International Research Journal of Mathematics, Engineering and IT
ISSN: (2349-0322)
Association of Academic Researchers and Faculties (AARF)
Impact Factor- 7.132 Volume 9, Issue 8, August 2022
Website- www.aarf.asia, **Email** : editoraarf@gmail.com

Effect of Magnetic Field on Stability of Viscous Fluid Layers

Dr. Ravi Prakash Mathur
Department of Mathematics
S.G.S.G. Government College, Nasirabad (INDIA)

Abstract
The present study investigates the influence of a uniform magnetic field on the stability of horizontal viscous fluid layers heated from below. The system represents the classical Rayleigh–Bénard configuration under magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) conditions. By applying the Boussinesq approximation and linear stability theory, a dispersion relation is derived that connects the growth rate of perturbations with parameters such as the Rayleigh number (Ra), Hartmann number (Ha), and Prandtl number (Pr). The analysis shows that the presence of a vertical magnetic field increases the critical Rayleigh number required for the onset of convection, thus stabilizing the system. The magnetic field suppresses the growth of convective cells and delays the transition to turbulence. The stabilizing effect, however, depends on the field orientation, boundary conditions, and the electrical conductivity of the fluid. The results have direct relevance in astrophysical, geophysical, and industrial processes involving magnetized viscous flows such as in molten metals, semiconductor crystal growth, and liquid metal batteries.
Keywords: viscous fluid, magnetic field, Rayleigh–Bénard instability, magnetohydrodynamics, Hartmann number, stability analysis

1. Introduction

The study of hydromagnetic stability has been a subject of significant interest in fluid dynamics due to its wide range of applications in astrophysics, geophysics, and engineering processes. When two or more viscous fluid layers of different densities are superposed, the interface separating them can become unstable under the influence of external forces, leading to complex flow patterns. The instability of such configurations has been extensively studied since the pioneering work of **Rayleigh (1883)**, who analyzed the stability of a heavy fluid supported by a lighter one under gravity. Later, **Chandrasekhar (1961)** presented a comprehensive theoretical framework for the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) stability of viscous and inviscid fluids, highlighting the significant role of magnetic fields in stabilizing or destabilizing the interface between fluid layers.

The presence of a magnetic field introduces Lorentz forces, which act as restoring forces opposing the perturbations at the interface. Consequently, magnetic fields can either suppress or enhance instabilities depending on their strength, orientation, and interaction with other physical effects such as surface tension and viscosity. The combined effect of viscosity and magnetic fields is particularly important in understanding astrophysical phenomena such as solar prominences, stellar atmospheres, and accretion disks, as well as in industrial processes like crystal growth, plasma confinement, and liquid metal cooling in nuclear reactors.

Over the years, several researchers have extended the classical Rayleigh–Taylor and Kelvin–Helmholtz instability problems to include magnetic and viscous effects. **Sengupta and Siddheshwar (2003)** investigated the stability of two viscous fluid layers under transverse magnetic fields, while **Shivamoggi (2008)** analyzed the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) Rayleigh–Taylor instability in viscous and resistive media. More recently, **Chandrasekharan and Umavathi (2017)** and **Kaur and Aggarwal (2020)** studied the influence of magnetic fields on stratified viscous fluids through porous and non-porous media, emphasizing the role of magnetic field strength and viscosity ratio on the onset of instability.

In more recent studies, **Umavathi and Chamkha (2017)** and **Kaur and Aggarwal (2020)** analyzed MHD stability in composite and porous media, demonstrating that increasing magnetic field strength enhances the stability threshold. Similarly, **Yadav and Bhattacharyya (2018)** and **Kumar et al. (2019)** investigated the combined effects of viscosity ratio and transverse magnetic fields, establishing criteria for the onset of instability in multilayer fluid configurations. These works highlight the continuing interest in understanding the fundamental mechanisms controlling the stability of viscous layers in magnetized environments.

The present paper aims to analyze the **effect of an external magnetic field on the stability of viscous fluid layers**, with special attention to the interfacial behavior under small perturbations. The analysis focuses on determining the critical conditions for the onset of instability, examining how magnetic field intensity and viscous effects alter the stability characteristics of the system. The goal is to determine how the magnetic field modifies the critical Rayleigh number and affects the onset of convection.

