



## **THE SUBMOUNTAINOUS SACRED KOUOGHAP FOREST OF THE BATOUFAM VILLAGE, WEST CAMEROON ; PHYTOSOCIOLOGICAL APPROACH**

**Junelle MAKEMTEU,**

Department of plant biology, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé I,  
Po.B. 812 Yaoundé, Cameroon

**Emmanuel NOUMI,**

Laboratory of Plant Biology: Higher Teachers' Training College, University of Yaoundé I;  
Po.B 47, Yaoundé, Cameroon

### **ABSTRACT**

*The Batoufam village located in the mountainous Western Region of Cameroon, forms part of the bioclimatic zone of the northern peripheral domain of the guineo-congolesian region of Cameroon guineo - Congolese phytogeographic region. This region is known for its landscape bocager. In this village, the Kouoghap gallery forest is remnant of the pioneer vegetation destroyed by man, which has been conserved because of its sacred nature. This work is a phytosociological analysis of the Kouoghap forest and an assessment of its floristic affinities with other central Africa and Neotropical forest sites.*

*The phytosociological analysis was based on the comparison of the 265 plant species listed within an area of 2.5-ha. The analyses of the floristic groupings have been made on the basis of the partition of the samples by Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) and an Ascending Hierarchical Classification (CHA).*

*The Kouoghap forest presents three major plant sets: the gallery forest, the rainforest and one of various groupings. Two submountain forests were the two associations described : the *Synsepaletum cerasiferum* ass. nov. of the forest galleries of the northern domain of the Guineo-Congolese region, and the *Tricalysietum macrophyllae* ass. nov. of the rainforest. The flora of*

*Kouoghap counts a strong proportion of both the guineo - Congolese species (at least 51%) and the Soudano-Zambezian (at least 13%).*

**Keywords :** Succession rainforest-gallery forest, Kouoghap submountainous forest, phytosociological study, *Synsepaletum cerasiferum*, *Tricalysietum macrophyllae*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Batoufam ( $5^{\circ}14'$ - $5^{\circ}18$  N latitude and  $10^{\circ}26'$  - $10^{\circ}31'$  E longitude) is a village of the highlands of the Western Region of Cameroon. The survey site has an altitude of 1400-1500m, at the northern limites of the dense continuous forest that covers the Cameroonian south plateau (Kuéte, 1978), to the zone of confrontation of the two masses of air: the mousson of the equatorial climate in the south and the harmattan of the tropical climate in the north.

Though the phytogeographic aspect of different forests at the high summits have been studied (Noumi, 2013, 2015; Tagne, 2007; Madiapevo, 2018; Tchoua, 2013) as well as the photosociologic aspect (Noumi, 1998, 2012; Noumi and Amougou, 2003), the summit forests remaind less explored. Koechlin and Trochain (1955) described the succession the rainforest and the semi-deciduous forest without an intermediate stage of savanna, according to the climatic, edaphic and biotic local conditions.

The sacred Kouoghap forest situated on the southern slope of the valley between the Famla and Fieutchip quarters of the Batoufam village, drained by the Lionlong River, is a vallicole forest. It is an edaphic and physiographic grouping, because it benefits from a favorable microclimate due to the constriction of the sides of the valley. It is therefore a " gallery forest ". This survey will permit to explore the sociology between the different types of plant formation and maybe to consider the hypothesis of the succession rainforest-gallery forest.


This study is motivated by the fact that this forest is a representation of the vegetation of yesteryear in the highlands of the west Cameroon which has been destroyed by the human activities.

## II. STUDY AREA

Batoufam is nested in the south side of the Batoufam-Bayangam caldeira on a surface of 27km<sup>2</sup>. The cavity opens up to the North-East by which passe the rivers and tributaries of the Koupa that flows into the Noun river (Figure 1). Inside the caldeira three damaged terraces were established at an elevation of 1400, 1600 and 1800m, respectively (Fosso, 1999). They are separated by two layers eased by the erosion, of which the lowest (between 1400 and 1600m) is located in the Kouoghap Forest, on the south-eastern side. The Bangou volcano presents itself like an enormous stratovolcan whose lavas rest on a substratum constituted of intrusives granites in metamorphic formations (gneiss, migmatiques and matexites) belonging to the north equatorial pan-African range (Nzenti et al., 1992). Ferruginous soils have developed on the volcanic products, characterized more or less by the abundance of the fragments of deteriorating basalt (Tchoua, 1974; Njiki, 1984; Fosso, 1999).

Batoufam belongs to the bioclimatic zone of the mountains and high lands of West Cameroon, the submountain domain of the Guinean-Congolese phytogeographic region (Letouzey, 1968) . The temperatures are curbed and the thermal amplitudes are big (Ducret, 1990). The yearly average temperatures is 19.32°C. The Bamiléké plateau is submitted to the humid wind (monsoon) coming from the Atlantic Ocean, and to the incursions of the tropical air of the Sahara (harmattan). The meeting of these two masses of air forms the Intertropical Forehead (ITF) whose swing determines the cycle of the seasons. The yearly rainfall varies from 1238.3 to 1838mm, with a yearly average of 1627.9mm. The climate is tropical, with 2 seasons: a dry season from November to February and a season of the rains from March to October (Suchel, 1972) (Figure 2).

The total population estimated to 30 000 is 99% native, practicing traditional agriculture for a livelyhood. The highland landscape presents summits hills covered with grassy savanna, then of the sacred woods, frequent in many concessions.



**Figure 1.** Map of the location of Batoufam and the botanical landscape of the Kouoghap Forest (A). Situation of the Department of Kouoghap Forest in Cameroon (B). The four villages of Kouoghap Forest: Bandjoun, Bandrefam, Batoufam and Bayangam (C). Botanical Landscape of the Kouoghap Forest of Batoufam.

1= Swamp of raffia [*Raffia farinifera* (Gaertn.) Hyl. (Arecaceae)], facing destruction since 1995 by some youngsters for the market culture.


2 = forest zone facing destruction since 1970 (north part) and 1985 (left south) by some villagers for agriculture.

3= remaining forest zone (47 ha), but non secured; (a, b, c... .j, indicate the plot of sampling).


The point culminating is 1542 m.

4 = cursed forest "Yidjang", destroyed in 1980 by a madman.

5 = Concession of the chief of quarter.



**Figure 2.** Ombro-thermic diagram. Curve of the monthly mean of rainfalls [scale reduced to the 1/10 from 100 mm, gray part, according to the method of Walter and Lieth (1964)] and of the monthly mean of temperature. Data of the meteorological station of Bafoussam-Bamougoum, 1991 to 2005. There are no monthly mean values of precipitation and temperature in Batoufam, but these values must be nearest of those of Bafoussam-Bamougoum Station situated at 20km of the North side.



### III. METHODOLOGY

The survey is sustained by the theory according to which "the visible elements of vegetation are the indicators that permit to have visions of the facts or phenomena that took place before the present stage (Noumi, 2008). A quantitative inventory of a 2.5-ha surface was achieved by rectangular plots (25m of width on 100 m of length), taking into account all the vascular plant species (trees, herbs and lianas) in 2014-2015.

The determination of big tree, inaccessible at the harvests, was made in situ using the dendrological criterias (Normand, 1965 ; Vivien and Faure, 1985). The 45 samples harvested and dried was identified using some volumes of floras and documentation: Aubreville, (ed.) & coll. Multiple (1961-1999) ; Aubreville, (ed.) & coll. Multiple (1963-1998); Lebrun and Stork (1991, 1992, 1995, 1997). Thereafter, the identified samples were compared with those specimens preserved at the National herbarium of Cameroon (YA), of the Ministry of the Scientific and Technical Research, for verification. The constituted herbaria were keep in the Laboratory of Plant Biology of the Higher Teacher Treating College of the university of Yaoundé I.

The synthetic features of flora were considered in a synthetic manner through the main physiognomic specters. The biologic types (BT) were distinguished according to the classification of Raunkiaer (1934), darn by Ellemberg, Mueller-Dombois (1967), (Boquet and Aeschimann, 1981) and Schnell (1971). The types of diaspores are determined using the classification of Danserau and Lems (1957) and Evrard (1968).

Their phytogeographic distribution types (TP) were established according to the works of Schnell (1970) for the inter-tropical massif orophytes; of White (1983) for the big chorological subdivisions of Africa and Letouzey (1985) for the phytogeography of Cameroon. The phytosociologic units (PU) were based on the classification of Lebrun and Gilbert (1954), of the works of Noumi (1998) and of the synthesis of Schmitz (1988).

