International Research Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences
ISSN: (2349-4077)
Impact Factor 7.032 Volume 10, Issue 01, January 2023
Website- www.aarf.asia, **Email** : editor@aarf.asia , editoraarf@gmail.com

THE CONCEPT OF GENUS IN TOPOLOGY & COMPLEX ANALYSIS

Babul Kumar Sharma
G. L. M. College Banmankhi
Purnea University, Purnia

Abstract

The use of the word genus came from biology where it refers to this or that group of beings having some characteristics in common The concept of genus is very popular in mathematics, mostly in topology and in complex analysis. This mathematical concept has historical development and it can be a mathematics concept and it can also be a statistics concept. The genus of a surface which is first defined by Riemann and recently discussed by Clebsch is the most perfect example. Riemann's work was concerned with the classification of surfaces as a consequence of the determination in the least number of simple closed curves needing to dissect off the surface to a more basic sort. While this number later got the name 'genus' when Clebsch used it to classify these surfaces algebraically geometrically Riemann named it as 2p. The genus, or g(F), has survived into the present day as an amplitude of surfaces that depends on topological characteristics only. The subsequent parts of this article, will explain briefly how the notion of genus has been started from the surface topology and how the idea has been shifted roaming in the world of mathematics age, leaving behind the formal definitions.

Keywords: Genus , Topology,Euler Characteristic, Complex Analysis, Riemann Surface, Chern Classes,Bordism Theory

Introduction

In mathematics, the word "genus" derived back from the Ancient Latin term 'genus' means stems or origin referring to the sets with similar characteristics. We observe it used with respect to numerous subclassifications of mathematics such as number theory, topological and studies in complex analysis. In this article the author has also presented the historical and conceptual aspects of genus including topology and complex analysis in special references to the discovery of the genus through the study of the oriented surfaces. This idea based on the concept of genus as the mathematics of topology that has its roots in Riemann's work on the surfaces of the surfaces. As for Riemann's contribution, he had gone further in constructing a new geometry related to the surface in question, the issue of deciding on the minimum number of simple closed curves that could decompose the surface was to become one of the most vital topological characteristics once it had been formulated by Clebsch as the genus. This invariant expressed as g(F)describes the number of tori added to the S^2 in creating the surface. This paper focuses on the classification of surfaces by using genus concept as a means of defining surfaces and studying their properties. This article is written with the goal of giving the reader a simple, non-rigorous overview of the origins of the genus concept, the generalizations that expand on it – and important modifications – without drowning the reader in the heavy definitions and proofs.

Such an oriented surface F is compact without boundary, and from the two dimensional sphere S^2, connected sums are made cyclically with the torus $T = S^1 \times S^1$. The count of added tori is a topological feature known as the genus of F, g(F), equating to Clebsch's notationp.

We can obtain an oriented surface F for which the Euler characteristic is zero,F is compact, and has no boundaries, by taking connected sums of S^2 with T which equals $T= S^1 \times S^1$. The count of added tori is a topological invariant called the genus of F; Riemann's classification of surfaces is somewhat intricate and looks like branching over a plane, as well as assuming the surface under analysis is differentiable, which makes the investigation easier. But yet, the genus is, in fact, purely and simply, a topological invariant. This can be shown employing the first measure, the fundamental group or the first homology group, $H_1(F)$. For example, we have $H_1(S^2)=0$ and the relation $H_1(F\#T) \cong H_1(F) \oplus Z^2$ where # means connected sum and shows that if one connect S^2 from which we get Fn by connecting with 'n' tori then H

The rank of the K-th homology group is called the kth Betti number, and thus g(F)=b1(F)/2. Alternatively, the Euler characteristic, e(X)=∑i(−1)ibi(X), can also be used to define the genus: g(F)=1−e(F)/2. The Euler characteristic, which can be calculated combinatorially as e(F)=vertices−edges+trianglese, is a topological invariant, though proving this requires a rigorous demonstration.

