# RESEARCH TO STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

#### **International Research Journal of Management and Commerce**

ISSN: (2348-9766)

Impact Factor- 5.564, Volume 5, Issue 3, March 2018

Website- www.aarf.asia, Email: editor@aarf.asia, editoraarf@gmail.com

# AN ASSESSMENT OF PERCIVED QUALITY AND BRAND EQUITY OF SELECTED CHAIN RESTAURANTS OF AHMEDABAD CITY

#### Prof. Kalgi Shah, Assistant Professor

National Institute of Cooperative Management, NICM-SJPI, Gandhinagar, Nr. Indroda Circle, Gandhinagar-382007 Gujarat

#### Dr. A N Sanghvi, Director (Development)

Faculty of Management Studies C.U.Shah University, Surendranagar - Ahmedabad Highway, Nr. Kothariya Village, Wadhwan, Gujarat 363030

#### Dr. Mamta Brahmbhatt, Associate Professor

B.K. School of Business Management Gujarat University, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad-380009,Gujarat

#### **ABSTRACT**

Now a days franchising a business or owning a new chain store is an important option for business expansion. With emergence of retail chain era, restaurant services are also adopting this model of business. Sometimes it becomes difficult to maintain standard of the services and that leads to customer dissatisfaction. This research paper attempts to identify major factors affecting perceived quality and brand equity in chain restaurants. In this research, 6 major restaurant chains namely, Havmor, Honest, Sankalp, McDonald's, Domino's and Subway of Ahmedabad city were studied. Responses collected from 200 customers of said restaurants. Regression analysis was carried out to know the impact of perceived quality and brand equity on customer satisfaction. The findings of this study stated that perceived quality of a chain restaurants of Ahmedabad is predicted by five factors namely, Food, Price Convenience, Service Quality Atmosphere. However statements also identified one more factor that is brand equity.

Key Words: Chain Restaurants, Perceived Quality, Brand Equity

#### © Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Eating outside is considered as an experience more than just an event. It is more of family entertainment. Dining experience is not limited to food only it is extended as good ambience, entertainment and quick service. (Data monitor, 2009). A restaurant having food, with thematic ambience, formally clothed and well skilled staff is considered as Fine Dining Restaurants. A restaurant brand having more than one operational outlet is known as Chain Restaurants. Local chain restaurants are those which are operating different places of a specific region. This study attempts to examine factors of perceived quality and brand equity for local chain restaurant of Ahmedabad city. Leading chain restaurants namely Honest, Havmor, Sankalp, McDonald's, Domino's and Subway were studied for the research purpose.

Honest has more than 25 branches operating in Ahmedabad City. Bahji, Pulav, Indian (Punjabi), Chinese, Snacks are the most popular food of Honest. Sankalp is Ahmedabad's best South Indian cuisine restaurant and till date is on the top. There are more than 10 branches of Sankalp in Ahmedabad. Sankalp is famous for its south Indian cuisine. The third restaurant, Havmor has more than 30 branched across the city. Here for research purpose, Havmor Eateries are concerned. McDonald's, Domino's and Subway are international players and serve mostly fast-food at their outlets.

#### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Kumar, Kee and Manshor(2009) High level of service quality leads to high customer satisfaction and thereby increases customer loyalty. For any marketing activity, customer satisfaction is major output.( Oliver, 1980; Naeem & Safi, 2009).

Perceived quality is considered as an important factor for customer decision making process as customer compares quality with price (Jin and Yong, 2005). According to Davis et al. (2003), perceived quality is directly related to the reputation of the firm that manufactures the product. But, Aaker (1991) and Zeithaml (1988a) said that, perceived quality is the judgment of services that take places in the mind of customers. There are many cues customers associate with the quality of the service. According to Zeithaml (1988b), physical characteristics of the products like performance, feature, reliability, durability, serviceability area concerned with perceived quality. These are intrinsic cues for perceived quality. As against to that, cues like price, brand

name, image of company, manufacturer's image, retail store image and the country of origin are considered as extrinsic cues for perceived quality. Perceived quality impacts directly to the purchase decision. (Aaker, 1991; Armstrong and Kotler, 2003).

