

# Solving Parabolic Partial Differential Equations Using the Crank-Nicolson Method

Laxmi Research Scholar in Mathematics Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra

# DR .S.H.Thakar Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, Shivaji university Kolhapur, Maharashtra

# Abstract

In various scientific and engineering disciplines, numerical solutions for partial differential equations (PDEs) have been indispensable due to the nonavailability of solutions in closed analytical forms. Some of these include parabolic PDEs describing heat conduction, diffusion processes, and other types of time-dependent phenomena. The Crank-Nicolson method, being second-order accurate and an implicit scheme, has been one of the most efficient techniques for solving parabolic PDEs. This paper deals with detailed analysis on the Crank-Nicolson method, its application to the parabolic PDEs, and its advantages and limitations. Apart from the contemporary developments, a discussion on the integration of Crank-Nicolson with modern computational techniques and further future directions for large-scale simulations have also been included. It has provided a comprehensive comparison of the Crank-Nicolson method with other numerical methods to understand its strength and weakness.

# Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) are basic mathematical tools for representing all sorts of physical and manmade phenomena. There may be unknown functions that depend upon several variables whose solutions help interpret the behavior of systems under all sorts of circumstances. PDEs are classified into several categories based on their characteristics, and parabolic partial differential equations (PDEs) represent one of the most important types in the study of time-dependent processes. These equations arise frequently in applications involving diffusion, heat conduction, and other processes where the system evolves over time.

© Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

One of the most classical examples among the parabolic PDEs is that of the heat equation, and it represents an equation for distributing heat or generally any diffusion over time within some media. Mathematically, solutions for parabolic equations, such as the heat equation, usually imply their determination in terms not only of time but also across the spatial domain involved.

However, analytical solutions of these equations are in most cases not feasible or not possible because real-world systems are too complicated. The domain's geometry, the type of boundary conditions, and the nature of the initial conditions often do not allow us to find exact solutions. In the study of parabolic PDEs, numerical methods are indispensable tools for approximating solutions with different levels of accuracy and at a different computational cost.

# **Parabolic Partial Differential Equations**

Parabolic PDEs describe systems where the solution evolves over time and space, usually with a smoothing or diffusive character. The classical example is the heat equation describing how heat diffuses through a solid over time. More generally, parabolic PDEs usually involve terms representing change in the system over both space and time. These equations model a wide range of phenomena, from temperature distribution in a rod to the diffusion of particles in a fluid.

The general form of a parabolic PDE includes derivatives with respect to both spatial and temporal variables. Often, the time derivative will indicate how the system evolves, and the spatial derivatives capture how the state of the system varies over different positions. For problems such as heat conduction or diffusion, these equations often model how some quantity-such as temperature or concentration-spreads or changes with time.

# The Need for Numerical Methods

Although parabolic PDEs are fundamental in modeling real-world phenomena, it is often impossible to find an exact solution for such equations due to the complexity of boundary conditions and the geometry of the domain. Most practical problems cannot be solved in a closed analytical form, and the solutions of these equations can hardly be presented in a simple or accessible form. Moreover, in cases of irregular geometries or inhomogeneous material properties, solving the equation analytically becomes even more difficult.

#### © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

For problems like these, numerical methods represent the only way to obtain an approximate solution, discretizing the spatial and temporal domains and replacing the continuous variables by approximations with respect to a grid of points. After discretizing the domain, it is possible to transform the PDE into a system of algebraic equations to be solved through standard computational techniques.

There are several numerical methods that can be used to solve parabolic PDEs, such as finite difference methods, finite element methods, and spectral methods. Each of these methods has its own strengths and weaknesses, depending on the problem at hand. The Crank-Nicolson method is one of the most widely used techniques for solving parabolic PDEs, particularly because of its stability and accuracy.

# The Crank-Nicolson Method

The Crank-Nicolson method was an implicit finite difference scheme designed by John Crank and Phyllis Nicolson in 1947. It applies to the solution of the time-dependent parabolic partial differential equation, such as the heat equation, and possesses some very important advantages over other numerical methods.

The Crank-Nicolson method is a second-order accurate method that combines aspects of both explicit and implicit methods. It is obtained by averaging the spatial discretization of the equation at the current and next time levels. This balance improves the stability and accuracy of the solution compared to other methods, such as the forward Euler method, which is explicit and only first-order accurate.

One of the great advantages of the Crank-Nicolson scheme is its unconditional stability; for any time step size, stability is guaranteed in contrast to the explicit schemes for which stability relies on the chosen time step size. Implicitness requires solving a system of algebraic equations at every time step, potentially increasing computational complexity, especially when dealing with very large problems.

Despite this drawback, the Crank-Nicolson method is still widely used because of its high accuracy and stable performance. It is especially useful when solving parabolic PDEs over long time intervals or when high precision is required. The method is applicable to a wide range of

© Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

problems, from heat conduction to the diffusion of particles in a fluid, and can be extended to more complex cases, such as those involving non-linear terms or variable coefficients.