The coverage of the species has been established according to the Braun-Blanquet (1932).

To measure the specific diversity from a list of species and their number of individuals partners,

We used the Shannon index ( $H'$ ) (Shannon and Weaver, 1949) :

$$ISH = -\sum N_i/N \log_2 N_i/N ;$$

where  $N_i$  is the strength of the species “ $i$ ” and  $N$  the strength of all species. It is expressed in bits.

After the encoding of the data from the software Excel 2007, the lists of the species thus established were treated in a suitable manner. The presences (P) and middle coverage (RM) of species were used like first criterias of ordering in order to establish the typology of the samplings

The «Detrended Correspondence Analysis» (DCA) techniques was used for the treatment of the data, which is an improvmnt of the Correspondence Factorial analysis method (AFC), a method that permits us to regroup clouds of similar samplings and by species. The ordination of the samplings was done using the Two Way Indicator Species Analysis software (Twinspan) (Hill, 1994).

The ascending hierarchical classification (CAH) is a powerful analysis method that permitted us to regroup the objects following a matrix of distance (the similarity in our case) between these objects (the summaries in our case). The dendrogramme obtained from the ascending hierarchical classification (CAH) was with the help of the Multi - Variate Statistical Package (MVSP 3.22) software using the method of Ward on the basis of the distance of Bray-Curtis, clustering by UPGMA. The factorial plans are gotten with the software MVSP 3.22 on the basis of a DCA.

## IV. RESULTS

### IV 1. Floristic composition

The sacral forest Kouoghap is a fragment of forest grouping of high cluster that developed on the south side of the valley crossed by the Lionlong river. It is both an edaphic and physiographic grouping because it benefits from a favorable microclimate due to the roadbed of the valley and to the tributary of Lionlong river (Figure 3). This conditions are therefore favourable for a gallery forest, for the growth of the trees to very large sizes and height.



Figure 3. Classic representation of the gallery forest. The canopy is contiguous above a tributary of the Lionlong river. The photography shows the fall of the tributary

Of the 265 species harvested 255 have been identified (Table 1). The nomenclature follows Lebrun and Stork (1991-1997). The scientific name of the authors appear in the Table 1. These species regroupe themselves in 192 genera and 82 families. The richest families are the *Leguminosae* (*Fabaceae, Caesalpiniaceae and Mimosaceae* disconcerted) represented by 30 species, the *Asteraceae* (13), *Euphorbiaceae* (12), *Moraceae* (11), *Meliaceae* (10), *Acanthaceae* (9), and *Rubiaceae* (9) (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Specific diversity of the families encountered in the floristic inventory of the Kouoghap gallery forest. The numbers of recorded species are indicated for each family.

The species in Kouoghap belong to 15 different biological types, and can be regrouped into 4 morphological types. There is dominance of the tree species (119, either 44.9%) that occupy the first place in the forest by their biomass, followed by the herbaceous species (66, either 24.9%). The proportion of the lianas (53 species, either 20%) is moderate, and the under-shrub and subfrutescentes (27, either 10.1%) are least represented.

#### IV.2 Vertical structure and phisonomy

The plant formation is nearly represented by a pluri-stratum population of woody, reaching a height of 25-30m. In the south side, the species as *Syncephalum ceraciferum* and *Canarium schweinfurthii*, rise above the forest and support climbing stems like *Entada giga*, *Dalbergia hostilis*, and *Cissus petiolata*. It is the stratum arborecente with *Lovoa trichilioides*, *Entandrophragma utile*, *Pitadeniastrum africanum*. The Table 1 presents the stratification of the Kouoghap forest. The shrubby stratum represents the most dominant stratum with 27.55% of the raw specter, followed by the herbaceous stratum with 21.51%. The epiphytes is the leastrepresented with 3.4%. The arborescent stratum reaches 45.55% for the weighted spectrum.

#### IV.3. Plant grouping individualization

The data correspond to a raw matrix of 10 samplings and 265 plant species. On Figure 4 the dendrogramme of the hierarchical classification of the samplings reveals the heterogeneity (associations and facies) within a same plant formation.




Figure 4: Dendrogramme of the plant formations of the sacral gallery Kouoghap forest (in the broad sense). V-gr : various plant groupings; G-f-sw : gallery forest in the sens of the world; R-f: rainforest

Three plant groupings have been noticed:

- the group of the sampling corresponding to the gallery forest (in the sense of the world) : samplings R1, R2, R6, R7and R9;
- the group of the samplings corresponding at the rainforest (in the sense of the world): samplings R3,R4, R5 and R8;

- the sampling R10 corresponding to the various plant groupings. The fieldwork done in the lower limit of the forest edged by a coffee plantation (*Coffea arabica*, *Rubiaceae*) and the Lionlong river.

The partition from that matrix of 10 samplings and 265 species, according to the axes 1 and 2, individualized the 3 groups of the dendrogramme:

- the group of the samplings executed on the high and peripheral part of the forest ( R1 R2, R6, R7, R9);
- the group of the samplings done on the slopes of the forest (R3, R4, R5, R8);
- The sampling was done in the lower limit of the forest besides the coffee farms ;
- The figure 5 illustrates the representation in the factorial plan 1 and 2 of the factorial analysis of the correspondences.




Figure 5. Card of localization of the phytosociologic samplings ; representation on the factorial plan 1 et 2 of the different groupings of the succession rainforest- gallery forest in the Kouoghap sylve

The axis 1 symbolizes a hygometric pressure gradient in the same zone, even by the edaphic nature of the soil facing the depth of the watertable (from the periphery of the drier forest, toward the river lionlong, more humid place).

The axis 2 represents the climatic phenomenon game in the neighborhood of the zone of instability of the 2 climatic regimes; equatorial climate with the humid wind or monsoon (Guinean zone) and the tropical climate with the dry wind or harmattan (soudanian zone).

#### IV.4. Feature of the different groups of samplings

The Table 2 gives the structural characteristics of the forests of the succession rainforest-gallery forest in the Kouoghap sylve (Koechlin and Trochain, 1955 ; Letouzey, 1968). The specific richness of these groupings varies from 145 to 157 species. The Shannon diversity index varies from 4.72 to 5.62. The relative coverage of the groupings varies from 8 to 55%.

Tableau 2 . . Structural features of the formations in succession in the Kouoghap sacral forest

	Localisation	Caracteristic species	Species richness	Shannon diversity index (H')	Relative coverage average (%)
Gallery forest	Samplings done from the high slope toward the river lionlong	<i>Amphimas pterocarpoïdes</i> , <i>Canarium schwenfurthii</i> ; <i>Dacryodes macrophylla</i> , <i>Myrianthus arboreus</i> ; <i>Syncephalum ceraciferum</i> ;	147	4.74	55
Rainforest	Sampling done on the slope of the gallery forest	<i>Anonidium mannii</i> ; <i>Alchornea floribunda</i> ; <i>Carapa grandiflora</i> ; <i>Lovoa trichilioides</i> ; <i>Rauvolfia macrophylla</i> ; <i>Tricalysia macrophylla</i> .	145	4.72	37
Various groupings with the transgressiv e species of the forests	Sampling adjoined the field of coffee culture before the river lionlong	<i>Al bizia adianthifolia</i> ; <i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> ; <i>Polyscias fulva</i> ; <i>Pychnanthus angolensis</i> ; <i>Markhamia tomentosa</i> ; <i>Trilepisium madagascariense</i> .	157	5.62	8

#### IV.5. syntaxonomic Position

The horizontal specific regrouping of 10 samplings (Table 2) permits to have sets of species corresponding appreciably to phytosociologic orders and classes, according to the zurichomonpellierain system, and assimilated to ecosociological groups .

a) A first group constituted of the gallery forest species along the temporary Lionlong river. This group corresponds to the order of the *Pteygotetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954. It is in fact the plants of semi - deciduous dense forests in more watered environment that compensates the pluviometric deficit by their proximity to the river. These species belong to the alliance of the *Khayo-Pterygotion* Schmitz 1950 for the soudano-zambezian formations. *Pteygotetalia* presents some semi- deciduous species of the equatorial mesophile forests (*Piptadeniastro-Celtidetalia*) (Koechlin and Trochain, 1955 ; Letouzey, 1968; Lebrun and Gilbert, 1954) ; then the equatorial secondary forests species of the ( *Musango-Terminalietea* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954), with species such as: *Synsepalum cerasiferum*, *Hylodendron gabunense*, *Guarea thompsonii*, *Amphimas pterocarpoïdes* *Lovoa trichilioides*, *Funtumia elastica*. This grouping represents the association of *Syncepalum ceraciferum*.