The genus is part of topology which is used to describe a surface. Imagine that it is its value as a descriptor on its own – but that is not all; what is it in the grand scope of topology? What Riemann said can be translated into the idea in a subject that is differentiable a surface is uniquely characterized by its genus. This idea can be made rigorous by using some basic tools from Morse theory. However, a more powerful statement holds: The genus in fact gives information about the homeomorphic type of the surface which is under consideration. Rado confirmed this result much later than Riemann and that is why we can claim that using this method we are able to prove Riemann hypothesis.

Theorem: Two connected, closed, oriented surfaces F and F′ are homeomorphic if and only if g(F)=g(F′).

Rado's proof is not straightforward; a crucial element of it involves demonstrating that the topological properties of a surface depend directly on its genus.

Riemann main goal when studying the topology of surfaces was to analyse their geometry in the context of complex analysis as one complex dimentional manifold known as complex curves. It refers to a topological space having countable bases that resemble Rn at local level and possess a structure that allows holomorphic transformations. Of dimension one, that countable basis stems from the existence of a complex structure.

In such curves Riemann defined divisors for instance, finite sums of point lying on a surface and having integer coefficients associated with them. In the case of a closed surface, a meromorphic function defines a divisor together with zeros and poles of this function, as well as the corresponding orders. The degree of a divisor, deg(D), is said to be the sum of all the coefficients of a given polynomial that stands for 0.

Riemann considered the vector space L(D), being a space of all meromorphic functions with divisors D not less than a given one when added together to obtain non negative integers. This space has a dimension given by l(D) The term l(D) refers to a function that establishes the upper limit of the possible accessible frequency bandwidth of a medium or channel in telecommunications. Riemann's inequality states:

l(D)≥deg(D)+1−g

This inequality serves as the foundation for the **Riemann-Roch theorem**, which provides an equality when using the **canonical divisor**K:

l(D)−l(K−D)=deg(D)+1−g

The importance of this result can be found in identifying the relationship between degree of a divisor which is elementary and down to earth but the genus (g) is far from trivial. It therefore determines adjoins the dimensions of spaces of meromorphic functions and its related characteristics, relating the complex analysis and topology.

In more complex cases one can put more elaborate structures on the surfaces, for example from complex analytic geometry. Whereas complex manifolds were mainly investigated in the first half of the twentieth century, complex algebraic varieties and the zeros of families of polynomials came into focus later as their study shed light on surface classification from the geometric point of view.

So the framework of this kind of interconnection became established, for example, with the help of the notion of the arithmetic genus. It was made aware four definitions were presented in 1950s in relation to the arithmetic genus of a projective, smooth algebraic variety, of complex dimension, nn. Thus, the arithmetic genus is evaluable from specific formulas that are associated with the holomorphic differentials of particular degree of the manifold place pa(V).

The expression used resembles the Euler characteristic but in a modified format called the **Euler number**, which is given by:

$$\chi(V) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i b_i(V),$$

where bi represents the Betti numbers. The arithmetic genus, ga(V), is related to this value and serves as a birational invariant.

Also to a complex surface V there is defined the geometric genus g(V) which is given with the help of holomorphic differential forms. The definition of the geometric and arithmetic genera indicate that they are birational invariants, and therefore stress their multiplicative characteristics when associated with surfaces.

The next concept in the Todd genus was formulated by A. N. Todd in 1944. It generalizes the notion of the arithmetic genus with the help of Chern classes which are important when speaking about vector bundles over complex spaces. Through these Chern classes, the Todd genus can be expressed with certain polynomial forms for the Todd genus associated with the Todd genus of the Todd genus. The Todd canonical classes define homology classes and serve to assess vector bundles from the perspective of algebraic geometry connecting arithmetic and topological features of varieties.