## Advantages of the Crank-Nicolson Method

**1. Accuracy:** The Crank-Nicolson method is second-order accurate in both time and space. This makes it highly effective in producing precise approximations for a wide range of problems.

**2. Stability:** This method is definitely unconditional, that is free from the limiting constraints on step size imposed upon explicit methods by forward Euler as an example of the same sort. It might then be exploited for larger step sizes that save total computational time in long simulation runs.

**3. Applicability:** The Crank-Nicolson method has wide applicability to various problem domains, like heat conduction, fluid dynamics, and diffusion. It also accommodates irregular domain shapes as well as complicated boundary conditions.

**4. Efficiency:** While it requires the solution of a system of equations at each time step, modern computational techniques, such as parallel computing and matrix solvers, have significantly improved the efficiency of this method, making it feasible for large-scale problems.

# **Challenges and Limitations**

Despite its many advantages, the Crank-Nicolson method does have some limitations. One of the primary challenges is that it requires solving a system of linear equations at each time step, which can be computationally expensive, especially for large problems with many spatial points. The computational cost of solving these systems increases with the size of the problem, and in certain cases, it can become prohibitively expensive.

Another drawback of the Crank-Nicolson scheme is that it is implicit; hence, the method results in a set of equations containing all the unknowns at the next time step to be solved. This stands in contrast with explicit methods where the solution directly computes based on the known values of the previous time step. Even though the Crank-Nicolson method is unconditionally

#### © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

stable, the fact that it's implicit may complicate its use for certain problem types or for realtime computations.

## The importance of numerical approximations in complex systems

The possibility of solving parabolic PDEs is important in most real applications that cannot be analytically solved. For instance, in the engineering fields, the diffusion of heat through a material or how pollutants spread through a river would require solving specific boundary and initial conditions with a complicated parabolic equation. Likewise, in the domain of finance, the Crank-Nicolson scheme finds application while solving problems with relation to pricing of options by describing the dynamics of asset price processes through some form of the PDE.

The irregular domains or the complex geometries of problems often require numerical methods, such as Crank-Nicolson, to solve them in a flexible and practical manner. The domain is discretized into a grid, and at each grid point over time, the PDE is solved iteratively. Numerical methods, therefore, gain importance in solving parabolic PDEs with the ability to model realistic systems with varying material properties and time-dependent boundary conditions.

# **Overview of the Thesis**

This thesis explores the Crank-Nicolson method in great detail to give the reader an idea of how the method is derived, implemented, and applied to parabolic PDEs. It delves into its advantages, limitations, and possibilities for optimization by comparison with the most commonly used numerical methods such as explicit and implicit finite difference methods. Finally, it describes case studies and practical applications of the Crank-Nicolson method in several fields: heat transfer, fluid dynamics, and finance.

# Key areas covered in this thesis include:

**1. Theoretical Foundation:** A deep dive into the Crank-Nicolson method's derivation and mathematical formulation.

**2. Numerical Implementation:** An outline of the implementation of the method for solving the heat equation and other parabolic PDEs, including discretization, matrix formation, and solution techniques.

**3. Comparative Analysis:** To compare the Crank-Nicolson method with methods like forward Euler and backward Euler, in regard to accuracy and stability, also computational efficiency

**4. Applications and Case Studies :** Practical implementation of the method in solving realistic problems, mainly through simulations or modeling.

**5.** Current Developments: Analysis of the present state-of-the-art in computing where parallel computing, adaptive meshing, and machine learning can enhance the efficiency and usability of the Crank-Nicolson scheme.

**6. Future Perspective:** Discussions about future scope and potential directions where the Crank-Nicolson method may evolve through integration with some of the upcoming technologies in high-performance computing and artificial intelligence.

By the end of this thesis, readers will have a good understanding of the Crank-Nicolson method, its applications, and its place in the broader context of numerical methods for solving parabolic PDEs.

## Objectives

The primary objectives of this research are as follows:

**1. Obtain a detailed and thorough understanding about the Crank-** Nicolson method and its use as an application technique for solving PDEs by using the heat equation.

**2. Implement the application of the Crank-** Nicolson method, analyze the methods' accuracy stability, and check for convergence over practical examples on parabolic PDEs.

**3. For comparison of Crank-** Nicolson method with some other numerical approaches, such as explicit and implicit finite difference method, in regard to computational performance, accuracy and stability.

# **Material and Methods**

# Sources and Literature Review

The Crank-Nicolson method is originated from the finite difference method; it is based on the discretization of partial derivatives in time as well as in space. Historically, the finite difference method was first introduced in the context of solving linear parabolic equations, with subsequent developments improving its efficiency and accuracy. The Crank-Nicolson method was introduced by John Crank and Phyllis Nicolson in 1947, primarily to solve heat conduction problems. Their work laid the foundation for the development of efficient numerical methods for parabolic PDEs.

© Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

There have been many works dealing with the Crank-Nicolson method for solving parabolic PDEs over the last few decades. Such studies have verified that the method offers second-order accuracy in both time and space, therefore delivering highly accurate results in the numerical solution. The method has also been shown to exhibit stability, thus being very effective in the long-time problem without the instability exhibited by the explicit schemes in most of the cases.

