International Research Journal of Management and Commerce
Volume 3, Issue 2 February 2016 IF 3.007 ISSN: (2348-9766)
© Associated Asia Research Foundation (AARF)
Website- www.aarf.asia, Email : editor@aarf.asia , editoraarf@gmail.com

The Role of Behavioral Finance in Shaping Investment Decisions Among Young Investors

Sanjay Bhalla
Department of ABST (Commerce), Govt College Bundi, Rajasthan, India, Pincode-323001
Email: *dr.bhalla@hotmail.com*

Abstract

This study will explore the application of behavioral finance principles in influencing investment choices of young investors, a group of investors that have obtained more and more access to the market due to technological progress. This paper examines the theory that drives behavioral finance and the major psychological elements that lead to systematic non-conformity to rational decision-making theory. The youthful investors are especially vulnerable to such cognitive biases as overconfidence, availability heuristic, representativeness, and anchoring that result in making unoptimal portfolio decisions and overtrading. The study examines the phenomenon of herding and how social forces can increase the individuals biases by information flows and crowd opinions. Behavioral assumptions in agent based models can be used to effectively recreate market phenomena like volatility clustering and momentum effects that cannot be well explained by the traditional finance theory. Psychological factors have a strong influence on asset pricing and performance, and the empirical evidence of trading records and market data proves that psychology is an important factor in the asset market. The paper discusses the impact of communication channels and information processing constraints on decision-making behaviors and more and more young investors prefer using digital platforms and social networks as a source of financial information. The continuity of order flow and slow portfolio adjustments are due to both strategic and behavioral limitations instead of the immediate optimization. The results imply that behavioral insights have to be included in the investment education programs so that young investors can be aware of common biases and avoid them. The study shows that behavioral finance offers a more realistic construct of the actual behavior of investors rather than the traditional models which assume perfect rationality with significant implications on financial education, product design and market stability.

Keywords: behavioral finance; investment decisions; young investors; heuristics; market sentiment

Introduction

The classical theory of finance is based on the basic idea of projecting the rationality of investors and making decisions on the basis of objective evaluation of available information only. The assumption made in this framework is that the players in the market are always maximizing their expected utility and that prices are information efficient. Nevertheless, these basic assumptions are always tested in the real life in terms of the actions of investors and market dynamics. Behavioral finance was the reaction to these contradictions and provided a paradigm that studies the systematic impact of psychological aspects and cognitive biases in financial decision making. This science acknowledges the fact that investors are ordinary human beings who make errors that can be predicted instead of the existence of an ideal human being that is perfectly rational and makes a rational decision (Statman, 2014).

Psychology and financial theory integration are more realistic in the sense that they give a more realistic view of how individuals make investment decisions under different market conditions. The conventional finance theory holds that investors are objective in terms of information processing and updating their beliefs about investment opportunities by the rule of Bayes, which is empirically falsified by the fact that humans apply mental shortcuts and systematic biases to analyze investment opportunities. These irrational behaviors are not accidental but they are subject to some predictable patterns that can be learned and comprehended by the principles of behavioral finance. The discipline has also received a great deal of visibility with the many market anomalies that researchers have observed that cannot be well explained by the traditional models, such as momentum effects, over volatility, and the presence of persistent pricing discrepancies (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Young investors can be of special importance when learning principles of behavioral finance due to their absence of large amount of market experience and being more prone to their emotions in their decision-making process. This group of people is normally characterized by some special issues such as limited financial literacy, reduced investment horizons and increased peer pressure due to social networks and online communication tools. This is because technological developments have significantly reduced the obstacles to market entry and younger people have been able to enter trading platforms and investment products that were originally restricted to experienced and institutional investors. The consideration of the impact of behavioral biases on this population group gains even greater significance because they constitute an increasing number of market participants that will influence the current trends in investments and the future market dynamics (Bailey et al., 2011).

A behavioral factor analysis can be used to identify trends in the behavior of young investors that can be used to elucidate market abnormalities and implement superior investment education plans. This paper delves into the theoretical underpinnings of behavioral finance and also names prominent psychological reasons that lead to systematic failures in the rational decision-making paradigm. This paper evaluates certain biases such as overconfidence, herding, and heuristics, and emotional response to changes in the market, and how these interplay to influence the investment performance of young investors (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Theoretical Framework of Behavioral Finance

The conventional theory of finance is based on the original premise that investors act rationally and will make a decision using the simple objective analysis of the information available to them. This model assumes that the players in the market will always maximize their expected utility and prices are efficient to reflect all the necessary information. But the actual experience of the market dynamics and investor behavior always questions these basic assumptions. Behavioral finance was one of the reactions to these anomalies, and provides a framework through which the psychological influences and cognitive biases are studied to have a systematic impact on the process of financial judgments. This science acknowledges that investors are ordinary human beings and not perfectly rational agents who can always make an error under prediction (Statman, 2014).