The Todd sequence is defined for a special multiplicative sequence that on the each complex projective space equals to 1. The first few Todd polynomials are as follows:

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2}c_1,$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2),$$

$$T_3 = \frac{1}{24}c_1c_2,$$

$$T_4 = \frac{1}{720}(-c_4 + c_1c_3 + 3c_2^2 + 4c_2c_1^2 - c_1^4),$$

where cic_ici denotes the Chern classes.

Theorem: Let V be a nonsingular compact complex algebraic variety of dimension n. Then:

$$\chi(V) = \langle T_n(V), [V] \rangle,$$

where χ(V) is the evaluation of the Todd polynomial Tn on the fundamental class.

This theorem is connected with the well-known Riemann-Roch Theorem because it adds the anatomical data, proportioned by the holomorphic Euler number, to the topologically defined genus known as the Todd genus. For instance if the complex dimension of V is equal to 1 and hence is a Riemann surface the above stated theorem simplifies to the Riemann-Roch theorem. For higher dimensional cases, one has the same state for any such nalgebra and this one generalizes as the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for D= 0. After this, in 1957, Grothendieck extended these notions further and saw a version of the Riemann-Roch formula which is a form of a parameter. Even though these extensions are related to the genus, they are even more distant from the topic.

Bordism and generalized genera

Therefore, while discussing the Todd genus as a topological invariant, it is necessary to offer some additional details. We need a more complex, but weaker, structure outside the differentiable manifold; more precisely, a challenging structure on the sum of the tangent bundle with trivial bundle, which forbids direct comparison of results for different b-values. From a purely mathematical perspective, this complicated structure is stable. In order to clarify the case where an odd-dimensional manifold can host an almost complex structure, we state that the structure can be considered stable if and only if each of its cosets is also an almost complex manifold.

We can extend the Todd genus to manifolds with stable nearly complex structures since Chern classes are cohomology invariants and do not depend on the connected sum of a trivial complex bundle. The essential features of the Todd genus are applicable to these manifolds:

Additivity: The sum of the Todd genera of the and components is equal to the Todd genus of the disjoint union, which is a further consequence.

Multiplicativity: The product of the Todd genera of the factors is what is known as the Todd genus of a product.

An overarching concept of genera was established based on the characteristics of the Todd genus. Allocate this generic genus to brands of manifold classes by using the defining classes of tangent bundles with stable, almost complex topologies.. For such genera, some elements have to be as follows, including additive and multiplicative properties. For instance, for a Riemann surface the Todd genus is equal to c 1(F)/2 which is equal to the Euler characteristic of F, or equal to 1−g(F). In other words, one is concerning the genus of a Riemann surface.

Of additional intrinsic characteristics based on oriented manifolds one can mention the signature known as sign, or sign (M). This invariant is related with the linear algebra of intersection forms and for 4k-dimensional closed oriented manifolds .

The first author sought a formula to express the signature, similar to how the Todd genus relates to arithmetic genera, using characteristic classes. Initially conjectured, the signature became a crucial element in the Riemann-Roch formula. Since there is no natural complex structure on the tangent bundle, the Pontrjagin classes pi(M) in H4i(M) were used instead of Chern classes. These Pontrjagin classes, constructed through formal sequences, allowed for a generalized formula similar to the Todd genus.

The first few **L-polynomials**, used to express the signature in terms of Pontrjagin classes, are:

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{3}p_1,$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{45}(7p_2 - p_1^2),$$

$$L_3 = \frac{1}{945}(62p_3 - 13p_1p_2 + 2p_1^3).$$

For the arithmetic genus it can only be said that in the case, where the values at the even-dimensional projective space are resume on the signature, a general formula can be derived. It has been shown that any of its manifold can be recreated as the linear sum of products of the projective spaces. This connection compose the foundation of the bordism theory with reference to manifolds.

Mega manifolds that are n-dimensional and oriented without boundary also from a group under disjoint union and is denoted Ωn. Combined, these classes meet the requirements laid down by bordism theory.

