International Research Journal of Management and Commerce
ISSN: (2348-9766)
Impact Factor 7.098 Volume 9, Issue 12 December 2022
©Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)
www.aarf.asia, **Email** : editoraarf@gmail.com

Accounting for Intangible Assets: Valuation, Disclosure, and Implications for Decision-Making

Tamanna Chandna, Research Scholar,
Department of Commerce, University of Rajasthan

Abstract

Due to their distinct qualities and the absence of established valuation methodologies, intangible assets pose difficulties in accounting treatment, despite their growing importance in the modern knowledge-based budget. The implications of insubstantial asset valuation as well as disclosure for decision-making by different stakeholders are discussed in this study, which delves into the difficulties of financial reporting in this area.

Disagreements in reported values and possible understatement of a company's actual value might arise from the subjective judgements and calculations involved in valuing intangible assets. Stakeholders are also unable to evaluate the validity and trustworthiness of stated intangible asset values due to the absence of clarity and consistency in disclosure procedures.Information on the worth and danger of intangible assets, in particular, must be precise and clear in order for decisions to be made effectively. In order for creditors, investors, and others to evaluate a company's risk profile, future possibilities, and competitive position, thorough disclosures are necessary. Suboptimal results may occur if decision-makers do not have sufficient information to avoid missing important risks or opportunities.

This article takes a theoretical and practical look at the problems and solutions around intangible asset assessment and disclosure. It goes on to say that stakeholders' decision-making processes are affected by insufficient accounting methods and offers suggestions on how to make intangible asset reporting more reliable and transparent.This paper seeks to examine these challenges in order to add to the continuing conversation on how intangible assets should be recorded in financial statements and to provide suggestions for how businesses might better navigate the ever-changing business climate of today.

Keywords -Intangible assets, Valuation, Financial reporting, Disclosure, Decision-making

Introduction

In today's dynamic corporate environment, intangible assets are more important than ever for creating value and staying ahead of the competition. Intangible assets, which include things like technology, customer connections, intellectual property, and trademarks, are more difficult to measure and value than physical assets like buildings or machines. Nonetheless, they are very valuable to businesses in many other fields, especially those that deal with information, including the IT, pharmaceutical, and entertainment industries.

Because of their peculiarities and the lack of agreed-upon techniques for valuing them, intangible assets present special difficulties when it comes to accounting. Discrepancies between reported statistics and their real worth are common because traditional accounting techniques have difficulty capturing the underlying value of intangible assets. Stakeholders also have a hard time determining if the stated valuations of intangible assets are reliable and relevant due to the lack of openness and consistency in disclosure methods.

The complexity of intangible asset assessment and disclosure in financial reporting, as well as the consequences for decision-making by different stakeholders, is the intended focus of this article. Our goal is to help businesses and investors better understand the complexities of intangible asset accounting by examining relevant theoretical frameworks, practical factors, and real-world instances.

When it comes to intangible asset valuation and risk, having access to accurate and accessible information is crucial for effective decision-making. In order to assess a company's competitiveness, risk level, and development prospects, stakeholders such as creditors, investors, and others depend on thorough disclosures. Nevertheless, crucial information might be obscured by insufficient accounting processes and disclosure rules, resulting in less-than-ideal judgements and heightened market uncertainty.In order to shed light on how to improve the trustworthiness and openness of financial reporting, this article will examine the difficulties and solutions connected to the assessment and disclosure of intangible assets. Our goals in discussing these points are twofold: first, to add to the continuing conversation on how to account for intangible assets, and second, to give concrete suggestions for how businesses might better navigate the ever-changing business climate of today.

Review of literature

Much discussion and debate has surrounded the standards for intangible asset accounting since the groundbreaking study by Cañibano et al. (2000). Sustainability and investor impact problems have been given priority by a number of initiatives, including the EU Directive on Non-Financial Reporting and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). When it comes to investors, capital markets, and multinationals, organisations like the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Foundation have put forth rules to address their concerns.

Investments in things like advertising and R&D have long been considered intangible assets by companies looking to justify large outlays of capital. But these expenses have to show that they can make money in the future, so it's hard to call them intangibles. If they pass the probability test and are valued using historical costs, intangible assets like copyrights, licences, trademarks, and patents may be placed on the balance sheet.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) is sceptical that significant broad-based intangible line items can be achieved in financial reporting. Therefore, the FASB only focused on intangible disclosure initiatives and ended a four-year study on simplifying goodwill impairment in June 2022. Similarly, as part of its Third Agenda Consultation process, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) pledged to work on a project concerning intangible assets, with the goals of improving disclosure requirements and updating the definition of an intangible asset.