Psychology combined with financial theory gives us a more true picture of the actual process of investments making by persons under different market conditions. The standard finance models presuppose that investors are objective in processing information and update their beliefs under the rule of Augustus Bayes, empirical data indicates that humans are using mental

shortcuts and implementing systematic biases when assessing investment opportunities. These suboptimal choices are not accidental but they have predictable patterns which can be analyzed and interpreted using the principles of behavioral finance. The discipline has received a strong reputation with the scholars having reported many market anomalies that the conventional models have failed to explain, such as the momentum effects, excessive volatility, and continuous pricing differences (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Young investors are a very significant group to examine the principles of behavioral finance since they usually do not have much experience in the market and could be more affected by their emotions during the decision-making process. The characteristics of such group of people and their problems usually include insufficient financial literacy, shorter investment perspectives, and higher exposure to peer pressures via social networks and online communication devices. Due to technological developments, barrier to market entry has greatly been reduced with the ability to allow younger people to enter the trading platforms, as well as investment products that were formerly offered to more seasoned traders or institutional traders. The need to learn more about the impact of behavioral biases on this demographic is becoming more significant since they are a rising number of market participants that will influence the future developments of investments and the market (Bailey et al., 2011).

The analysis of behavioral aspects in young investors can be used to determine any pattern that will be useful in explaining market anomalies and in developing more appropriate investment education strategies. This study will examine the theoretical basis of behavioral finance and the major psychological factors that lead to systematic failures in decision-making models that are based on rationality. This paper is also evaluating certain biases such as overconfidence, herding, heuristics and emotional responses to market trends and how these elements combine to influence the investing results among young investors (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Heuristics and Cognitive Biases in Investment Decisions

Heuristics is often used by young investors in making investment decisions especially in situations where the information available is too complex or the outcomes are uncertain. The availability heuristic causes investors to overweight the recallable information or the newly experienced information and proceed with their judgments as they recollect rather than analysis. There is a tendency of over reacting to the readily available information by investors when company specific events are given a lot of media attention, which proves to be a temporary cause of distorting the prices. The studies analyzing the investor response to different corporate announcements prove that the simple access to information makes a significant impact on the investment decision and portfolio modification (Kliger and Kudryavtsev, 2010).

Representativeness bias is an important bias in investing because it makes the investors draw inferences instead of careful statistical examination. Young investors can see trends in random market movements or tell themselves that the tendencies of the performance in the recent future will never end. This bias causes over trading and poor diversification decisions because traders run after some previous winners without properly evaluating the underlying valuations and risk. The existence of the momentum effects on asset pricing, where those securities which have been doing well in the recent past, still receive investment flows irrespective of the changing fundamentals, is the result of the extrapolation tendency into the future (Lee and Chang, 2012).

One of the most widespread biases is overconfidence, which can occur in both beginning and more experienced investors, and they can overestimate their skills to forecast market trends or pick winning stocks. Such a psychological bias is reflected in the over trade, lack of diversification, and unrealistic hopes concerning the returns on investment. The overconfident investor also trades more often than the less confident investor, incurring greater transaction costs incurred and generally making lower net returns. The effects of overconfidence are even more extreme in bull markets where positive feedback is used to reinforce the illusion of investors regarding their predictive power. The market sentiment, overconfidence, and herding effect can cause the important deviation of the fundamental values, resulting in the bubble and the crashing down thereof (Kukacka and Barunik, 2013).

Anchoring bias influences the manner through which investors process new information in that it leads them to get obsessed with reference points or investments upon the purchase price or previous high when they make valuation judgments. Young investors can also hold on to losing positions too long since they base it on the price at which they bought the stock and wait until the stock returns to the same point to sell it. This bias combines with loss aversion to give rise to disposition effects whereby investors sell winners too soon and hold on to losers too long. The fact that these patterns of behavior are maintained under various market conditions implies that the existence of cognitive biases is a systematic attribute of human choices and not an accidental error (Bailey et al., 2011).