The Relevance of the Signature

The signature of a manifold is a crucial topological invariant, providing insight into the classification of certain manifolds. For Riemann surfaces, the genus completely determines their homeomorphism type, which matches the diffeomorphism type. In the case of closed smooth simply connected 4-manifolds, an analogous result holds:

Theorem: If the type (even or odd), signature, and Euler characteristic are all in agreement, then two closed differentiable simply connected 4-manifolds are homeomorphic.

Here, the "type" is concerned with whether or not self-intersection numbers are parity. This outcome is conditional on Freedman and Donaldson's generalizations. With the intersection form and a mod 2 invariant, the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant, which is zero for smooth 4-manifolds and those homeomorphic to S^4, Freedman classified reasonably well-connected topological 4-dimensional manifolds as having four dimensions.As a consequence, Freedman proved the **4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture**, stating that a 4-manifold homotopy equivalent to $S^4$is homeomorphic to $S^{4.}$.

But Donaldson in his work based on the gauge theory showed that intersection forms are much more restricted if the manifold is smooth. It also elucidated that the classification is dependent on the rank, the Euler characteristic or the signature, and the type. That is quite different from the case for the Riemann Surfaces.

The presence or absence of exotic smooth structures can also be significant in dimension 4. It is demonstrated that a simply connected 4-manifold can possess a number of essentially different smooth structures where it can be homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. Donaldson first found such structures proving that there are infinitely many smooth versions of some 4-manifolds. Those examples include a K3 surface, a complex surface of real dimension 4, which has this property.

The problem of distinguishing between exotic and non-exotic closed 4-manifolds constitutes a large open problem in differential topology, with the complex projective plane CP2\math or the 4-sphere S^4 being cases that remain unknown at this point. If, in fact, S^4 possesses a single smooth structure, the aforementioned smooth Poincaré conjecture for 4-dimensions would hold: any closed smooth simply connected 4-manifold with Euler characteristic equal to 2 is diffeomorphic to S^4.

Thus, meant it was clarified that the property of having infinitely many smooth structures is typical only for the dimension 4. Indeed, in all other dimensions, such number is finite. This relates to the Hauptvermutung , which states that any topo logical manifolds amenable to triangulation is unique and so triangulated uniquely and if at all, this has to be unique or able to be done in only one manner, a fact disproved by Milnor. Measuring in dimensions 4+ it turns out that piecewise-linear structures exist in number only to be finite according to Kirby and Siebenmann's theorem. In particular the same argument also yield as corollary when combined with the surgery theory that a piecewise linear manifold of dimension at least 5 has only a finite number of different smooth structures. Coproductively with these results it shall demonstrate that each topological manifold with dimensions more than 4 has the finite number of smooth structures.

The classification of smooth structures on spheres is crucial in this theory beginning with Milnor's examples, and Kervaire and Milnor continued for dimensions higher than four. The signature theorem is used extensively to find and categorize such structures by constructing compact smooth manifolds with boundary like that of spheres. Thus, using the geometry of union of such manifolds with cones over their boundaries, it is possible to raise the signature theorem together with the L-polynomials for computation of some other topological coefficients containing terms with the Pontrjagin classes.

Conclusion

The concept of genus is a fundamental and versatile tool in topology and complex analysis, originating from the study of surfaces by Riemann and later expanded by Clebsch. It serves as a critical topological invariant, providing insight into the structure and classification of various mathematical objects, including surfaces and complex manifolds. The genus connects with other essential invariants like the Euler characteristic and is crucial for understanding the properties of meromorphic functions and divisors on complex surfaces. The Todd genus and its generalizations further illustrate the depth and applicability of these ideas, especially when combined with characteristic classes such as Chern and Pontrjagin classes. The study of genus and related invariants like the signature has profound implications, contributing to significant advancements in differential topology and algebraic geometry.