Academics have agreed with Lev (1997) that "the old rules no longer apply," and opponents such as Lev and Gu (2016) have questioned accounting's continued relevance given the increasing importance of intangible assets. Companies like Tesla, which disclose intangible assets that exceed recognised criteria, contribute to the continued functioning of the stock markets, notwithstanding these objections.Considering these changes, it's crucial to look at how accounting has changed its strategy for intangibles in the last 20 years. During this analysis, we will take into consideration the ways in which scholars and lawmakers have tackled intangible asset problems, spot any new obstacles, and evaluate the development of intangible asset accounting regulations and research since the groundbreaking study by Cañibano et al. in 2000.

Objectives of the study

- The primary objective of this study is to analyze the challenges associated with the valuation and disclosure of intangible assets in financial reporting.
- To assess current accounting practices and disclosure standards regarding intangible assets.
- To explore the implications of inadequate intangible asset accounting for decision-making by various stakeholders.

Research methodology

Statistical approaches used to examine business financial records from a variety of sectors. To evaluate the connection between reporting processes for intangible assets and other financial performance indicators, this study could use statistical methods like regression analysis or financial ratio analysis.

Data analysis and interpretation

ATTRIBUTES	2017-18		2021-22		Percentage change in disclosure score in year 2021-22 over 2017-18
	Weighted Disclosure score	% Disclosure	Weighted Disclosure score	% Disclosure	
HUMAN CAPITAL					
Employees					
-Number	301	62.51	348	73.19	17.09
-Gender	3	0.52	12	4.06	680.77
-Professional Qualification and Experience	151	32.75	209	44.59	36.15
-Compensation	157	32.55	205	43.77	34.47
Training and development	130	38.22	190	40.68	6.44
Work related knowledge	5	0.93	11	3.85	313.98
Entrepreneurial Spirit	2	0.23	14	4.47	1843.48
Human resource accounting	7	1.35	8	3.23	139.26
EXTERNAL CAPITAL					
Brands and their description	151	31.59	217	46.24	46.38
Brand valuation	7	1.35	8	3.23	139.26
Distribution channels	134	28.27	207	44.18	56.28
Market share,	236	50.69	293	61.88	22.08

markets					
Business collaboration	164	35.66	230	48.91	37.16
Customer satisfaction	29	6.68	43	10.44	56.29
Customer information (no.)	86	19.39	132	28.75	48.27
Social Activities	143	31.22	207	44.18	41.51
INTERNAL CAPITAL					
Research projects	270	57.91	314	66.2	14.32
Networking and information systems	29	6.87	79	17.84	159.68
Organization Structure	13	2.63	30	7.76	195.06
Corporate culture	51	11.16	112	24.63	120.70
Patents	55	11.32	85	19.08	68.55
Copyrights	6	0.93	9	3.44	269.89
Trademarks	9	1.51	36	9	496.03
INTANGIBLE ASSETS SCORESHEET	8	1.34	8	3.23	141.04
MANDATORY DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT					
Distinction between internally generated and other intangible assets	6	2.54	23	10.64	318.90
Details of amortization rates, method and carrying amount at beginning and end of period	94	38.95	179	74.84	92.14
Classification of intangible assets	90	36.7	172	71.96	96.08
Other disclosures like pledging information, reasons for amortizing over more than 10 years etc	4	0.96	9	4.88	408.33
Intangible assets Valuation	186	38.42	356	74.84	94.79

Human Capital:There's a significant increase in disclosure scores across most attributes related to human capital, such as number of employees, gender diversity, professional qualifications, compensation, training and development, work-related knowledge, entrepreneurial spirit, and human resource accounting.The highest percentage change is observed in attributes like entrepreneurial spirit (1843.48%) and gender diversity (680.77%).

External Capital:Similar to human capital, there's a notable increase in disclosure scores for attributes related to external capital, including brands and their description, distribution channels, market share, business collaboration, customer satisfaction, customer information, and social activities.The highest percentage change is seen in attributes like trademarks (496.03%), distribution channels (56.28%), and market share (22.08%).

Internal Capital:Attributes related to internal capital also show a considerable improvement in disclosure scores. These include research projects, networking and information systems, organization structure, corporate culture, patents, copyrights, and trademarks.The highest percentage change is observed in attributes like trademarks (496.03%), organization structure (195.06%), and patents (68.55%).

Intangible Assets Scoresheet:There's a significant increase in the disclosure scores for the intangible assets scoresheet, with a percentage change of 141.04%.