2. Physical Model and Governing Equations

2.1 Configuration of the system

We consider an infinite horizontal layer of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting fluid of thickness **d**, bounded by two horizontal planes at $\mathbf{z = 0}$ and $\mathbf{z = d}$. The lower surface is maintained at a constant higher temperature $\mathbf{T_1}$, and the upper surface at a lower temperature $\mathbf{T_2}$, so that $\mathbf{T_1 > T_2}$. The temperature gradient in the basic state is therefore constant and equal to $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{(T_2 - T_1)/d < 0}$.
A uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B_0 = (0, 0, B_0)}$ is applied vertically along the z-axis. Gravity $\mathbf{g = (0, 0, -g)}$ acts downward. The fluid has density $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, viscosity $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, thermal diffusivity $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, electrical conductivity $\boldsymbol{\sigma_e}$, and magnetic permeability $\boldsymbol{\mu_0}$.

2.2 Basic state

In the basic equilibrium state, the fluid is at rest (velocity $\mathbf{v = 0}$) and has a linear temperature profile $\mathbf{T_0(z) = T_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta} z}$. The magnetic field is uniform, and the pressure distribution is hydrostatic, satisfying $\mathbf{dP_0/dz = -\rho g}$.
Small perturbations are introduced to the basic state:
$\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}'(x,y,z,t),\quad T=T_0(z)+T'(x,y,z,t),\quad \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}_0+\mathbf{b}'(x,y,z,t),\quad P=P_0+p'(x,y,z,t)$

3. Mathematical Formulation

The linearized MHD equations under the Boussinesq approximation are:

1. **Momentum equation:**
   $\rho\,(\partial \mathbf{v}/\partial t) = -\nabla p' + \rho\,\alpha\_T\, g\, T'\,\hat{z} + \mu\,\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + (1/\mu_0)\,(\nabla\times\mathbf{b}')\times\mathbf{B}_0$ ...(1)

2. **Induction equation:**
   $\partial \mathbf{b}'/\partial t = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}_0) + \eta\,\nabla^2\mathbf{b}'$ ...(2)

3. **Energy equation:**
   $\partial T'/\partial t + w\,\beta = \kappa\,\nabla^2 T'$ ...(3)

4. **Continuity equation:**
   $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{b}' = 0$ ...(4)

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha_T}$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $\boldsymbol{\eta = 1/(\mu_0\sigma_e)}$ is the magnetic diffusivity, and **w** is the vertical velocity component.

3.1 Non-dimensionalization

Let the characteristic scales be:

- length: **d**,
- time: $\mathbf{d^2/\kappa}$,
- velocity: $\boldsymbol{\kappa/d}$,
- temperature: $\boldsymbol{\beta\,d}$,
- magnetic field: $\mathbf{B_0}$.

Introducing dimensionless variables and parameters:

- **Prandtl number:** $Pr = \nu/\kappa$,
- **Magnetic Prandtl number:** $Pm = \nu/\eta$,
- **Rayleigh number:** $Ra = (g\,\alpha_T\,\beta\,d^4)/(\nu\kappa)$,
- **Hartmann number:** $Ha = B_0\,d / \sqrt{\mu_0\,\rho\,\nu\,\eta}$.

In non-dimensional form, the governing equations become:

$\partial \mathbf{v}/\partial t = -\nabla p + Ra\,T\,\hat{z} + Pr\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + Ha^2\,(\nabla\times\mathbf{b})\times\hat{z}$ ...(5)

$\partial \mathbf{b}/\partial t = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{z}) + Pm\,\nabla^2\mathbf{b}$ ...(6)

$\partial T/\partial t - w = \nabla^2 T$ ...(7)

$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{b} = 0$ ...(8)

---

4. Normal-Mode Analysis

We assume disturbances of the form:

$\{ w, T, b\_z \} = \{ W(z), \Theta(z), H(z) \}\exp[i(k_x x + k_y y) + \sigma t]$

where $\mathbf{k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}}$ is the horizontal wave number, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the complex growth rate.

Substituting into the governing equations and eliminating pressure and magnetic perturbations leads to:

$(D^2 - k^2)^2\,W + (Ha^2 + k^2)\,W = Ra\,k^2\,\Theta$ ...(9)

$(D^2 - k^2)\,\Theta = W$ ...(10)

where $\mathbf{D = d/dz}$.

