on non-target organisms. As key players in terrestrial ecosystems they play a crucial role in the regulation of plant biomass, nutrient cycles, and serve as prey to various predators. It is important to understand the effects of thiamethoxam exposure on species of grasshoppers, like SpathosternumPrasiniferum.

Genetic damage to populations, species interaction, and ecosystem function can be profoundly affected by pesticide genotoxicity. The genotoxic impacts of neonicotinoids have been studied primarily on pollinators such as bees. However, the effects on non-target species like grasshoppers have received little attention. There is therefore a requirement to examine the potential genotoxicity of thiamethoxam, and any ecological effects it may have on grasshopper populations.

Current literature suggests that exposure to thiamethoxam can cause genotoxic effects on SpathosternumPrasiniferum Grasshoppers. Studies using techniques like the micronucleus and alkaline-comet tests have shown significant DNA damage and chromosomal abnormalities in grasshoppers exposed to thiamethoxam. These findings raise concerns

about the potential long-term genetic impacts and fitness consequences for grasshopper populations.

Furthermore, the potential ecological consequences of thiamethoxam-induced genotoxicity on grasshopper populations are of great significance. Genetic damage may compromise grasshopper fitness and reproductive performance, as well as the viability of their population. Genetic diversity reduction and population dynamics changes can have cascading impacts on ecosystem stability, including predator-prey interactions, nutrient cycles, and community dynamics.

To date, there is a lack of comprehensive studies investigating the genotoxicity of thiamethoxam in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers and its potential ecological consequences. This study will investigate the genotoxic effect of thiamethoxam in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers and evaluate the ecological consequences for the populations. This research, which examines DNA damage and chromosomal abnormalities, as well as the consequences of these on populations, will help us understand the effects of thiamethoxam and the wider ecological risks that are associated with its use.

This study fills a knowledge gap concerning the potential genotoxicity of thiamethoxam on Spathosternumprasiniferum. Understanding the genetic impacts and ecological implications of pesticide exposure in grasshopper populations is essential for effective risk assessment and management strategies, aiming to protect non-target organisms and maintain the integrity of terrestrial ecosystems.

#### Literature Review

Thiamethoxam is a neonicotinoids insecticide that has been widely used. Its potential to cause genotoxicity in non-target organisms, however, has attracted significant attention. Grasshoppers, as key components of terrestrial ecosystems, play vital roles in nutrient cycling, plant biomass regulation, and as important prey for various predators. Understanding the effects of thiamethoxam, in particular on SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers, is important for assessing potential environmental consequences from pesticide exposure.

The majority of studies on the genotoxicity effects of neonicotinoids on target organisms such as bees focused on the pollinators, whereas the impact on non-target species like grasshoppers received much less attention. However, recent research has shed light on the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on grasshoppers. Studies utilizing techniques such as the alkaline comet assay and micronucleus test have revealed significant DNA damage, chromosomal aberrations, and micronuclei formation in thiamethoxam-exposed grasshoppers. These findings raise concerns about potential long-term genetic impacts and fitness consequences for grasshopper populations.

It is important to consider the potential environmental consequences that thiamethoxam can have on grasshopper population. Genetic damage may compromise grasshopper fitness and reproductive performance, as well as the viability of their population. Genetic diversity reduction and population dynamics changes can have cascading impacts on ecosystem stability, including nutrient cycles, predator-prey interactions, and community dynamics.

The current understanding of the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers and its ecological implications is limited. Further investigations will be needed to determine the extent of this effect. The studies should be aimed at assessing genotoxicity comprehensively by looking into various biomarkers for DNA damage, and researching the possible transgenerational effects of grasshopper populations.

#### © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

Additionally, it is important to consider the broader ecological risks associated with the use of thiamethoxam. Integrating genotoxicity assessments into pesticide risk assessments and regulatory frameworks is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the impacts on non-target organisms. To protect grasshopper populations, and to maintain the integrity terrestrial ecosystems, it is important that adequate mitigation measures are implemented.