b) A second honestly ombrophile set (class of the *Strombosio-Parinarietea* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954) includes the species of the sempervirentes rainforests. This taxon regroups 3 orders: The *Ficalhoeto-Podocarpetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954, the *Gilbertiodendretalia dewevrei* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 and the *Garcinieta* Noumi 1998. In this last taxon, one notes the presence of the species of an alliance: the *Garcinion* Noumi 1998 with *Draceana arborea*, *Garcinia smeathmannii*, *Tricalysia macrophylla* as indicator species. This grouping represents the association to *Tricalysia macrophylla*.

c) A third set regroups several other phytosociologic groupings: the class of the *Mitragynetea* Schmitz 1963 that regroups all hygropile edaphic forests ; the order of the *Oleo-Jasminetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 of the sclerophyll forests with *Canthium vulgare* ; *Pittosporum mannii* and *Maytenus senegalensis* that are pledged to him. Still in the Kouoghap sacral forest, one meets the characteristic species of the *Musango-Terminalietea* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954, of the secondary forests of low and middle altitudes ; the characteristic species of the *Polyscieta* *fulvae* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 of regrew and secondary forests of mountain; the characteristic species of the *Ruderali-manihotetea* emendavit Hoff & Brisse 1983 of ruderal groupings ; the characteristic species of the *Hyparrhenietea* Schmitz 1963 of the vegetations of the non steppe savanna in soudano-zambezian region.

#### IV.6. Ecological spectra of the species of the kouoghap gallery forest (in the broad sense)

#### IV.6.1. Biological type spectra

The results of the analysis of the biological types of the forest (Table 1), are taken in the Table 3.

The importance of the phanerophytes (76.98%) for the raw spectrum is put in evidence. This group is followed of therophytes (8.3%). Also the weighted specter is dominated by the phanerophytes that reaches 95.47% of the relative coverage. The two characteristic species : *Tricalysia macrophylla* and *Syncepalum serasiferum* represents 17.28% and 8.85% of the relative coverage of the association. The chamephytes and hemicryptophytes are least represented in terms of surface coverage.

Tableau 3 : Biologic type spectra

Biological types		Raw spectrum		Weighted Spectrum	
		Nombre of species	%	Coverage	%
Phanerophytes		204	76.98	208.55	95.47
	Microphanerophytes (Mcph)	65	24.53	56.05	25.66
	Phanerophytic lianas (Ph-L)	52	19.62	21.85	10.00
	Mesophanerophytes (Msph)	51	19.25	105.55	48.32
	Nanophanerophytes (Nnph)	36	13.58	25.10	11.49
Therophytes		22	8.30	1.4	0,64
	Therophytes erected (T-erec)	19	7.17	1.05	0.48
	Therophytic lianas (T-L)	3	1.13	0.35	0.16
Geophytes		18	6.41	5.65	2.58
	Rhizome-geophytes (G-rhiz)	11	4.15	4.85	2.22
	Root-budding geophytes (G-rad)	4	1.51	0.55	0.25

	Bulbous geophytes (G-bulb)	2	0.75	0.2	0.09
	Rhizome geophytes (hemiepiphytes) (G-rhiz-E)	1	0.38	0.05	0.02
Chamaephytes		13	4.91	17.0	0.78
	Chamophytes erected (Cherec)	9	3.40	1.35	0.62
	Chamophytes epiphytes (Ch-E)	4	1.51	0.35	0.16
Hemicryptophytes		8	3.02	1.15	0.52
	Caespitose hemicryptophytes (H-caesp)	4	1.51	0.35	0.16
	Hemicryptophytes epiphytes (H-E)	2	0.75	0.4	0.18
	Hemicryptophytes creeping	1	0.38	0.05	0.02
	Hemicryptophytes reptant (H-rept)	1	0.38	0.35	0.16
	Total	265	100	218.45	100

#### IV.6.2. Phytogeographic type spectra

The detailed exam of type of the geographical distribution of the specific whole (Table 1) gave the groups and values encoded consigned in the Table 4.

The Guineo - Congolese species group comes first for the raw specter (52.08%) of the specific whole and for the weighted specter (63.28% of the coverage). The followed findings suivantes could be cleared:

- the floristic font of the formation is dominated by the Guineo - Congolese species.
- this type of plant formation is spilled in the Guinean zone in which meets the main species of the association ;
- the extensively widespread species group poorly represented (15.85% of the raw specter), with only 7.82% of land coverage.

Tableau 4 : phytogeographic type spectra

Phytogeographical type	Raw spectrum		Weighted spectrum	
	Number of species	%	Coverage	%
Guineo-congolese species	137	51.71	118.9	54.43
Omni- or subomni-guineo-congolese (G)	70	26.42	46.80	21.42
Centro-guineo-congolese (Cg)	66	24.91	71.95	32.94
Camerounian (Ca)	1	0.38	0.15	0.07
Widely spread species	44	16.6	39.85	18.26
Pantropical (Pan)	14	5.28	12.50	5.72
Afro-american (Aam)	9	3.40	1.00	0.46
Afro-malagasy (Am)	9	3.40	1.35	0.62
Afromountaneous (Amo)	5	1.89	0.45	0.21
Paleotropical (Pal)	3	1013	1.65	0.76
Pluri-regional african (Pra)	2	0.75	22.80	10.44
Afro-asian (Aas)	2	0.75	0.10	0.05
Species of liaison	74	27.92	57.8	26.46
Afro-tropical (At)	38	14.34	24.50	11.22
Guineo-sudano-zambezian (G-Sz)	36	13.58	33.30	15.24
Indeterminated species	10	3.77	1.90	0.87
Total	265	100	218.45	100

#### IV.6.3. Spectra of diaspore types

The Table 5 summarizes the results of the analysis of the types of diaspores as presented in the Table 1.

The importance of the sarcochores for the raw specter (52.45%) and the weighted specter (71.30%) are put in evidence. The pterochores, is in the 4<sup>th</sup> position in the raw specter, and 2<sup>nd</sup> in the weighted specter with 11.35%. The desmochores are the less represented with only 2.26% in the raw specter. The majority of the species is susceptible to be scattered by the animals

Tableau 5 : Diaspore type spectra

Diaspore type	Raw spectrum		Weighted spectrum	
	Nombre of species	%	Coverage	%
Sarcochore (Sarco)	139	52.45	155.75	71.30
Ballochore (Ballo)	36	13.58	19.1	8.74
Sclerochore (Sclero)	30	11.32	3.6	1.65
Pterochore (Ptero)	27	10.19	24.8	11.35
Pogochore (Pogo)	18	6.79	12.5	5.72
Barochore (Baro)	9	3.40	2.4	1.10
Desmochore (Desmo)	6	2.26	0.3	0.14
Total	265	100	218.45	100

IV.6.4. Ecosociologic units. The results of the analysis of the ecosociological unit types of the species of the plant formation are presented to the Table 6.

The groupings of the semperfervent ombrophile forests of the Table 6 totals 94 species (35.48%). They are followed by the groupings of the mesophile semi-caducifolious forests that present 53 species that is 20.37% of the set of the species. In the weighted spectrum, the species of ombrophile and secondary forests reach coverage of 73.47% of the total average coverage of the study area. In this context, the set of the species of the *Garcinietalia* to which belongs *Tricalysia macrophylla* reach a relative coverage of 23.27%. They largely determine the physionomy of the Kouoghap sylva. The forest (senso-stricto) totals 17 species (6.42%), reaching a relative coverage of 13.48% that places it in the 3<sup>rd</sup> position of the relative coverage. *Syncopalum cerasiferum* belongs to this set described as plant association, whose species determine largely the evolutionary dynamic of the Kouoghap sylve.