Herding Behavior and Social Influences

Herding is a case where investment decisions are being made by the investors after they have listened to the activities of other investors instead of using their information and analysis to make a decision. Investors with a young age are especially vulnerable to the herding effect as they usually do not trust their judgment and require confirmation in the actions of other investors or professional advice. The ways of social learning and informational cascades may create the circumstances when rational people neglect their own information and copy the decisions of people. It is a collective action that may cause asset prices to deviate above or below fundamental values; as the selling or buying wave starts self-reinforcing trends. The heterogeneous agent model with herding behavior captures how the psychological factors of collective behavior create market different dynamics compared to the forecasting of the individual agents in terms of rationality (Kukacka and Barunik, 2012).

The channels of communication and the patterns of information distribution have a major influence on the market outcomes in terms of their effects on the behavior of the investors. The pace and diffusion of financial news influence the pace at which information is captured in prices and the reaction of various groups of investors to announcements. The young investors are more often receiving the financial information on social media and online forums instead of using the old ones, establishing new trends of information distribution. Such communication networks have the potential to reinforce the biases of behavior given that investors will only disseminate information that confirms their beliefs or elicits emotional reactions. Empirical studies that consider implications of communication reveal that nature of information flow influences volatility of market and the price discovery (Andersen et al., 2014).

Market Sentiment and Emotional Decision-Making

The mood of the market sentiment refers to the aggregate mood of the investors and plays a significant role in the decision of trading more than what fundamental information would have

indicated. Emotions influence the perception of risk and willingness to invest as positive feelings favor risk-taking and negative feelings favor flight to safety. Young investors are usually highly emotional to the market movements in that they have not had much exposure to market cycles and volatile market movements. When the market is stressed, panic selling may occur due to fear, since investors will focus on the short-term aim to prevent losses at the expense of long-term investment objectives. On the other hand, euphoria results in over risk-taking because investors do not take into account the scenario of getting into losses (Kukacka and Barunik, 2013).

The interplay between the psychology of an individual and the sentiment of the market has a feedback mechanism that intensifies price action to a level that cannot be warranted by the underlying changes. Behavioral breaks are phenomena when change of heart makes the market dynamic changes suddenly, resulting into regime switching in volatility and correlation pattern. Such pauses usually coincide with a time when herding behavior is at its peak and the level of overconfidence is extreme. The dynamics of sentiment are important to understand why markets are so volatile when the changes in fundamental values would otherwise only suggest it. The sentiment-based approach in agent-based models can reproduce empirical regularities such as volatility clustering, and asymmetric reactions to positive and negative news (Kukacka & Barunik, 2012).

Order Flow Persistence and Trading Patterns

The analysis of equity order flow shows that there are perennial trends which can be explained more effectively by behavioral factors as compared to conventional ones. Investors will tend to break up large orders into small parts that will be carried out over time, forming autocorrelation in order flow, which lasts over the long-term. This persistence is based on both the strategic aspect and psychological aspects such as anchoring on the prices of past execution and slow changes in beliefs to the later information. The same behavior is similar to young traders who seek online trading where they tend to build or sell positions gradually rather than making a single transaction (Tóth et al., 2014).

The study of trading behavior reveals that investors are not relieved to instantly optimize their portfolio to the optimal position but rather optimize them gradually with time. This gradual adaptation process is in conflict with the belief in the frictionless markets and immediate optimization of the traditional financial theory. Behavioral explanations of persistent order flow contain limited attention where investors cannot always monitor all the available information, and thus update their positions progressively by processing new information. Disposition effect is another factor that leads to order flow patterns because investors are systematic in holding losing positions and gradual selling of winners, which forms predictable trading patterns (Tóth et al., 2014).

Risk Mitigation Through Portfolio Diversification

The random investment strategies have the potential to alleviate the financial avalanches and market instability through the counteraction of herding behavior and momentum effects. The system becomes less susceptible to the cascading failures when a part of the market players decide freely of the existing trends. This could mean that young portfolios diversifying randomly in various assets can provide stability in the market even though their diversification process could be unsophisticated. The result is in opposition to the traditional wisdom according to which informed trading is the only way to enhance the market efficiency and

supports the idea that behavioral diversity itself has a worthwhile stabilization value (Biondo et al., 2013).

The idea of the decrease of financial crisis in the form of diversified random investments demonstrates the role of behavioral heterogeneity in the operation of the market. When the market is filled with the same trading strategy or herding, it is susceptible to massive price fluctuations once the prevailing conditions alter without notice. Portfolio diversification not only acts as a risk management instrument of individual investors, but it is also a system that reduces systemic instability. Learning to invest in diversified portfolios, young investors are taught to launch habit, which will improve their own financial performance and market stability in general (Biondo et al., 2013).