Mandatory Disclosure Requirement:Mandatory disclosure requirements have also shown improvement, with notable increases in attributes such as details of amortization rates, classification of intangible assets, and other disclosures like pledging information.The highest percentage change is observed in the distinction between internally generated and other intangible assets (318.90%).

Interpretation:

Overall, there's a positive trend in the disclosure of intangible assets, with substantial improvements across various categories and mandatory disclosure requirements.The percentage changes indicate a considerable enhancement in transparency and disclosure practices related to intangible assets from 2017-18 to 2021-22.These improvements suggest a growing recognition of the importance of intangible assets and the need for transparent reporting to facilitate better decision-making by stakeholders.

Discussion

Disclosure ratings for different types of intangible assets have been on the rise from 2017–18 to 2021–22, suggesting that companies are becoming more open and honest about their financial dealings. The possible causes of this positive shift and the effects of these enhancements will be explored in detail below.

© Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)
A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

Value of Intangible Assets Acknowledgment:Organisations are increasingly realising the importance of intangible assets, as seen by the significant rise in disclosure ratings for qualities pertaining to human capital, external capital, and internal capital. In today's information-based economy, intangible assets like human capital, brands, and IP are crucial for gaining an edge over the competition and creating wealth. Companies are trying to help stakeholders better understand their company operations and plans by being more transparent about these assets, which shows that they recognise their importance.

It is possible that changes in accounting standards and regulatory mandates were major factors in the push for better disclosure of intangible assets. More information on amortisation rates and classifications, as well as a differentiation between intangible assets created internally and those created outside of the company, are now required to be disclosed, which may indicate a stronger emphasis on openness and conformity with regulatory standards. Corporations may have improved their reporting methods in response to regulatory agencies' more stringent reporting requirements or more explicit instructions on intangible asset disclosure.

More and more, stakeholders, including investors, are pressuring businesses to be open and honest about their intangible assets. Investors are looking for thorough and trustworthy information to evaluate a company's growth potential, risk profile, and long-term sustainability, especially with intangible assets becoming more prominent in financial markets. If a company's intangible assets are adequately disclosed, investors will be able to assess the stock's true worth and make better investment choices.

Faced with intense competition in today's market, several organisations are looking to better disclose their intangible assets as a way to set themselves apart. Companies may improve their credibility, draw in investors, and gain the confidence of stakeholders by being more open and honest about their intangible assets. In addition, stakeholders like suppliers, consumers, and business partners may see that the organisation is serious about creating value over the long haul by being open and honest about its intangible assets.

Changes in Data Analytics and Reporting Software: These changes in software may have made it easier to gather, analyse, and share information on intangible assets. More advanced platforms and tools are now available to businesses, allowing them to better monitor, assess, and report on their intangible assets. With the use of this technology framework, businesses could have been able to improve their disclosure policies and provide stakeholders better information about their intangible assets.

Overall, the gains in intangible asset disclosure ratings indicate that organisations are becoming more transparent, accountable, and recognising the value of intangible assets. Companies are reacting to investor expectations, competitive challenges, technology improvements, and legal obligations by revealing more information about their intangible assets. Fostering trust, mitigating risks, and driving sustainable value creation in the current corporate environment will continue to depend on comprehensive reporting of intangible assets.

Conclusion

Finally, a look at the disclosure ratings for different types of intangible assets from 2017–18 to 2021–22 shows that there is a significant improvement in organisations' reporting and openness. In today's knowledge-driven economy, intangible assets are increasingly being acknowledged for their worth and usefulness. This trend reflects that.Several important elements are responsible for the noticeable increase in disclosure ratings across human capital, external capital, internal capital, and required disclosure requirements characteristics. Influence from regulators, demands for openness from investors, pressure from competitors, and new technologies are all examples of such forces.Companies have improved their reporting processes for intangible assets due to regulatory constraints and developing accounting rules. Companies have been prompted to disclose their intangible assets in a more thorough and open manner due to regulatory organisations' more explicit instructions and stricter disclosure requirements.

Companies are under growing pressure from stakeholders and investors to be more forthcoming and honest about their intangible assets. Investors are able to assess a company's risk profile and growth prospects with more accuracy with enhanced transparency.Also, businesses can be trying to distinguish themselves apart from rivals and improve their image

© Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

for openness by using better intangible asset disclosure. Technology has also made it easier to gather, analyse, and report on data pertaining to intangible assets, which has helped businesses improve their disclosure procedures.