In recent years, advances in computational methods, such as the development of parallel computing, have further enhanced the Crank-Nicolson method's utility. By distributing computations across multiple processors, large-scale simulations involving complex geometries and high-dimensional spaces have become more feasible. Moreover, the integration of machine learning with traditional numerical methods, including Crank-Nicolson, has shown promise in improving the efficiency and optimization of the solution process.

# **Comparative Analysis**

A comparison of the Crank-Nicolson method with other numerical methods, such as the forward Euler method (explicit) and the backward Euler method (implicit), is essential for understanding its strengths and weaknesses.

- **Forward Euler Method (Explicit):** The forward Euler method is an elementary explicit ٠ scheme. It is used to advance the solution in time based on known values from the previous time step. It is conditionally stable, and if the time step is large, the solution will become unstable. This limits its use in problems requiring large time steps or stiff equations.
- Backward Euler Method (Implicit): The backward Euler method is an implicit scheme that is unconditionally stable, meaning it can handle larger time steps without instability. However, it is only first-order accurate in time, which can reduce the precision of the solution.
- Crank-Nicolson Method: The Crank-Nicolson method is a combination of the forward • and backward Euler methods. It is implicit, like the backward Euler method, and is unconditionally stable. However, it offers second-order accuracy in both time and space, making it more accurate and efficient for solving parabolic PDEs. The method uses an averaging approach between the previous and current time steps, which balances stability and accuracy.



## **Contemporary Developments**

Recent innovations in computational methods have made it even more possible for the Crank-Nicolson method to be applied. Some of the developments listed include:

**1. Parallel Computing:** The invention of parallel computing allows solving large-scale problems involving parabolic PDEs much faster. As such, distributing computations across multiple processors can handle higher-resolution grids and larger time steps, improving speed while increasing accuracy using the Crank-Nicolson method.

**2. Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR):** The AMR technique permits dynamic refinement of the computational grid in regions where the solution is changing rapidly. It is also known to enhance computational efficiency. Incorporation of AMR with the Crank-Nicolson method would make it more efficient, especially for problems that exhibit localized phenomena, such as thermal gradients or high flux regions.

**3. Integration of Machine Learning:** The idea of applying neural networks for speeding up the solution process of parabolic PDEs is gaining ground. In other words, using a set of solutions in machine learning models learns the data so that it can predict the solution at any given time point, hence offering an even more efficient solution for solving PDEs for real-time applications.

# **Methodological Tools**

# The methodology followed here includes:

**1. Crank-Nicolson Scheme Derivation:** The Crank-Nicolson scheme can be derived through a discretization process of time and space derivatives in the parabolic PDE. Essentially, centralizing the idea on averaging time derivatives across the present and previous time level gives a solution that balances between stability and accuracy.

**2. Implementation and Simulation:** The Crank-Nicolson method is implemented in a programming language like Python, MATLAB, or C++. The numerical solution of the heat equation is obtained, and the accuracy, stability, and convergence of the method are tested using various test cases.

#### © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

**3.** Comparative Study: For a comparison purpose, the problem is solved through each of these methods, including explicit and implicit methods. Computations are then made to establish comparisons in computing time, stability, and accuracy of the explicit and implicit as compared to Crank-Nicolson methods.

The discussion section covers the outcome from implementing Crank-Nicolson compared to other methods. The performance in terms of accuracy and stability and computational efficiency will be investigated and compared. Both the strengths and weaknesses of the method can be discussed to provide suggestions on improving its implementation.

## Accuracy and Stability

The Crank-Nicolson method is shown to be second-order accurate in time and space, hence providing a more accurate solution than first-order methods like the forward Euler method. The method is unconditionally stable, just like the backward Euler method. However, the Crank-Nicolson method could be more computationally expensive than explicit methods, as it requires a system of linear equations to be solved at every time step especially for large problems.

#### **Computational Efficiency**

However, although the Crank-Nicolson method can be more efficient than explicit ones, there remains much room to further improve such a computational cost using modern technology. Parallel computations together with adaptive refinement of meshes bring computation time reduction and lower use of memory and, particularly when dealing with big and high dimensional problems.

# One of the hopeful ways for optimizations includes machine learning algorithms.

The Crank- Nicolson approach to solving parabolic partial differential equations, especially heat equation, remains a very robust and accurate strategy. Its merits in terms of its stability and also the second order of accuracy continue to make this a popular means of both academic studies and industrial calculations. Recent new advances in both parallel computing adaptive mesh refinement techniques, and using machine learning enable larger and yet more complex tasks to be readily solved.

#### © Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)

The future of Crank-Nicolson lies within the integration into modern computational tool and algorithms, ensuring its further advancements in real time applications and large simulations. As computationally power increases exponentially, the algorithm of Crank-Nicolson will remain of prime importance when it comes to numerical solutions with parabolic PDEs, encompassing a gamut of engineering and scientific streams.