Perceived quality in restaurant is widely studied area in service quality. Stevens (1995) has proposed the DINESERV model which indicates the factors affecting service quality in restaurants. According to this model, Assurance, Tangibles, Reliability, Responsiveness and Empathy are five dimensions to measure service quality in restaurants. SERVQUAL, SERVPREF, DINESERV have been used in food industry yet they have limitation in that they have not broadly covered food quality which is related to product itself and which proved to be an important dimension in the fast-food (Cao, 2011). Food, Service Quality, Price, Convenience and Atmosphere are the elements that affect service quality in the restaurant the most (Ng, 2005).

Aaker's (1991) definition of brand equity as "a set of assets and liabilities linked to a brand, its name and symbol, that add to or subtract from the value provided by a product or service to a firm and/or that firm's customers"

In the same manner (Srivastava and Shocker, 1991) narrates brand equity as "a set of associations and behaviors on the part of a brand's consumers, channel members and parent corporation that enables a brand to earn greater volume or greater margins that it could without the brand name and, in addition, provides a strong, sustainable and differential advantage."

Brand equity has its two dimension, one is on financial perspective of brand equity (Farquhar et al., 1991; Simon and Sullivan, 1993; Haigh, 1999) and others on the customer based perspective (Aaker, 1991; Yoo and Donthu, 2001; Vazquez et al., 2002; Keller, 1993; Pappu et al., 2005; Christodoulides et al., 2006).

#### 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Objectives of the study

This study has major 2 Objectives.

- 1. To study eating out habits of people of Ahmedabad city w.r.t. chain restaurants.
- 2. To identify factor affecting preference of local chain restaurant

#### © Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

#### 3.2 Data Collection and sampling

A structured questionnaire of 33 questions on perceived quality and brand equity of a chain restaurant were asked on 5 point likert scale of agreement. Total 200 questionnaires were filled and analyzed.

## 3.3 Limitation of the study

The study is limited to Ahmedabad city only. 200 responses collected from selected chain restaurants only. The responses collected from dine-in customers of selected restaurants. These restaurants also give take away and home delivery services.

#### 4. DATA ANALYSIS

Here, descriptive statistics are shown. Table 1 presents demographic statistics of the study.

TABLE 1 Descriptive Statistics						
Sample Characteristics			%			
Gender	Male	143	71.5			
	Female	57	28.5			
Age (years)	Younger than 25 years	76	38			
	25-35 years	47	23.5			
	35-45 years	31	15.5			
	45-55 years	25	12.5			
	Older than 55 years	21	10.5			
Education	Under Graduate	58	29			
	Graduate	62	31			
	Post Graduate	72	36			
	Other	8	4			
Occupation	Service	50	25			

	Business	32	16
	Student	68	34
	Housewife	31	15.5
	Other	19	9.5
Monthly Family Income (INR)	Less than 25000	57	28.5
	25,001 - 50,000	46	23
	50,001 - 75,000	30	15
	75,001 – 1,00,000	27	13.5
	More than 1,00,000	40	20
Dining Out Be	haviour	N	%
How often do you visit chain restaurant?	Once in a month	68	34
	Twice in a month	37	18.5
	More than twice in a month	48	24
	Once in two months	26	13
	Once in six months	21	10.5
Spending on one visit (INR)	Less than 500	71	35.5
	500-1000	82	41
	More than 1000	47	23.5
With whom do you visit chain restaurants frequently	Family	55	27.5
	Friends	83	41.5
	Colleague	27	13.5
	Alone	35	17.5

Chain Restaurant Visited Recently	Havmor	33	16.5
	Honest	34	17
	Sankalp	31	15.5
	McDonald's	34	17
	Domino's	36	18
	Subway	32	16
(Source: Primary Data)	•		

Factor analysis was used to analyze the structural validity of the scales used in the study. The results of validity and reliability analyses are shown in Table 2. An exploratory factor analysis was carried out to identify main factors of perceived quality. As stated in Table 2, KMO value is greater than 0.5, and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is less than 0.05 Factor analysis is an appropriate test to conduct. Cronbach's Alpha of the scales are between 0.7 and 0.796 and all of them are above 0.7, which is a commonly accepted figure in practice (Hair et al. 2005) and it may be stated that scales are considerably reliable.