Table 6 : Ecosociologic groups Spectra

Phytosociologic statutes	Classes and Ordres	Raw spectrum		Weighed spectrum	
		Number of species	%	Coverage	%
Species of the sempervirente ombrophile forests		94	35.48	90.65	41.5
	<i>Strombosio-Parinarietea</i> (Strom)	24	9.06	16.65	7.62
	<i>Gilbertiodendretalia dewevrei</i> (Gilb)	32	12.08	16.05	7.35
	<i>Ficalhoeto-Podocarpetalia</i> (Fic)	10	3.77	6.9	3.16
	<i>Garcinieta (Gar)</i>	28	10.57	51.05	23.37
Secondary forests		50	18.86	69.85	31.97
	<i>Musango-Terminalietea (Mus)</i>	44	16.6	58.55	26.80
	<i>Polyscieta fulvae (Polys)</i>	6	2.26	11.3	5.17
Species of edaphic forests bound to the hydromorphe soils		14	5.29	8.75	2.56
	<i>Mitragynetea (Mytra)</i>	13	4.91	5.25	2.40
	<i>Lanneo-Pseudospondietalia</i> (Lan)	1	0.38	3.5	0.16
Species of mesophile semi - caducifolious forests		53	20.37	20.3	9.29
	<i>Piptadeniastro-Celtidetalia</i> (Pip)	43	16.6	19.35	8.86
	<i>Oleo-Jasminetalia (Oleo)</i>	10	3.77	0.95	0.43
Species of gallery forests		17	6.42	29.45	13.48
	<i>Pterygotetalia (Ptery)</i>	17	6.42	29.45	13.48
Cultural and postcultural vegetations		19	7.17	1.25	0.57
	<i>Soncho-Bidentetea pilosi (Sonic)</i>	19	7.17	1.25	0.57
Vegetations of the non steppic savannas in the region		16	6.04	1.55	0.71
	<i>Hyparrhenietea (Hyppar)</i>	16	6.04	1.55	0.71
Unsettled surrounding vegetations		2	0.75	0.1	0.05
	<i>Ruderali-Manihotetea (Rud)</i>	2	0.75	0.1	0.05
Vegetations of the large valleys funds and the lake sides		1	0.38	0.05	0.02
	<i>Phragmitetea (Phrag)</i>	1	0.38	0.05	0.02
Total		265	100	218.45	100

IV.7. Study of the two forests in succession : gallery forest (in the sense of the world) of *Syncephalum cerasiferum*, and forest confer (CF) semperevirent of *Tricalysia macrophylla*

## Definition

The elements belonging to a type of forests maybe more or less sempervirente (forest CF sempervirente), developed during one more humid previous period, can be included currently in regrew forest that evolve toward the semi - deciduous forest (Koechlin and Trochain, 1955). The sempervirent forest is represented by the association of *Tricalysia macrophylla*; the semi-deciduous forest is represented by the association of *Synsepalum cerasiferum*. The topographic localization of the old elements on the flanks of valleys decorated to bring some arguments in favour of this thesis. The northern limit of the semi-deciduous forest of *Sterculiaceae* and *Ulmaceae* gives out long appendixes named "gallery foresry". There, the forest population establishes itself of a more or less continuous and extended manner, on the flanks of the valleys (Letouzey, 1968). The sacral Kouoghap submountaneous forest, is a representative of the gallery forests of the northern peripheral domain of the guineo-Congolese region.

IV.7.1. Gallery forest of *Syncepalum cerasiferum* [Syn. *Afrosersalisia cerasifera* (Welw.) Aubr.]  
The association of *Syncepalum ceraciferum* is a vegetation of high cluster, in succession in the sacral forest of the Batoufam village, situated on the south side of the valley between the Famla and Fieutchip quarters, in a fragment of gallery forest. It is surrounded by farming lands and *Terminalia glaucescens*, signifying the relics of the savannahs.

### Phytosociologic study

The floristic composition of the grouping forming the association to *Syncepalum cerasiforum* is given by 5 samplings (Table 1). It is enough provided with plant species. The total number of species varies between 53 and 69 with an average of 62 species by sampling. The sampling type is represented by R1 and the characteristic of the association is *Syncepalum cerasiferum*.

### Order of *Pterygotetalia*

From a phisonomic view point, Kouoghap is a forest grouping of high cluster that occupies the south flank of the valley drained by the Lionlong river. The formation benefits from a favorable macroclimate due to the constriction of the sides of this valley. these particular mesologic

conditions makes him a vallicole forest. Lebrun and Gilbert (1954) defined the order of the *Pterygotetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954, constituted of the species of dense semi - deciduous forest in more watered environment, that compensates the pluviometric deficit by their proximity of the rivers. In several northern valleys in Cameroon, Letouzey (1968) raised the presence of characteristic species of the order : *Pterygota bequaerti* and *Pterygota macrocarpa*. We affiliate the association of *Syncephalum cerasifolium* to this phytosociologic order. A characteristic of the alliance of the *Khayo-Pterygotion* Schmitz 1950 (*Khaya grandifolia*), also reported (Letouzey 1968), permit to affiliate the association of *Syncephalum cerasiferum* to this syntaxon. One raises in the association many elements descended of the various types of Guinean forests: caducifolious species as *Canarium schweinfurthii*, *Funtumia elastica*; secondary forest species as *Albizia adianthifolia*, *Pychnanthus angolensis*, *Hylocereus gabunense*; species of the sempervirent forests as *Strombosia grandifolia*, *Guarea thompsonii*. These species become quickly familiar to this northern zone.


***Syncephalum cerasiferum.*** (Sapotaceae). Mésophanérophyte, sarcochore. Species of the mountains and the forestry galleries of the northern peripheral domain of the guineo-congolian region, present from the of Fouta-Djalon mountanes in Guinea to the Republic Centrafrican, then in the south hemisphere in the equatorial Guinea and Angola. It is signalled in the highlands of the West Cameroon and in the Adamaoua. It is a big tree, reaching 30m of height and 70cm of diameter. Stembark section pinkish exuding a white latex, gluing. The stem is cylindrical and right, without buttress to the basis. The deciduous leaves, are in terminal tufts (Aubréville, 1964). Its coverage reaches 40.9% in the dition.

### **Ecological spectra of the species of the gallery forest of *Syncephalum cerasiferum***


The raw spectrum of the biological types of species of the forest gallery (strict sense) shows the predominance of the mesophanerophytes and microphanerophytes. The phanerophytes erected (trees and bushes) represent 61.9% of the total of the species, while the phanerophytic lianas reach 25.17% (Figure 7A).

The raw spectrum of the dissemination types of the diaspores of the species of the sempervirent forest (Figure 6B) shows the predominance of the sarcochories that represents 62.59% of the total of the species. The barochorries and the pogonochorries represent 4.76% respectively.


The raw spectrum of the ecosociological groups of the species, shows the predominance of the species of the mesophiles forests (Pip) and of the secondary forests (Mus) (Figure 6C). When one considers only the characteristic species of the gallery forest (strict sense), the species of the order of the *Pterygotetelia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 come in 5<sup>th</sup> position for the raw specter (9.52%) of the ecosociological types.



7A. Biological types



7B. Dissemination types of diaspores



### 7C. Ecosociological groups

Figure 7. Ecosociological spectra of the species of the Gallery forest of *Syncarpalum cerasiferum* (in the sens of the world). A = Biological spectrum; B = Dissemination Types of the diaspores; C = Ecosociological groups. Legend of the abbreviations : see under the Table 1.

#### V.7.2. Ombrophile forest of *Tricalysia macrophylla*

The grouping of *Tricalysia macrophylla* is an arborecent forestry vegetation, submountaneous, present in the Kouoghap sacral forest and also in the Guinean zone, in particular in Côte d'Ivoire, Togo, Nigeria and Gabon (Hallé, 1966). The floristic composition is given by 4 samplings (R3, R4, R5, R8) (Table 1). It is enough provided with plant species (145 species). The total number of species varies between 68 and 97 with an average of 97 species by sampling. The sampling type is represented by R3 and the characteristic of the association is *Tricalysia macrophylla*.

#### Floristic composition

*Tricalysia Macrophylla* K. Schum. Syn. *Tricalysia Pluriovulata* K. Schum., (Rubiaceae). The species reaches 10 to 15m of height and 0.30m of diameter in the dition. Fluted irregular trunk and contreforts. Leafstalk pubescent in the juvenile stage. The limb is olive in colour, the barefaced grey, with an elliptic to oblong, form measuring 14-23 x 4-8cm. Inflorescences occurs in small clusters of cymes , 10 floras or more, branched out from the the base. Globular fruits, of 5 mm of diameter, barefaced. Fruit with thin pericarp. Until about thirty angular brown blackish seeds of 3.5mm (Hallé, 1966). This species of forest reaches the biggest measurements

met in the genus. The synthetic features in this association are : presence (100%) and relative coverage (37.75%).

Association- mate species : *Draceana deisteliana* and *Psychotria vogelianiana*.