Conclusion

The concept of genus is a general and*necessary tool in topology and complex analysis and is the generalization of the ideas of Riemann concerning surface and prolonged by Clebsch. It has applications as a topological invariant that helps to identify the structure and classify surfaces and complex manifolds. This genus ties with other fundamental invariantsogenous as the Euler characteristics; it plays a central role to factor the properties of meromorphic functions and divisors on complex surfaces. The Todd genus and its generalizations show how deep these ideas are and how they can be extended together with characteristic classes like Chern and Pontrjagin classes. The analysis of genus and similar characteristics and invariants has a deep meaning; knowledge of them led to remarkable progress in such areas as differential topology and algebraic geometry.

References

1. Atiyah, M. F., & Singer, I. M. (1968). The index of elliptic operators. I. *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 87, 484–530; The index of elliptic operators. III. *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 87, 546–604.

2. Borel, A., & Serre, J.-P. (1958). Le théorème de Riemann-Roch. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 86, 97–136.

3. Donaldson, S. K. (1983). An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18, 279–315.

4. Donaldson, S. K. (1987). Irrationality and the h-cobordism conjecture. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 26, 141–168.

5. Freedman, M. H. (1982). The topology of four-dimensional manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17, 357–453.

6. Gramain, A. (1971). *Topologie des surfaces*. Collection SUP: "Le Mathématicien", 7, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris.

7. Hirsch, M. W. (1976). *Differential topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 33, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg.

8. Hirzebruch, F. (1965). *Neue topologischeMethoden in der algebraischenGeometrie*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrerGrenzgebiete, 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg.

9. Hirzebruch, F. (1987). *GesammelteAbhandlungen. Band I, Zur Theorie der Mannigfaltigkeiten*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

10. Hirzebruch, F., Berger, T., & Jung, R. (1992). *Manifolds and modular forms* (translated by P. S. Landweber). Aspects of Mathematics, E 20, Vieweg, Wiesbaden.

11. Kervaire, M. A. (1960). A manifold which does not admit any differentiable structure. *Commentarii MathematiciHelvetici*, 34, 257–270.

12. Kervaire, M. A., & Milnor, J. W. (1963). Groups of homotopy spheres. I. *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 77, 504–537.

13. Kirby, R. C., &Siebenmann, L. C. (1977). *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 88, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, and University of Tokyo Press.

14. Kodaira, K., & Spencer, D. C. (1953). On arithmetic genera of algebraic varieties. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 39, 641–649.

15. Milnor, J. W. (1956). On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere. *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 64, 399–405.

16. Milnor, J. W. (1960). On the cobordism ring Ω_* and a complex analogue. I. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 82, 505–521.

17. Milnor, J. W. (2007). *Collected papers of John Milnor. III. Differential topology*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.

18. Porteous, I. R. (1971). Todd's canonical classes. *Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities Symposium I*, (1969/70), pp. 308–312. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 192, Springer, Berlin.

19. Rado, T. (1925). Über den Begriff der RiemannschenFläche. *Acta Szeged*, 2, 101–121.

20. Riemann, B. (1857). Theorie der Abel'schenFunctionen. *Journal für die Reine und AngewandteMathematik*, 54, 101–155.

21. Roch, G. (1865). Ueber die Anzahl der willkürlichenConstanten in algebraischenFunctionen. *Journal für die Reine und AngewandteMathematik*, 64, 372–376.

22. Smale, S. (1961). Generalized Poincaré's conjecture in dimensions greater than four. *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 74, 391–406.

23. Thom, R. (1954). Quelquespropriétésglobales des variétésdifférentiables. *Commentarii MathematiciHelvetici*, 28, 17–86.

24. Todd, J. A. (1937). The arithmetical invariants of algebraic loci. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, (2), Ser. 43, 190–225.

25. van der Waerden, B. L. (1947). Birational invariants of algebraic manifolds. *Acta Salmanticentia. Ciencias: Sec. Mat.*, no. 2 (1947); Birationale Transformation von linearen Scharen auf algebraischenMannigfaltigkeiten, *MathematischeZeitschrift*, 51 (1948), 502–523.