Table 2 Factor Analysis						
Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Food Quality	Price Value	Atmosphere	Service Quality	Convenience	Brand Equity
Serves tasty Food	.640					
Food presentation is attractive	.628					
Food is served at the appropriate temperature	.626					
Healthy menu options are available	.618					
The restaurant offers fresh food	.615					
It has good value for money		.590				

#### © Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

It provides appropriate portion	.575				
of food against price charged	.575				
Taxes and service charges are	.563				
charged appropriately	.505				
It has a visually attractive		.659			
dining area		.037			
It has clean facilities and		.649			
utensils		.047			
Colors used creates a pleasant		.581			
atmosphere		.361			
Lighting creates a comfortable		540			
atmosphere		.540			
Background music is pleasant		.570			
The restaurant serves my food			602		
exactly as I ordered it			.692		
It provides prompt and quick			520		
service			. 539		
Employees are always willing			(22		
to help me			.623		
Employees have the					
knowledge to answer my			.651		
questions					
It gives extra effort to handle			702		
your special requests			.723		
It has a menu that is easily				701	
readable				.781	
Menu items are always				604	
available				.684	
It has proper parking facility				.716	
It has convenient operation				720	
hours				.738	
It has a menu that is easily				(21	
readable				.621	
I can recognize the chain					
restaurant brand among other					.786
competing brands					
I am familiar with the chain					970
restaurant brands					.870
A chain restaurant brand has					
higher quality foodservices					.682
than independent restaurants					
The service quality of a chain					
restaurant brand is similar					.749
throughout the chain					
			•	•	

I can trust the chain restaurant						.804
brand to treat me fairly						.001
I prefer chain restaurant brand						
due to the standard, consistent						.691
menus they serve.						
I believe every branch of chain						
restaurant has consistent						.754
service ability						
A chain restaurant brand						
yields the same level of						.727
satisfaction at every outlet						
I prefer to visit a chain						
restaurant brand due to its						.698
popularity						
Even if existing price will						
increase I still prefer a chain						.709
restaurant brand						
I feel emotionally attached to						.637
the restaurant brand						.037
Eigen values	8.046	2.745	1.346	1.170	1.047	1.038
% of Variance	23.664	8.07	3.965	3.44	3.07	3.05
Cumulative %	23.66	31.74	35.69	39.14	42.21	45.27
Cronbach Alfa	0.7	0.774	0.741	0.727	0.796	0.754
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of	0.939					
Sampling Adequacy	0.939					
Bartlett's test of sphericity	0.000					
Number of Items	5	3	5	5	5	11
Source: Primary Data		-				

From EFA, major 6 factors are found and named as food quality, price value, service quality, atmosphere, convenience, brand equity.

**Food Quality:** Taste, Temperature, Presentation, Hygiene are major attributes of the food in restaurant. Hence they are named as Food Quality. The Cronbach Alfa is 0.774.

**Price Value:** Rate of the food, additional charges and taxes and value for money are major attributes to denote price and value factor. The Cronbach Alfa is 0.741.

**Service Quality:** Behaviour of restaurant staff, proper service to the restaurant visitors are the major variables represented as service quality. The Cronbach Alfa is 0.727.

#### © Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

**Atmosphere:** Internal ergonomics, cleanliness, background music, colours of walls, seating arrangements, and utensils are the items loaded under the factor which is named as atmosphere. The Cronbach Alfa is 0.796.