Empirical Evidence from Behavioral Finance Studies

Behavioral finance has confirmed through empirical studies that there are many anomalies and regularities that cannot be sufficiently explained by the standard models. Empirical research findings on real investor behaviour indicate that there are systematic aberrations of the rational choice theory in a wide range of situations and time. These patterns occurring even after their identification and publication are indicative that behavioral biases are the inherent characteristics of human decision-making and not temporary market inefficiencies that fade away once revealed. Converging evidence on the psychological variables that affect financial decision-making is obtained through research methodologies that combine field data analysis with experimental methodology (Johnson & Shanmugam, 2014).

Empirical behavioral finance literature includes the studies of individual investor account, mutual fund flows as well as aggregate market patterns. Trading records analysis indicates that the individual investor continues to make errors which minimize their returns such as overtrading, buying and selling at the wrong time and lack of diversification. These trends are more evident in some of the demographic groups such as younger investors who might be inexperienced or financially unsophisticated. Investors in mutual funds also tend to be biased in their investment choices and switching behavior, and they often invest the funds due to historical returns instead of considering the future rationally (Bailey et al., 2011).

Cross-sectional and time-series studies indicate that behavioral factors are useful in explaining the variation in returns which are not explainable under traditional risk measures. The fact that momentum effects, value premiums and other anomalies are documented has spawned the debate as to whether the patterns are evidence of mispricing because of behavioral biases or whether the patterns are evidence of compensation of risk factors not covered by standard models. Empirical research on the connection between the measures of investor sentiment and performance in future proves that psychology has an impact on the prices of assets. Behavioral approach to empirical finance highlights why it is important to know how people actually make decisions and not how ideal behavior should be (Kliger et al., 2014).

Communication and Information Processing

Investors base their decision to invest and investor performance in the market, fundamentally based on how they process and obtain financial information. The communication channels will dictate the kind of information that is relayed to the various groups of investors as well as the speed at which the information can spread across the market. Reliance on digital platforms and social networks to access financial information on the part of young investors is becoming

more popular, producing new information flow patterns that are unlike the usual ones. These properties of these communication networks influence the speed at which news strategies prices and whether information cascades results in herding or efficient price discovery (Andersen et al., 2014).

The inability to process all possible information implies that investors are not able to fully process all available information and instead have to use simplifying strategies to make decisions. This is because selective attention causes investors to concentrate on a given kind of information and disregard other aspects that may prove to be of interest. Presentation of information matters greatly to how shareholders perceive such information as it poses varying conclusions to the same facts presented in different ways. Novice investors who lack sufficient experience can be especially vulnerable to framing effects and they may not have enough contextual knowledge to adequately process new information. The knowledge of such processing limitations aids in the explanation of why the markets are at times overreacting or underreacting to news announcements (Andersen et al., 2014).

Implications for Investment Education and Practice

The identification of behavioral biases in investment choices has significant implications in the financial education process to be applied among young investors. Through conventional finance training, the schools are more oriented towards technical analysis and portfolio theory whilst not paying sufficient attention to the psychological issues that investors encounter. Educational programs based on the understanding of behavioral finance can assist young investors to understand their biases, and the ways to reduce the impact of their biases. Recognizing errors that are easily made is the initial step of enhancing the decision-making process, but a long process and practice are needed to alter the fixed behavioral patterns (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Behavioral insights can be integrated into investment platforms and advised services in order to make investors make better decisions. The architecture of choice and default options have a great effect on behaviour, and well-designed interfaces may mitigate the effect of damaging biases. Investors could use automated investment tools which follow systematic strategies to prevent emotional responses to market volatility. These interventions should provide paternalistic advice without interfering with investor autonomy and preferences. One field where the benefits of behavioral finance research can be practically used to create concrete value to individual investors and market performance is the creation of behaviorally-informed investment products and services (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

Table 1: Common Behavioral Biases Affecting Young Investors

Bias Type	Description	Impact on Investment Decisions	Typical Manifestation
Overconfidence	Excessive belief in ability to predict outcomes	Excessive trading, poor diversification	High portfolio turnover, concentrated positions
Availability Heuristic	Overweighting easily recalled information	Overreaction to recent events	Chasing news-driven stocks
Representativeness	Judging based on superficial patterns	Extrapolating past performance	Buying recent winners
Loss Aversion	Greater sensitivity to losses than gains	Holding losers too long	Disposition effect

Anchoring	Fixation on reference points	Poor adjustment to new information	Waiting to break even before selling
Herding	Following others' actions	Momentum trading	Buying popular stocks