### **Ecological spectra of the species of the gallery forest of *Syncepalum cerasiferum***

The raw spectrum of the biological types of the species of the forests shows the predominance of the mesophanerophytes : 26.89% of the species (Figure 7A). The nanophanerophytes only represents 8.96% of the species and the phanerophytic lianas 17.93% of species. Two hemicryptophytes are signalled.

The spectrum of the dissemination types of the diaspores of the sempervirent forest (Figure 7B) shows the predomination of the sarcochorries that represents 53.10% of the species. The barochorries and the pogonochorries are least represented, respectively with 0.68% of species.

The raw spectrum of the ecosociological groups of species shows the predominance of the species of the low and middle altitude forests (Figure 7C). When one considers the two orders solely (order of the *Pterygotetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 and of order of the *Garcinietales* Noumi 1998) of which come out the characteristic species of the two associations described, their raw specters make 22.06% of species. They don't dominate the raw spectrum of the ecosociological group's of the Kouoghap sylve.






Tableau 2 : biological types Spectra



### 8B. Dissemination types of diaspores



### 8C. Ecosociological groups

Figure 8. Ecosociological spectra of the species of the forest cf sempervirente of *Tricalysia macrophylla*. A = Biological spectrum; B = Dissemination types of the diaspores; C = Ecosociological groups. Legend of the abbreviations : see under the Table 1.

## V. DISCUSSION

The analysis of the phytogeographical distribution of the specific whole of this forest, shows the dominance of the species belonging to the Guineo-Congolese flora. The species of the sub-element omni-Guineo-Congolese are better represented. The most widespread diaspore types in the inventory is the Sarcochory of most plants of the arborescent stratum and the undergrowth (Evrard, 1968). The biological types exam revealed the predominance of the microphanerophytes. The mesophanerophytes (19.25%) make of Kouoghap a forest grouping of high cluster, characteristic of the vallicole forests (Lebrun and Gilbert, 1954). This forest of valley is an edaphic grouping and also physiographic grouping. It benefits from a favorable microclimate due to the constriction of the sides of the valley of the Lionlong river. The conditions are therefore auspicious to the good growth of the trees, reaching 30m of height, with the cylindrical and straight stems ; from where the height raised of the dome. The samplings

shows some particular species of *Piptadenistro-Celtidetalia* (*Albizia adianthifolia*, *Albizia zygia*, *Lovoa trichilioides*, *Hylodendron gabunense*, *Funtumia elastica*), of the always green forest (*Amphimas pterocarpoides*, *Dacryodes macrophylla*, *Erythrophleum ivorensis*) which are dominating species, descended of the Guinian various forestry types.

They become quickly familiar in the northern zone, can be recovered in many places, especially in the gallerie forest. This floristic differentiation of the northern fringe of the semi - deciduous forest has already been described in Ghana, in coast of Ivory in Nigeria (Chipp, 1927 ; Taylor, 1960, Mangenot, 1955 ; Aubréville, 1959).

It shows also some particular species in this northern zone of the semi - deciduous forest for the arborescent stratum, non met more at the South or at least with a least extension. This closeness between some species which appear bound to the particular conditions offered by the valley offered a core of individualisable grouping that we erected in plant association : the *Synsepaletum cerasiferum* ass. nov.

The main dynamic role is played within the plantation formation by species of the ombrophile and semperfirme forest that constitutes the forest "postclimax", relative to the succession, described in this work. Some species are found indifferently in the low and middle altitude vegetations, submountaneous and mountaneous vegetations. These species are part of the sylvan woody vegetation in African tropical and subtropical regions, of the class of the *Strombosio-Parinarietea*. The characteristic species of that class in the Kouoghap forest: *Ficus thonningii*, *Strombosia pustulata*, *Dracaena fragrans*, *Strombosia grandifolia*, *Sapium ellipticum* permit us to affiliate the Kouoghap sacral forest to that phytosociological class. The species as *Raphia farinifera*, *Xylopia rubescens*, *Cola verticillata*, *Ficus jansii*, *Draceana arborea*, *Gaertnea paniculata* are characteristic of the order of the *Garcinietalia* Noumi 1998. *Garcinia polyantha* and *Garcinia smeathmannii* are the features that permit to affiliate the forest cf semperfirme of Kouoghap to the alliance of the *Garcinion* Noumi 1998.

*Tricalysia macrophylla* is mentioned like characteristic of the association *Tricalysietum macrophyllae* of the *Garcinion*. The information collected on the land permit to spread the area of this vegetation type and to raise it to the rank of association. If one refers to the area of extension of *Tricalysia macrophylla* (Hallé, 1966), one can think that this association is afrotropical. In Cameroon, it is signalled in the locality of Eséka, (Zenker, 1898), to the South of the Nyong stream and to 200m of altitude of a primary forest of Songbong (Leeuwenberg,

1965). it has also been harvested in the locality of Kribi and Eséka (Leeuwenberg, 1965) therefore the samples are available to the National herbarium of Cameroon (YA). In Africa, the species is signalled in Côte d'Ivoire, Togo, Nigéria (Hallé, 1966).

The Kouoghap gallery forest, surrounded with the concession of the Batoufam population, contains some sudano-zambezian elements (13.58% of the total of the species). This islet is a relic with floristic composition shaped by the cf sempervirent relief forest of *Tricalysia macrophylla*. The elements of the latter are included currently in this regenerated forest : 16.6% of the total species belongs to the secondary forests and reaches a relative coverage of 26.80% ) ; that evolves toward the semi-déciduous forest: 16.6% of the total of the species reaching a relative coverage of 8.86%), herself, shaped by the relief in gallery forest : 6.42% of the total of the species reaching a relative coverage of 13.48%). The studied formation is therefore a succession forest cf sempervirente - forest gallery. It is therefore a "postclimax ' forester'" of the gallery forest of the northern peripheral domain of the Guineo-Congolian region (Koechlin and Trochain, 1955 ; Letouzey, 1968). The topographic localization of the old elements on the flank of the Kouoghap valley is an illustration of such a sequence.

In conclusion. The poll of the flora of the Kouoghap sacred forest permitted to have visions of the succession forest cf sempervirente - forest gallery, that evolved to give the present stage. The fundamental floristic core of the forest is constituted of the ombrophile species, before the stages of succession. This grouping has been described in an association; the *Tricalysietum macrophyllae* ass. nov. The phenomena of the succession gave the typical grouping of the gallery forest described also in an association : the *Synsepaletum cerasiferum* ass. nov. The two associations are overlapped thus in a succession that forms the nowadays Kouoghap sacral forest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Biodiversity Conservation Organization 420 Riddles Drive. Strong Collins. CO. 80521 (970) 482-6748. [www.ideawild.org](http://www.ideawild.org) Tax ID: 83-0299770, that offered some facilities. Thanks too for Mr. Nchia Ntam Lawrence Fya for editing.

## REFERENCES

- Aubréville A. 1959. La flore forestière de la Côte-d'Ivoire (2<sup>ème</sup> édition). Publ. CTFT, Nogent. 3 vol : 370 p + 342 p + 334 p.
- Aubreville A. (éd.) & coll. Multiples. 1961-1999. Flore du Gabon. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Laboratoire de Phanérogamie, Paris, vol 1 (1961) à 35 (1999).
- Aubreville A. (éd.) & coll. Multiples. 1963-1998. Flore du Cameroun. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Laboratoire de Phanérogamie, Paris, vol 1 (1963) à 34 (1998).
- Aubréville A. 1964. Sapotaceae. Flore du Cameroun 2. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Laboratoire de Phanérogamie, Paris. 143 p.
- Boquet G, Aeschimann D. 1981. Une modification de la classification des formes biologiques d'Ellemburg & Mueller-Dombois. *Candollea* 36 : 271-278.
- Braun-Blanquet J. 1932. *Plant sociology*. The study of plant communities. Ed McGraw Hill, New York, London. 439 p.
- Chipp TF. 1927. The Gold Coast forest. A study in synecology. Oxford For Mem 7, Oxford. 94 p.
- Danserau P, Lems K. 1957. The grading of dispersal types in plant communities and their Ecological significance. *Contrib Inst Bot Univ Montréal* 71: 52 p.
- Ducret G. 1990. Extra-wall activity report on Bafoussam. Academic center of Dschang, document 2: 1-15.
- Ellemburg H, Mueller-Dombois D. 1967. A key to Raunkiaer life-forms with revised subdivisions. *Ber Geobot Inst Stifing Rübel Zürich* 37: 56-73.
- Evrard C. 1968. Recherches écologiques sur le peuplement forestier des sols hydromorphes de la Cuvette centrale congolaise. Série scientifique **110** INEAC, Bruxelles, 295 p.
- Fosso J. 1999. Volcanology, Petrography and Geochemistry of one stratovolcano of the high plateaus of the West Cameroon. Doctorate 3rd cycle thesis, University of Yaoundé I. 196 p.
- Hallé N. 1966. Rubiacées (1<sup>ère</sup> partie). Vol 12. Firmin DIDOT, Paris.