**Brand Equity:** Consistency in pricing and service quality, emotional attachment with brand are the items loaded under the factor which named as brand equity. The Cronbach Alfa is 0.754.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The main objective of the study was to analyse the factors affecting service quality of chain restaurants. The findings are shown in form of descriptive statistics and exploratory factor analysis. 38% of the respondents are having age of less than 25 years. Most of the respondents visit the chain restaurant once in a month. Average spending per visit is of between 500 to 1000 Rs. From factor analysis there were 6 factors found which affects services in chain restaurants. The new dimension of brand equity is loaded with 11 items which indicates that brand has its own importance in chain restaurants. Maintaining same level of taste, presentation, pricing and service quality help the chain restaurants to generate great level of satisfaction among dinners. Apart from that food quality, pricing, service quality, atmosphere and convenience are the major factors to assess perceived quality in chain restaurants.

#### 6. REFERENCES

Aaker, D.A. (1991) Managing Brand Equity, Free Press, NY.

Cao, Y. 2011. Comparison of customers' perceptions of service quality between different management forms in fast food restaurants. Master"s thesis. Auburn University.

Christodoulides, G., de Chernatony, L., Furrer, O. and Abimbola, T. (2006) Conceptualising and Measuring the Equity of Online Brands. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 22, 7/8, 799-825.

Farquhar, P. (1989) Managing Brand Equity. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 30(4), 7-12.

Haigh, D. (1999). *Understanding the Financial Value of Brands*, European Association of Advertising Agencies, Brussels.

#### © Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)

Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing*, *57*(1), 1-22.

Kotler, P., G. Armstrong, H.A. Swee, M.L. Siew and T.T. Chin et al., 2005. Principles of Marketing: An Asian Perspective. 11th Edn. Prentice Hall, Pearson Education South Asia, ISBN: 0-13-123439-0, pp: 608.

Kumar, M., Kee, F.T., & Manshor, A.T (2009). Determining the relative importance of critical factors in delivering service quality of banks: An application of domainance analysis in SERVQUAL model. *Managing service quality*, 19 (2): 211-228.

Naeem, H., & Saif, I. (2009). Service Quality and Its Impact on Customer Satisfaction: An Empirical Evidence from the Pakistani Banking Sector. *The International Business and Economics Research Journal*, 8(12), 99.

Oliver, R. A (1980) Cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of marketing research*, 17 (4):460.

Jin, B. and G.S. Yong, 2005. Integrating effect of consumer perception factors in predicting private brand purchase in a Korean discount store context. J. Consumer Market., 22: 62-71. DOI: 10.1108/07363760510589226

Davis, Aquilano and Chase, (2003). Fundamentals of Operations Management. 4th Edn., McGrawHill/Irwin, ISBN: 0-07-297541-5, pp: 1.

Aaker, D.A., 1991. Managing Brand Equity: Capitalizing on Value of a Brand Name. The Free Press, New York, ISBN: 0-02-900101-3, pp. 299.

Zeithaml, V.A., 1988a. Consumer Perceptions of price, quality and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. J. Market., 52: 2-22. ISSN: 00222429

Zeithaml, V.A., 1988b. Communication and control processes in the delivery of service quality. J. Market., 52: 35-48. ISSN: 00222429

Ng, Y.N. 2005. A study of customer satisfaction, return intention, and word-of-mouth endorsement in university dining facilities. Master's thesis. Oklahoma State University.

Pappu, R., Quester, P.G. and Cooksey, R.W. (2005). Consumer Based Brand Equity: Improving the Measurement - Empirical Evidence. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 14(3), 143-154.

Shocker, A.D., Srivastave R.K. and Reukert R.W. (1994) Challenges and opportunities facing brand management: An introduction to special issue. *Journal of Marketing Research* 31: 149-158.

Simon, C.J. and Sullivan, M.V. (1993). The Measurement of Determinants of Brand Equity: A Financial Approach. *Marketing Science*, *12*(1), 28-52.

Stevens, P., Knuston, B., & Patton, M. (1995). DINESERV: A tool for measuring service quality in restaurants. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, *36*(2), 56-60.

Vázquez, R., Del Rio, A.B. and Iglesias, V. (2002). Consumer-Based Brand Equity: Development and Validation of a Measurement Instrument. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 18(1/2), 27-48.

Yoo, B. and Donthu, N. (2001) "Developing and Validating a Multidimensional Consumer-Based Brand Equity Scale", *Journal of Business Research*, 52(1), 1-14.