Boundary conditions for stress-free, perfectly conducting plates are:

$W = D^2W = \Theta = DH = 0$ at $z = 0$ and 1.

4.1 Dispersion relation

Applying boundary conditions and assuming marginal stability ($\sigma = 0$), we obtain the dispersion relation:

$Ra_c = (\pi^2 + k^2)^3 / k^2 + Ha^2 (\pi^2 + k^2)/k^2$ ...(11)

The critical Rayleigh number $\mathbf{Ra_c}$ corresponds to the minimum of (3) with respect to **k**. For **Ha = 0**, this reduces to the classical Rayleigh result:

$Ra_c = (\pi^2 + k^2)^3 / k^2$.

For nonzero **Ha**, the second term represents magnetic stabilization.

5. Discussion

5.1 Influence of the magnetic field

Equation (3) shows that the critical Rayleigh number $\mathbf{Ra_c}$ increases with the Hartmann number **Ha**, indicating that a stronger magnetic field suppresses convection. The Lorentz force opposes the fluid motion that would otherwise enhance heat transfer by convection.

For small **Ha**, the increase is quadratic:

$\Delta Ra \approx Ha^2 (\pi^2 + k_c^2)/ k_c^2$,

where $\mathbf{k_c}$ is the critical wavenumber in the absence of a field.

As **Ha** becomes very large, the term proportional to $\mathbf{Ha^2}$ dominates, and the critical Rayleigh number grows linearly with $\mathbf{Ha^2}$, meaning convection is strongly inhibited. This effect is consistent with experimental observations in liquid metals and ferrofluids .

5.2 Effect of boundary conditions

If the boundaries are rigid and no-slip, the critical Rayleigh number is higher than in the stress-free case because viscous damping is greater. However, the trend with magnetic field remains the same —$\mathbf{Ra_c}$ increases monotonically with **Ha**. Perfectly conducting boundaries enhance magnetic stabilization, while insulating boundaries slightly weaken it.

5.3 Magnetic damping and energy balance

The physical mechanism of stabilization can be understood in terms of energy balance. The kinetic energy of convective motion is partly converted into magnetic energy through the induction term. Joule dissipation (Ohmic heating) acts as an additional sink of energy, effectively increasing the effective viscosity of the fluid.

The ratio of magnetic to viscous damping is approximately proportional to $\mathbf{Ha^2/Pr}$, so for large Ha the system behaves as if the viscosity were much larger, suppressing motion and favouring purely conductive heat transfer.

5.4 Role of fluid properties

The influence of the magnetic field depends on both the **Prandtl number** ($Pr = \nu/\kappa$) and the **magnetic Prandtl number** ($Pm = \nu/\eta$). In highly conducting fluids (large σ_e), the magnetic diffusivity $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is small, giving large Ha. Consequently, even moderate fields can significantly alter stability.

In poorly conducting fluids (such as saltwater), $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is large, so Ha is small, and the effect is minor. For liquid metals such as mercury, sodium, or gallium, **Pm** is typically very small ($\approx 10^{-6}$), meaning that magnetic diffusion occurs much faster than viscous diffusion.

5.5 Practical and astrophysical implications

In astrophysical contexts, magnetic suppression of convection plays a key role in the structure of stellar atmospheres. In sunspots, for instance, strong magnetic fields inhibit convective heat transport, leading to lower surface brightness.
In metallurgical and crystal growth processes, magnetic fields are applied deliberately to control convection and suppress unwanted flow oscillations. The same principle applies to liquid metal batteries, where a vertical magnetic field can prevent the onset of large-scale convection and improve stability of stratified layers.

---

6. Limiting Cases

1. **Non-magnetic limit (Ha = 0):**
   The classical critical Rayleigh number is recovered:
   $Ra_c \approx 1708$ for rigid boundaries, and $Ra_c \approx 657.5$ for stress-free boundaries.

2. **Strong magnetic field limit (Ha → ∞):**
   The term proportional to Ha^2 dominates; convection is completely suppressed, and heat transfer occurs purely by conduction.

3. **Low Prandtl number fluids:**
   For small Pr (e.g., liquid metals), thermal diffusion is fast compared to momentum diffusion, so the temperature field adjusts rapidly. The effect of the magnetic field remains primarily on momentum damping, increasing the effective viscosity.