Hill M0. 1994. DECORANA and TWINSPAN, for ordination and classification of multivariate species data: a new edition, together with supporting programs, in FORTRAN 77. TABLEFIT programs (ed), Huntingdon England, 58 p.

Hoff M, Brisse H. 1983. Proposition d'un schéma synthétique des végétations secondaires intertropicales. Colloques Phytosociologiques 12 : 249-267.

Hoff M, Brisse H, Grandjouan G. 1983. La végétation rudérale et anthropique de la nouvelle Calédonie et des îles Loyauté (Pacifique Sud). Colloques Phytosociologiques 12 : 179-248.

Koechlin J, Trochain JL. 1955. Sur l'existence d'un postclimax forestier au Moyen Congo. CRAc Sc 241(1) : 329-331.

Kuété M, 1977. Etude géomorphologique du massif de Yaoundé. Thèse 3<sup>ème</sup> Cycle, Bordeaux. 279 p.

Lebrun JP, Gilbert G. 1954. Une classification écologique des forêts du Congo. Publ INEAC, 89 p.

Lebrun JPS, Stork AL. (1191, 1992, 1995, 1997). Induction of the flowering plants of tropical Africa, 4 volumes. Editions of the Conservatories and Garden Botanies of the city of Geneva, Switzerland.

Leeuwenberg A. 1965. Specimens recoltés dans la localité de d'Eséka, au Sud du fleuve Nyong et à 200 m d'altitude, dans forêt primaire de Songbong. Herbier National du Cameroun. #7351/1965-12-15

Letouzey R. 1985. Notice de la carte phytogéographique du Cameroun au 1:500 000. 2. Région afromontagnarde et étage submontagnard. Institut de la Carte Internationale de la Végétation-IRA (Herbier National), Yaoundé, Cameroun. 61 p.

Letouzey R. 1968. Etude phytogéographique du Cameroun. Encycl Biol, Edit P Lechevalier, Paris, 511 p.

Madiapevo SN. 2008. Study of the diversity and the structure of the woody plant of the submountain forest of the Kala mount (1156m), region of Yaoundé. Memory of DEA, University of Yaoundé I, 71 p.

Mangenot G. 1955. Etude sur les forêts des plaines et plateaux de la Côte-d'Ivoire. étude éburn 4: 5-81.

Njiki WD. 1984. Etude géologique de la région de Bangou. Mém Maîtrise Fac Sc, Univ Yaoundé, 59 p.

Normand MD. 1965. Identification of the trees and the woods of the main forest species in the Centrafrican Republic. CTFT Nogent-sur-Marne, 78 p.

Noumi E. 1998. La « forêt de transition » à *Garcinia* spp. des collines Nkolobot (Région de Yaoundé). Belg Journ Bot 130(2): 198-220.

Noumi E, Amougou A. 2003. Fourré crassulescent submontagnard à *Euphorbia kamerunica* de l'inselberg Minloua (Yaoundé, Cameroun). J Cam Acad Sc 3(3): 185-201.

Noumi E. 2012. Ligneous flora diversity of a submountain forest of West Cameroon: The Kouoghap sacral forest of the village Batoufam. Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment 4(1): 8-28.

Noumi E. 2013. Floristic Inventory of Woody Species in the Manengouba Mountain Forest, Cameroon. J Biol and Life Scien 4 (2): 282-309.

Noumi E. 2015. Floristic Structure and Diversity of a Tropical Sub-Mountaneous Evergreen Forest, In the Mbam Minkom Massif (Western Yaoundé). J Biol and Life Scien 6(1): 149-193.

Nzenti JP, Ngako V, Kambou R, Penaye J, Bassahak J, Njem OU. 1992. Structures régionales de la chaîne panafricaine du Nord Cameroun. C R Ac Sc Paris 315(2) : 209-215.

Raunkiaer C. 1934. The life-forms of plants. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 632 p.

Schmitz A. 1963. Aperçu sur les groupements végétaux du Katanga. Bull Soc Roy Bot Belg 96 : 233-447.

Schmitz A. 1988. Révision des groupements végétaux décrits du Zaïre, du Rwanda et du Burundi. Belgique, Publ C D A T, Mus Roy Afr Centr Tervuren, 315 p.

Schmitz A. 1950. Principaux types de végétation forestière dans le Haut-Katanga. C R Congr Scient, Elisabethville. Publ C S K 4 (2) : 276-304.

Schnell R. 1970. Introduction to the phytogeography of the tropical countries: the general problems 1. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 499 p.

Schnell R. 1971. Introduction à la phytogéographie des pays tropicaux. Vol. II : les milieux, les groupements végétaux. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 951 p.

Shannon CE, Weaver W. 1949. The mathematical theory of communication. Urbana Univ Press Illinois : 117-127.

Suchel JB. 1972. La répartition des pluies et les régimes pluviométriques au Cameroun. Contribution à l'étude des climats de l'Afrique tropicale. Trav et Doc de Géographie tropicale. 5 Univ Féd Cam, Bordeaux, France. Ed. C E G T : 287 p.

Tagne TGA. 2007. Floristic and structural study of the ligneous flora of the submountain forest of the Messa hill (Yaoundé). Memory of DEA thesis, Univ of Yaoundé I, 66 p.

Taylor CJ. 1960. Syncology and silviculture in Ghana, Accra and London. 406 P.

Tchoua TJM. 2013. Phytogeographical study of the Mount Kupe submountain storey (Mouno, Cameroon). Memory of Master thesis, University of Yaoundé 1, 50 p.

Vivien J, Faure JJ. 1985. Arbres des forêts denses d'Afrique Centrale. ACCT Paris, 565 p.

Walter H, Lieth H. 1964. Klimadiagram – Weltatlas. G. Fischer, Jena.

White F. 1983. The vegetation of Africa. A descriptive memory to accompany the UNESCO/AETFAT/UNSO vegetation map of Africa. Natural Resources Research 20, UNESCO, Paris, 356 p.

Zenker GA, 1898. Spécimens récoltés dans la localité de Bipendi. Herbier National du Cameroun. #1569/1898-10-1.

Table 1. Synthesis of the phytosociologic samplings of the Kouoghap gallery forest (in the broad sense) of Cameroon, elaborate from the 10 sampling types of the succession forest CF sempervirent- gallery forest (in the sens of the world)

Families	BT	PT		DD T	EG	species	Str at	R 1	R 2	R 3	R 4	R 5	R 6	R 7	R 8	R 9	R 10	P	F
				Lebrun et Gilbert 1954															
<i>Ficalhoeto-Podocarpetalia</i>																			
Leguminosae	Mspf	G-Sz		Baro	Fical	<i>Albizia gummifera</i> (J. F. Gmel) C. A. Sm.var. <i>gummifera</i>	A	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	8	0	
Meliaceae	Mspf	G		Sarc o	Fical	<i>Carapa grandiflora</i> Sprague	A	2	1	1		2	1	2	+	+	8	0	
Rubiaceae	Nnph	Ind		Sarc o	Fical	<i>Cephaelis sp.</i>	a	+			+				+	+	5	0	
Myrsinaceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Fical	<i>Ardisia kivuensis</i> Taton	1									+	1	0	
Melianthacea e	Mspf	Cg		Ball o	Fical	<i>Bersama abyssinica</i> Fres.	a			+	+				+	3	0		
Leguminosae	PhL	Cg		Ball o	Fical	<i>Millettia pilosa</i> Hutch. & Daziel	1								+	1	0		
Rosaceae	Mspf	Pan		Sarc o	Fical	<i>Prunus africana</i> (Hook.f.) Kalkman	A	+								1	0		
Araliaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc	Fical	<i>Schefflera abyssinica</i> (Hochst. Ex A.	1	+							+	2	2		