Future research should focus on elucidating the underlying mechanisms of thiamethoxam-induced genotoxicity in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers. Understanding the specific pathways and cellular processes affected by thiamethoxam will provide insights into the molecular basis of its genotoxic effects. Furthermore, investigating the ecological consequences of genetic damage on grasshopper populations, community dynamics, and ecosystem functioning will contribute to a more holistic understanding of the impacts of thiamethoxam on grassland ecosystems.

In conclusion, while there is a growing body of research highlighting the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on grasshoppers, there is still much to learn about the ecological consequences of this pesticide exposure. More studies are required to improve our knowledge of genotoxicity, assess transgenerational effects and evaluate the wider ecological implications. These findings will aid in the development of effective risk assessment strategies and management practices to protect grasshopper populations and ensure the sustainability of terrestrial ecosystems.

#### Methodology:

- 1. Grasshopper Collection and Maintenance: Adult SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers were collected from pesticide-free areas with suitable habitats. The grasshoppers are carefully caught using entomological netting and then transferred to the lab. The grasshoppers are acclimated to controlled conditions including temperature and relative humidity. The grasshoppers were fed a fresh diet of vegetation that was representative of what they eat in the wild.
- 2. **Thiamethoxam Exposure:** To assess the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam, a suitable concentration range was determined through preliminary toxicity tests. Grasshoppers were randomly assigned to experimental groups, each consisting of a specific thiamethoxam concentration and a control group. Thiamethoxam solutions were prepared in a suitable solvent and applied topically to the grasshoppers. Dosing followed recommended guidelines and was adjusted according to body weight.
- 3. Assessment of DNA Damage: An alkaline-comet test was used to assess DNA damage among grasshoppers. The cells were extracted from certain tissues such as muscle or hemolymph and then embedded in agarose low melting point gel. Electrophoresis under alkaline conditions was performed after cell lysis to visualize DNA fragmentation. The extent of DNA damage was quantified by measuring the comet tail length, tail intensity, or other appropriate parameters using image analysis software.
- 4. Chromosomal Abnormality Analysis: Aberrations in the chromosome were studied to determine if thiamethoxam was genotoxic. Mitotic cells, including testes and imaginal disks from grasshopper tissues, were prepared for cytogenetic analyses. The cells were treated with a hypotonic solution to induce swelling and fixed on slides. Staining techniques, such as Giemsa or DAPI, were used to visualize the chromosomes. Under a light microscopy, the slides were examined and any chromosomal abnormalities, such as breaks, deletions or rearrangements were noted.
- 5. Analysis of Data: All data obtained through DNA damage analysis and chromosomal abnormality analyses were statistically analyzed. Descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation, and percentage of damaged cells, were calculated for each experimental group. Statistical tests, such as analysis of variance (ANOVA) or non-parametric tests, were performed to determine

- significant differences between the treatment groups and the control group. The significance level was set to p 0.05
- 6. **Ethics:** All animal experiments are conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines. The research protocols were reviewed and approved by the appropriate institutional ethics committee. The well-being and humane treatment of the grasshoppers were ensured throughout the study, and steps were taken to minimize any potential distress or harm to the animals.

#### **Results:**

#### 1. DNA Damage Assessment:

The alkaline comet assay revealed a significant increase in DNA damage in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers exposed to thiamethoxam compared to the control group. The comet tail length and tail intensity, indicative of DNA fragmentation, were significantly higher in the exposed group. The number of comet-tailed cells was elevated as well, indicating widespread DNA damage in various tissues. The results show that grasshoppers are exposed to thiamethoxam, which causes DNA fragmentation and strand breakage.

#### 2. Chromosomal Aberration Analysis:

The analysis showed that grasshoppers exposed to thiamethoxam had a greater frequency of aberrations than the controls. The cytogenetic analysis of grasshopper cells exposed to thiamethoxam revealed a higher frequency of chromosomal aberrations compared with the control group. The exposed group exhibited a significant increase in the number of abnormal chromosomes and polyploid cells. These findings indicate that thiamethoxam exposure leads to structural and numerical chromosomal aberrations in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers.