4. **Oscillatory instabilities:**
   At intermediate magnetic field strengths and Prandtl numbers, overstability (oscillatory convection) may occur where perturbations grow in an oscillatory fashion rather than monotonically. This phenomenon, first identified by Chandrasekhar(1961) can lead to oscillatory magnetoconvection.

---

7. Numerical Illustration

Taking representative parameters for a liquid metal ($\rho = 6.5\times10^3$ kg m^{-3}, $\nu = 4\times10^{-7}$ m^2 s^{-1}, $\kappa = 1.3\times10^{-5}$ m^2 s^{-1}, $\mu_0 = 4\pi\times10^{-7}$ H m^{-1}, $\sigma_e = 10^6$ S m^{-1}), we estimate:
$\eta = 1 / (\mu_0\sigma_e) \approx 0.8$ m^2 s^{-1}.
For **B_0 = 0.1 T**, **d = 1 cm**, we get:
$Ha = B_0 d / \sqrt{(\mu_0 \rho \nu \eta)} \approx 50$.
Substituting into equation (11) gives:
$Ra_c \approx Ra_0 + Ha^2 C \approx 657 + (50)^2 \times C$,
where $C \approx O(1)$. Thus the critical Rayleigh number increases by about two orders of magnitude, demonstrating strong magnetic stabilization even for moderate field strength

---

8. Conclusion

This paper has examined the stability of viscous, electrically conducting fluid layers subjected to a uniform vertical magnetic field. The main conclusions are:

1. The magnetic field increases the critical Rayleigh number, stabilizing the layer against convection.

2. The stabilizing effect scales approximately with Ha^2, meaning even moderate fields can strongly suppress convection.
3. The efficiency of stabilization depends on boundary conditions and fluid conductivity.
4. Magnetic damping arises from conversion of kinetic to magnetic energy and Ohmic dissipation.
5. In astrophysical and industrial contexts, controlling convection through applied magnetic fields provides a valuable tool for maintaining stability in magnetized fluids.

The results extend classical hydrodynamic stability theory by explicitly quantifying the influence of magnetic fields on viscous fluid layers. Future work may include nonlinear and three-dimensional effects, time-dependent magnetic fields, and coupling with rotation or partial ionization phenomena.

References

1. Rayleigh, Lord (1883). *Investigation of the character of the equilibrium of an incompressible heavy fluid of variable density.* **Proc. London Math. Soc.**, 14, 170–177.
2. Chandrasekhar, S. (1961). *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability.* Oxford University Press, London.
3. Sengupta, T.K., & Siddheshwar, P.G. (2003). *Magnetohydrodynamic stability of viscous fluid layers.* **Acta Mechanica**, 164(1–2), 35–50.
4. Shivamoggi, B.K. (2008). *Magnetohydrodynamic Rayleigh–Taylor instability in viscous and resistive media.* **Physics of Plasmas**, 15(4), 044502.
5. Chandrasekharan, R., & Umavathi, J.C. (2017). *Stability of two superposed viscous fluids in a porous medium under the influence of a magnetic field.* **Journal of Porous Media**, 20(7), 609–620.
6. Kaur, H., & Aggarwal, A. (2020). *Effect of magnetic field on Rayleigh–Taylor instability of stratified viscous fluids through porous medium.* **Journal of Applied Fluid Mechanics**, 13(5), 1549–1558.
7. Umavathi, J.C., & Chamkha, A.J. (2017). *Magnetohydrodynamic stability of two immiscible viscous fluids in a composite porous medium.* **Journal of Porous Media**, 20(5), 465–476.
8. Yadav, D., & Bhattacharyya, A. (2018). *Hydromagnetic stability of viscous fluid layers with variable density and viscosity.* **Physics of Fluids**, 30(2), 024106.
9. Kumar, R., Singh, A.K., & Verma, S. (2019). *MHD instability of two superposed viscous fluids under the influence of a transverse magnetic field.* **International Journal of Applied Mechanics and Engineering**, 24(4), 999–1012.
10. Kaur, H., & Aggarwal, A. (2020). *Effect of magnetic field on Rayleigh–Taylor instability of stratified viscous fluids through porous medium.* **Journal of Applied Fluid Mechanics**, 13(5), 1549–1558.