References

- ABEYSEKERA, I.; GUTHRIE J. (2005). An Empirical Investigation of Annual Reporting Trends of Intellectual Capital in Sri Lanka. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 16(2): 151-163.
- ALI, M.; KHAN, H.; FATIMA, Z.K. (2008). Intellectual Capital Reporting Practices: A Study on Selected Companies in Bangladesh. Journal of Business Studies, 29(1): 81-104.
- APRIL, K.A.; BOONIA, P.; DEGLON, D. (2003). Intellectual Capital measurement and reporting: establishing a practice in South African inning. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 4(2):165-180.
- BONTIS, N.; CROSSAN, M.; HULLAND, J. (2002). Managing an Organizational Learning System by Aligning Stocks and Flows. Journal of Management Studies, 39(4); 437-469.
- BONTIS, N. (2003). Intellectual Capital disclosures in Canadian Corporations. Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting, 7(1): 9-20.
- BOTOSAN, C. (1997). Disclosure level and the cost of equity capital. Accounting Review, 72(3): 323-349.
- BOZZOLAN, S.; FAVOTTO, F.; RICCERI, F. (2003). Italian annual intellectual capital disclosure. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 4(4): 543-558.
- BRENNAN, N. (2001). Reporting intellectual Capital in annual reports: evidence from Ireland. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 14(4): 423-436.
- EDVINSSON, L.; MALONE, M.S. (1997). Intellectual Capital: Realizing Your Company's True Value by Finding Its Hidden Brainpower. New York: Harper Business.
- FASB (1978). Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts – 1 on Objectives of Financial Reporting by Business Enterprises.
- GARCÍA-PARRA, M.; SIMO, P.; MUNDET, J.; GUZMAN, J. (2004). Intangibles: assetsand liabilities. Intangible Capital, 0(3): 70-86.

- GARCÍA-PARRA, M.; SIMO, P.; SALLAN, J.M.; MUNDET, J. (2009). Intangible liabilities: beyond models of intellectual assets. Management Decision, 47(5): 819-830.
- GERPOTT, T.J.; THOMAS, S.E.; HOFFMANN, A.P. (2008). Intangible asset disclosure in the telecommunications industry. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 9(1): 37-61.
- GOH, P.; LIM, K. (2004). Disclosing intellectual capital in company annual reports. Evidence from Malaysia. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 5(3): 500-510.
- GUTHRIE, J.; PETTY, R. (2000). Intellectual Capital: Australian annual reporting practices. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 1(3): 241-251.
- GUTHRIE, J.; PETTY, R.; JOHANSON, U. (2001). Sunrise in the Knowledge economy: Managing, measuring and reporting intellectual capital. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 14(4): 365-382.
- GUTHRIE, J.; PETTY, R.; YONGVANICH, K.; RICCERI, F. (2004). Using Content Analysis as a research method to inquire into intellectual capital reporting. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 5(2): 282-293.
- GUTHRIE, J.; PETTY, R.; RICCERI, F. (2006). The voluntary reporting of intellectual capital: Comparing evidence from Hong Kong and Australia. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 7(2): 254-271.
- IFAC (1998). Measurement and Management of Intellectual Capital. New York, NY: IFAC.
- KAMATH, B. (2008). Intellectual Capital Disclosure in India: content analysis of “Teck” firms. Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting, 12(3): 213- 224.
- LEV, B. (2001). Intangibles. Management, Measurement, andReporting.Washington D.C: The Brookings Institution.
- MECA, E.G.; MARTINEZ, I., (2005). Assessing the quality of disclosure on intangibles in the Spanish Capital Market. European Business Review, 17(4): 305-313.
- MILNE, M.; ADLER, R. (1999). Exploring the reliability of social and environmental disclosures content analysis. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 12(2): 237-256.

- OLIVEIRA, L.; RODRIGUES, L.L.; CRAIG, R. (2006). Firm–Specific determinants of intangibles reporting: evidence from the Portuguese stock market. Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting, 10(1): 11-33.
- OLIVERAS, E.; KASPERSKAYA, Y. (2005). Reporting Intellectual Capital in Spain.
- ORDÓÑEZ DE PABLOS, P. (2005). Intellectual capital reports in India: lessons from a case study. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 6(1): 141-149.
- STEENKAMP, N. (2007). Intellectual Capital Reporting in New Zealand: refining content analysis as a research method. PhD Thesis, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland (unpublished).
- SUJAN, A.; ABEYSEKERA, I. (2007). Intellectual Capital Reporting practices of the top Australian firms. Australian Accounting Review, 17(2): 71-83.
- SVEIBY, K.E. (1997). The New Organisational wealth. Managing and measuring Knowledge-Based assets. New York, NY: Berrett-Koehler.
- TEECE, D.J. (2000). Strategies for Managing Knowledge Assets: The role of Firm Structure and Industrial Context. Long Range Planning, 33: 35-54.