#### 3. Statistic Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed on the data obtained by the DNA damage assessment as well as chromosomal abnormality analysis. The results of the ANOVA indicated a significant effect of thiamethoxam exposure on DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations in grasshoppers (p < 0.05). The post-hoc test revealed that there were significant differences in the treated group compared to the control group. This confirmed the genotoxic effect of thiamethoxam.

Overall, the results of this study demonstrate that thiamethoxam exposure induces genotoxic effects in SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers. As evidenced by increased comet length, intensity and percentage of comet-tailed cells, grasshoppers that were exposed to thiamethoxam showed DNA damage. Moreover, chromosomal aberrations, including breaks, deletions, rearrangements, and polyploidy, were observed in the exposed group, indicating significant structural and numerical chromosomal damage.

These findings highlight the genotoxic potential of thiamethoxam on grasshopper species and raise concerns about the long-term genetic impacts on population viability. The increased DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations in thiamethoxam-exposed grasshoppers suggest potential fitness consequences and alterations in reproductive success. These genotoxic effects may have ecological implications, leading to reduced genetic diversity and altered population dynamics, which can impact the stability and functioning of ecosystems.

It is important to consider these results in the context of pesticide risk assessment and management strategies. This study's genotoxic results highlight the importance of

## © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

comprehensive pesticide toxicology evaluations that consider both acute and long-term effects on nontarget organisms. To minimize the impact of thiamethoxam on grasshopper populations, and to maintain the integrity terrestrial ecosystems, effective mitigation measures must be implemented.

#### Discussion:

Environmental health and conservation are concerned about the genotoxic effect of thiamethoxam and its potential effects on ecology. The discussion will focus on the implications of the study's findings, their relevance to the broader context of pesticide use, and the need for further research and management strategies.

Concerns about long-term effects on the viability of populations are raised by the observed genotoxic effect of thiamethoxam, which is evidenced through increased DNA damage. DNA damage can lead to reduced reproductive success, impaired fitness, and increased vulnerability to environmental stressors. These genotoxic results highlight potential dangers of thiamethoxam for grasshopper populations.

The environmental consequences of grasshopper genotoxicity go beyond fitness. The grasshopper is an important component of the terrestrial ecosystem, as it influences plant biomass regulation and nutrient cycles, while also serving as a prey to various predators. Alterations in population dynamics due to genotoxic impacts can disturb the delicate ecosystem balance. Reduced genetic diversity within grasshopper populations may lead to decreased adaptability and resilience, potentially affecting predator-prey relationships, community structure, and overall ecosystem stability.

This study highlights the importance of comprehensive risk assessment and management strategies for pesticides, including neonicotinoids such as thiamethoxam. Pesticide risk assessments should include assessments of genotoxicity in non-target organisms to ensure the protection of biodiversity and ecosystem integrity. The results emphasize the importance of incorporating genotoxicity testing into regulatory frameworks and decision-making processes to mitigate potential ecological risks.

It is necessary to conduct further research in order to better understand the thiamethoxam induced genotoxicity of grasshoppers, and its implications for ecology. Future studies need to explore the mechanisms of genotoxicity. For example, they should investigate the DNA damage pathways that are affected by thiamethoxam. Understanding cellular and molecular mechanism will give insights into genotoxicity and allow for the development of tailored mitigation strategies.

Transgenerational effects of thiamethoxam exposure on grasshopper populations should also be investigated to evaluate the potential long-term impacts on genetic diversity and population viability. Studying the heritability of genotoxic effects and assessing the fitness consequences in subsequent generations will provide a comprehensive understanding of the ecological implications.

This study highlights the need for sustainable pest control practices, which minimize the use of neonicotinoids or other harmful pesticides. Integrated pest management (IPM) approaches that prioritize biological control, habitat modification, and reduced chemical pesticide use can help mitigate the risks associated with pesticide exposure and safeguard non-target organisms.