Sources: Baker & Nofsinger (2010); Statman (2014); Kliger & Kudryavtsev (2010); Lee & Chang (2012); Bailey et al. (2011); Kukacka & Barunik (2013)

Table 2: Theoretical Approaches in Behavioral Finance Research

Research Approach	Key Assumptions	Empirical Applications	Representative Studies
Prospect Theory	Loss aversion, reference dependence	Trading behavior analysis	Liu et al. (2014)
Agent-Based Modeling	Heterogeneous investors, bounded rationality	Market dynamics simulation	Gontis & Kononovicius (2014)
Heuristics Framework	Mental shortcuts, systematic biases	Decision-making patterns	Kliger & Kudryavtsev (2010)
Sentiment Analysis	Collective mood influences prices	Market volatility studies	Kukacka & Barunik (2013)
Communication Models	Information flow impacts behavior	Price discovery processes	Andersen et al. (2014)

Sources: Liu et al. (2014); Gontis & Kononovicius (2014); Kliger & Kudryavtsev (2010); Kukacka & Barunik (2013); Andersen et al. (2014)

Conclusion

Behavioral finance offers the necessary information of how young investors actually operate as opposed to how they should behave according to the traditional theory. The evidence confirms that psychological determinants and cognitive biases (systematically) affect investment decisions in different market conditions and among different groups of investors. The fact that young investors lack experience and are sensitive to emotional responses to volatility and fall prey to social activities through digital communication platforms is especially susceptible to behavioral biases. The knowledge of such behavioral patterns can be used in explaining market phenomena such as oversized volatility, momentum effects and sustained pricing anomalies that are difficult to explain through traditional models. The applied implications of behavioral finance studies are not only of academic importance but also useful in investment education, product development, and regulation. Identifying stereotypical biases is the initial move toward assisting investors in enhancing their decisions and generating higher financial results. The use of behavioral insights on investment platforms can lead users into making more rational decisions using proper choice architecture and automated instruments. Further studies on how market structures and technological changes interact with behavioral factors to define the outcome of investment need to be pursued in the future. The combination of psychology and financial theory adds value to the world of finance and gives useful instruments that can help investors cope with the sophisticated issues of wealth management.

References

[1.] Andersen, J. V., Vrontos, I., Dellaportas, P., & Galam, S. (2014). Communication impacting financial markets. *Europhysics Letters, 108*(2), 28007. https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/28007

[2.] Bailey, W., Kumar, A., & Ng, D. (2011). Behavioral biases of mutual fund investors. *Journal of Financial Economics, 102*(1), 1–27.

[3.] Baker, H. K., & Nofsinger, J. R. (Eds.). (2010). *Behavioral finance: Investors, corporations, and markets*. John Wiley & Sons.
[4.] Biondo, A. E., Pluchino, A., Rapisarda, A., & Helbing, D. (2013). *Reducing financial avalanches by random investments*. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.3639
[5.] Gontis, V., & Kononovicius, A. (2014). *Consentaneous agent-based and stochastic model of the financial markets*. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1574
[6.] Johnson, K., & Shanmugam, B. (2014). Empirical behavioural finance. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 43*, 212–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.02.007
[7.] Kliger, D., & Kudryavtsev, A. (2010). The availability heuristic and investors' reaction to company-specific events. *The Journal of Behavioral Finance, 11*(1), 50–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427561003591186
[8.] Kliger, D., van den Assem, M. J., & Zwinkels, R. C. (2014). Empirical behavioral finance. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 107*, 421–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2014.03.002
[9.] Kukacka, J., & Barunik, J. (2012). *Behavioural breaks in the heterogeneous agent model: The impact of herding, overconfidence, and market sentiment*. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3763
[10.] Kukacka, J., & Barunik, J. (2013). Behavioural breaks in the heterogeneous agent model: The impact of herding, overconfidence, and market sentiment. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 392*(23), 5920–5938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.063
[11.] Lee, S., & Chang, H. J. (2012). Investor behavior and heuristics: The availability heuristic in investment decision making. *Journal of Behavioral Finance, 13*(4), 297–312.
[12.] Liu, Y.-Y., Nacher, J. C., Ochiai, T., Martino, M., & Altshuler, Y. (2014). *Prospect theory for online financial trading*. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.6393
[13.] Statman, M. (2014). Behavioural finance: Finance with normal people. *Journal of Behavioral Finance, 15*(3), 144–151. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2014.963037
[14.] Tóth, B., Palit, I., Lillo, F., & Farmer, J. D. (2014). Why is equity order flow so persistent? *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 51*, 218–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2014.10.007