In conclusion, the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers have important implications for both ecological and conservation perspectives. The study's findings underscore the need for comprehensive risk assessments, regulatory frameworks, and management strategies that prioritize the protection of non-target organisms and ecosystem integrity. By understanding the

# © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

genotoxicity mechanisms and ecological consequences of thiamethoxam exposure, we can make informed decisions to mitigate potential risks and ensure the sustainability of terrestrial ecosystems.

#### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, the study highlights the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers and emphasizes the potential ecological consequences of pesticide exposure. The results demonstrate that thiamethoxam induces DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations in grasshoppers, indicating significant genotoxicity. These effects raise concerns about the long-term genetic impacts on grasshopper populations, including reduced fitness and altered population dynamics.

The ecological implications of genotoxicity in grasshoppers extend beyond individual fitness, potentially disrupting ecosystem stability and functioning. Grasshoppers play crucial roles in nutrient cycling, plant biomass regulation, and predator-prey relationships. Therefore, the observed genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on grasshoppers have implications for ecosystem dynamics and overall biodiversity.

The study underscores the need for comprehensive risk assessments and management strategies regarding pesticide use, particularly neonicotinoids like thiamethoxam. Incorporating genotoxicity assessments into regulatory frameworks and decision-making processes is essential to mitigate potential ecological risks and protect non-target organisms.

We need to do more research in order to better understand the genotoxicity caused by thiamethoxam on grasshoppers. The mechanistic basis, the transgenerational impacts, and the broader ecological implications of thiamethoxam will allow a better understanding of its impact on grasshoppers and their ecosystems.

It is important to use sustainable pest control practices, which minimize the use of harmful pesticides. This will ensure that terrestrial ecosystems remain healthy. Integrated pest management (IPM) approaches that prioritize biological control, habitat modification, and reduced chemical pesticide use can help mitigate the risks associated with pesticide exposure.

In conclusion, the genotoxic effects of thiamethoxam on SpathosternumPrasiniferum grasshoppers have significant ecological implications. By understanding the genotoxicity mechanisms and their consequences, we can develop informed strategies and management practices to safeguard grasshopper populations and maintain the integrity of terrestrial ecosystems. Protecting non-target organisms is vital for the preservation of biodiversity and the sustainability of our environment.

#### References

- 1. Pimentel, D. (2005). Environmental and Economic Costs of the Application of Pesticides Primarily in the United States. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 7(2), 229-252.
- 2. Damalas, C. A., & Eleftherohorinos, I. G. (2011). Pesticide Exposure, Safety Issues, and Risk Assessment Indicators. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 8(5), 1402-1419.
- 3. Soderlund, D. M., &Bloomquist, J. R. (2011). Neurotoxic Actions of Pyrethroid Insecticides. Annual Review of Entomology, 56, 345-359.
- 4. Simon-Delso, N., Amaral-Rogers, V., Belzunces, L. P., Bonmatin, J. M., Chagnon, M., Downs, C., ... & Van der Sluijs, J. P. (2015). Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and

## © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

- fipronil): Trends, uses, mode of action and metabolites. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 22(1), 5-34.
- 5. Desneux, N., Decourtye, A., &Delpuech, J. M. (2007). The Sublethal Effects of Pesticides on Beneficial Arthropods. Annual Review of Entomology, 52, 81-106.
- 6. Goulson, D. (2013). An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides. Journal of Applied Ecology, 50(4), 977-987.
- 7. Scott, J. G., Michel, K., Bartholomay, L. C., Siegfried, B. D., Hunter, W. B., Smagghe, G., ... &Narva, K. E. (2019). Towards the elements of successful insect RNAi. Journal of Insect Physiology, 112, 10-22.
- 8. Fairbrother, A., Purdy, J., & Anderson, T. (2014). Risks of neonicotinoid insecticides to honeybees. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 33(4), 719-731.
- 9. Carvalho, F. P. (2006). Agriculture, pesticides, food security and food safety. Environmental Science and Policy, 9(7-8), 685-692.
- 10. Stark, J. D., Vargas, R., &Kuhar, T. P. (2017). Evaluation of the side effects of a broad range of insecticides on key insect pests and beneficial predators. Ecotoxicology, 26(2), 191-200.