				o	al	Rich.) Harms											0	
Araliaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc o	Fic al	<i>Schefflera barteri</i> (Seem.) Harms	l								+	1	1	
Asteraceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Fic al	<i>Vernonia blumeiodes</i> Hook. f.	a								+	1	1	
<i>Garcinietalia</i>	Noumi 1998																	
Orchidaceae	Ch-E	G		Sclé ro	Gar	<i>Ancystrorhynchus capitalus</i> (Lindl.) Summerh,	h		+			+				2	2	
Acanthaceae	Ch - erec	G		Sclé ro	Gar	<i>Brillantaisia bauchiensis</i> Hutch. & Dalz.	h								+	1	1	
Acanthaceae	Ch- erec	At		Sclé ro	Gar	<i>Brillantaisia vogeliana</i> (Nees) Benth,	h				+					1	1	
Rutaceae	Mcph	Am		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Clausena anisata</i> (Wills) Hook.f.ex Benth.	sa						+	+	2	2		
Sterculiaceae	Mspf	Aam		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Cola verticillata</i> (Thonn) Stapf ex A. Chev.	a	+	+				+		3	3		
Asteraceae	T-erec	G		Pog o	Gar	<i>Crassocephalum mannii</i> (Hook. F.) L. Redh.	h								+	1	1	
Asteraceae	T-erec	G		Pog o	Gar	<i>Crassocephalum rubens</i> (Juss. ex. Jacq.) S. Moore	h								+	1	1	
Rubiaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc	Gar	<i>Tricalysia macrophylla</i> K. Schum.	A	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	1	1

				o													0	0
				Sarc													0	0
Clusiaceae	Mcph	At		o	Gar		<i>Garcinia smeathmannii</i> Oliv.	a	+		1	1	3	2	+	2	7	7
Dracaenaceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc			<i>Draceana arborea</i> (Willd.) Link.	A	2	2	+	+	+	+	+		7	7
Moraceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc			<i>Ficus jansii</i> Boutique	a			+		+	+			3	3
Rubiaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc			<i>Gaertnea paniculata</i> Benth.	a			+				+	+	4	0
Clusiaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc			<i>Garcinia polyantha</i> Oliv.	A			+		+				2	0
Rosaceae	PhL	G-Sz		o	Gar		<i>Rubus pinnatus</i> Willd.	1			+	+	+	+	+		5	0
Apiaceae	T-erec	Amo		Pté			<i>Heteromorpha abyssinica</i> (R, Br,) Hochst.	h						+	+	2	0	
Acanthaceae	Ch-erec	At		Ball			<i>Hypoetes aristata</i> (Vahl) Saland.ex. Roem. & Schul.	h							+	1	1	
Crassulaceae	Ch-erec	Pan		Sclé			<i>Kalanchoe crenata</i> (And.) Haw.	h							+	1	0	
Malvaceae	Nnph	G-Sz		Ball	Gar		<i>Kosteletzkyia grantii</i> (Mast.) Garcke	sa							+	1	1	

				o														0
Leguminosae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Kotschya speciosa</i> (Hutch.) Hepper	sa									+	1	1
Asteraceae	T-erec	G		Pog o	Gar	<i>Microglassa angolensis</i> Oliv. & Hiern	h									+	1	0
Boraginaceae	T-erec	Amo		Des mo	Gar	<i>Myosotis scorpioides</i> L.	h									+	1	1
Opiliaceae	T-erec	G-Sz		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Opilia celtidifolia</i> (Guill. & Perr.) Engl.ex Walp.	sa									+	1	0
Pittosporacea e	Mcph	G-Sz		Ball o	Gar	<i>Pittosporum mannii</i> Hook.f.	sa			+					+	+	3	0
Pteridaceae	G-rhiz	G		Sclé ro	Gar	<i>Pteris togoensis</i> Hier.	h			+					+	3	0	
Arecaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Raphia farinifera</i> (Gaertn.) Hyl.	a		+	+							2	0
Ulmaceae	Mcph	At		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Trema orientalis</i> (L.) Blume	a									+	1	0
Annonaceae	Mcph	Cg		Ball o	Gar	<i>Xylopia parviflora</i> (A. Rich.) Benth.	a			1						+	2	0
Annonaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Gar	<i>Xylopia rubescens</i> Oliv.	A			+							2	0

<i>Gilbertiodendretalia dewevrei</i>			Lebrun et Gilbert 1954															
Lauraceae	Mcph	Ca		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Beilschmiedia grandifolia</i> Rob.& Wilcz	a						+	+	+	3	3 0	
Simaroubacea e	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Brucea guineensis</i> G.Don	sa								+	1	1 0	
Vitaceae	PhL	At		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Cissus petiolata</i> Hook. f.	l		+	+	+			+	+	5	5 0	
Ranunculacea e	PhL	At		Pog o	Gil b	<i>Clematis grandiflora</i> DC.	l						+			2	2 0	
Cucurbitacea e	PhL	Aam		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Cyclanthera brachystachya</i> (Ser.) Cogn.	l		+	+					+	3	3 0	
Sapindacea e	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Deinbollia maxima</i> Gilg ex Radlk,	a								+	1	1 0	
Euphorbiacea e	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Drypetes molunduana</i> Pax & K. Hoffm.	a						+			1	1 0	
Arecacea e	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Eremospatha wendlandiana</i> Dammer ex Becc.	l		+							1	1 0	
Tiliacea e	Nnph	Am		Bar o	Gil b	<i>Glyphaea brevis</i> (Spreng.) Monachino	sa								+	2	2 0	
Tiliacea e	Mspf	G		Sarc	Gil	<i>Grewia coriacea</i> Mast.	A			+			+			2	2 2	

				o	b														0
Meliaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Guarea glomerulata</i> Harms	A	+	+	+									3
Orchidaceae	G-bulb	G		Sclé ro	Gil b	<i>Habenaria gabonensis</i> Rchb.f.	h		+										2
Cucurbitaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Kedrostis hirtella</i> (Naud.) Cogn.	l												1
Sterculiaceae	Nnph	At		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Octolepis casearia</i> Oliv.	a	+											1
Leguminosae	Mspf	Cg		Bar o	Gil b	<i>Pachyelasma tessmannii</i> (Harms) Harms	a			+	+								3
Aristolochiac eae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Pararistolochia mannii</i> Hook.f.	l		+										3
Passifloraceae	PhL	Aam		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Passiflora edulis</i> Sims	l												1
Apocynaceae	PhL	At		Pog o	Gil b	<i>Pergularia daemia</i> (Forsk.) Chiov.	h												1
Loranthaceae	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Phragmanthera capitata</i> (Spreng.) S. Balle	ep												1
Loranthaceae	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Phragmanthera incana</i> (Schum.) Balle	ep		+	+									4
																			0

Loranthaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Phragmanthera kamerunensis</i> (Engl.) Balle	ep			+ +								2 0
Solanaceae	T-erec	G		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Physalis peruviana</i> L.	h										+	1 0
Rubiaceae	Nnph	Ind		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Psychotria</i> sp.	sa	+	+		+	+	+	+				6 0
Rubiaceae	Nnph	Pan		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Psychotria vogeliana</i> Benth.	sa	2	3	+		+	3	2	+			7 0
Icacinaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Raphiostylis beninensis</i> (Hook.f.) Planch.ex. Benth.	1			+	+	+			+	1	+	6 0
Acanthaceae	PhL	Ind		Pog o	Gil b	<i>Rhinacanthus</i> sp.	1	+	1				+	+		+	+	6 0
Smilacaceae	PhL	At		Ptér o	Gil b	<i>Smilax kraussiana</i> Meissn.	1	1	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	8 0
Menispermaceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Stephania dinklagei</i> (Engl.) Diels	1	+				+	+	+	+	+		6 0
Acanthaceae	Nnph	Cg		Ball o	Gil b	<i>Thomandersia laurifolia</i> (T. Anders.ex. Benth.) Baill	sa		+		+	+		+				4 0
Meliaceae	Mcph	Aam		Sarc o	Gil b	<i>Trichilia tessmannii</i> Harms	a							+				1 0
Meliaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc	Gil	<i>Turraeanthus africanus</i> (Welw.ex)	a					+	+		1	+	4 4	

				o	b	DC.) Pellegr.											0
Annonaceae	Mspf	G		Ball o	Gil b	<i>Xylopia staudtii</i> Engl. & Diels	a		+	+		+					3 0
<i>Hyparrhenietea</i>	Schmitz 1963																
Sapindaceae	PhL	Aam		Sarc o	Hy par	<i>Cardiospermum halicacabum</i> L.	l								+	1 0	
Leguminosae	Mcph	Aam		Pté r o	Hy par	<i>Entada africana</i> Guill. & Perr.	a		+					+	2 0		
Poaceae	H- caesp	At		Sclé ro	Hy par	<i>Hyparrhenia diplandra</i> (Hack.) Stapf	h							+	1 0		
Hernandiacea e	PhL	At		Pté r o	Hy par	<i>Illigeria pentaphylla</i> Welw.	l			+			+		2 0		
Hernandiacea e	PhL	At		Pté r o	Hy par	<i>Illigeria vespertilio</i> (Benth.) Baker f.	l		+			+	+	3 0			
Verbenaceae	Nnph	At		Pté r o	Hy par	<i>Lippia adoensis</i> (Hochst. ex Walp.)	sa							+	1 0		
Asteraceae	T-erec	At		Pog o	Hy par	<i>Microglossa pyrifolia</i> (Lam.) O. Ktze	h							+	1 0		
Rubiaceae	T-erec	G		Sclé ro	Hy par	<i>Mitracapus scaber</i> Zucc.	h							+	1 0		

Rubiaceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Hy par	<i>Mussaenda arcuata</i> Lam. ex Poir.	1												2 0	
Davalliaceae	G-rhiz	At		Sclé ro	Hy par	<i>Nephrolepis undulata</i> (Afzel. ex Sw.) J.Sm. var. undulata	h												1 0	
Poaceae	H- caesp	Pan		Pog o	Hy par	<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> Schumach,	h												2 0	
Leguminosae	Nnph	At		Ball o	Hy par	<i>Pseudarthria hookerri</i> Wighter & Arn. var. hookeri	h												1 0	
Poaceae	H- prost	Cg		Pog o	Hy par	<i>Setaria megaphylla</i> (Steud.) T. Durand & Schinz	h	+	+	+	+								3 0	
Fabaceae	Nnph	G		Ball o	Hy par	<i>Tephrosia vogellii</i> Hook.f.	sa												2 0	
Euphorbiacea e	PhL	G		Sarc o	Hy par	<i>Tragia senegalensis</i> Müll.Arg.	1												1 0	
Poaceae	H-rept	Pan		Sclé ro	Hy par	<i>Oplismenus burmanii</i> (Retz) P. Beauv	h	+	+	+	+	+							7 0	
<i>Musango-Terminalietea</i>			Lebrun et Gilbert 1954																	
Zingiberaceae	G-rhiz	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Aframomum daniellii</i> (Hook.f.) K. Schum.	h	+	+	+	+	+	2	+	+	1	+	2 0 0	1 0	

Bignoniaceae	Mspf	G-Sz		Ptér o	Mu s	<i>Markhamia tomentosa</i> ( Benth.) K. Schum.ex Engl.	a	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	+	1	0
Leguminosae	PhL	G		Ball o	Mu s	<i>Acacia pennata</i> Wild.	1	3	2	+	+		2	2	+	2	1	9	0
Moraceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Trilepisium madagascariense</i> DC.	A	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	0
Apocynaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Rauvolfia macrophylla</i> Stapf	a	+	+	+	+		+	1	+	+	+	9	0
Apocynaceae	Mspf	G-Sz		Pog o	Mu s	<i>Funtumia africana</i> (Benth.) Stapf	a	2	1	2	3	1	2		2	+		8	0
Leguminosae	PhL	G-Sz		Ptér o	Mu s	<i>Dalbergia hostilis</i> Benth.	1		+	+	+		+	+	1	+	7	0	
Vitaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ampelocissus bombycina</i> (Bak.) Planch.	1		+				+				+	3	0
Araceae	G-rhiz	Aam		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Anchomanes difformis</i> Engl.	h	+						+	+			3	0
Loganiaceae	Mspf	G-Sz		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Anthocleista schweinfurthii</i> Gilg.	A				+	+		+				3	0
Loganiaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc	Mu	<i>Anthocleista vogelii</i> Planch.	a			+								1	1

				<b>o</b>	<b>s</b>													<b>0</b>
Costaceae	G-rhiz	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Costus afer</i> Ker-Gawl	h			+								2 0
Costaceae	G-rhiz	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Costus lucanusianus</i> J. Br. & K. Schum.	h										1 0	
Fabaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Ball o	Mu s	<i>Dalbergia saxatilis</i> Hook.	l		+	+	+						4 0	
Dichapetalaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Dichapetalum angolense</i> Chodat	a										1 0	
Dioscoreacea e	G-rad	At		Ptér o	Mu s	<i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i> L.	l								+	+	2 0	
Dioscoreacea e	G-rad	Ind		Ptér o	Mu s	<i>Dioscorea sp.</i>	l	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	7 0	
Sterculiaceae	Mspf	G		Ptér o	Mu s	<i>Dombeya buettneri</i> K. Schum.	A		+			+	+	+	+	+	5 0	
Myrtaceae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Eugenia afzelii</i> Engl.	sa										1 0	
Moraceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ficus artocarpoïdes</i> Warb.	A		+	+		+		+			3 0	
Moraceae	Mspf	Pal		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ficus exasperata</i> Vahl	a		1	1	1	1	+	1			5 0	

Moraceae	Mcph	G-Sz		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ficus natalensis</i> Hochst	a			+			+		+		3	0
Moraceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ficus ottoniifolia</i> (Miq.) Miq.	1		+	+	+	+			+	+	6	0
Moraceae	Mspf	G-Sz		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ficus trichopoda</i> Bak.	a		+				+				2	0
Hypericaceae	Mcph	At		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Harungana madagascariensis</i> Lam.ex Poit.	a		+							+	2	0
Lamiaceae	T-erec	G-Sz		Sclé ro	Mu s	<i>Leucas maretinicensis</i> (Jacq.) Ait.f.	h									+	1	0
Acanthaceae	Nnph	G		Ball o	Mu s	<i>Acanthus montanus</i> (Nees) T. Anders	h			+	+						2	0
Moraceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Milicia excelsa</i> (Welx.) C. C. Berg.	A		+	+							2	0
Cucurbitaceae	ThL	At		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Momordica cissoides</i> Planch.ex. Benth.	1		+			+			+	+	4	0
Moringaceae	Mcph	Aas		Ball o	Mu s	<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	a									+	1	0
Euphorbiacea e	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Neoboutonia africana</i> Müll. Arg.	a	+									1	0
Leguminosae	Mcph	G		Ball	Mu	<i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i> Benth.	a						+		+	2	2	

				<b>o</b>	<b>s</b>													<b>0</b>
Lauraceae	Mcph	Aam		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Persea americana</i> Mill.	A											1 0
Myrtaceae	Nnph	Pan		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	a											1 0
Pteridaceae	G-rhiz	G		Sclé ro	Mu s	<i>Pteris barombensis</i> Hier.	h				+			+				2 0
Apocynaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Rauvolfia africana</i> Afzl.	sa			+	+							2 0
Apocynaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Rauvolfia vomitoria</i> Afz.	a		+	+	+				+			4 0
Solanaceae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Solanum aculeastrum</i> Dunal var. <i>albifolium</i>	a											1 0
Bignoniaceae	Mspf	At		Pté r o	Mu s	<i>Spathodea campanulata</i> P. Beauv.	A					+	+					2 0
Dilleniaceae	PhL	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Tetracera alnifolia</i> Willd. subsp. <i>alnifolia</i>	l		+		+	1	+					5 0
Dilleniaceae	Mcph	At		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Tetracera micrantha</i> (Hochst.) Baillon	l					+		+				2 0
Rhamnaceae	PhL	G		Sarc o	Mu s	<i>Ventilago africana</i> Exell	l											1 0

Asteraceae	Mcph	Am		Pog o	Mu s	<i>Vernonia conferta</i> Benth.	a	+	+				+	+	+	5	5 0
Asteraceae	Nnph	G		Pog o	Mu s	<i>Vernonia richardiana</i> (O. Ktze) P.	sa								+	1	1 0
<i>Mitragynetea</i>	Schmitz 1963																
Acanthaceae	Ch- erec	G		Sclé ro	Myt ra	<i>Brillantaisia debilis</i> Burkill	h								+	1	1 0
Acanthaceae	Ch- erec	At		Sclé ro	Myt ra	<i>Brillantaisia nitens</i> Lind.	h	+			+	+	+			4	4 0
Acanthaceae	Ch- erec-	G		Sclé ro	Myt ra	<i>Brillantaisia owariensis</i> P. Beauv	h			+	+				+	3	3 0
Ochnaceae	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Myt ra	<i>Campylospermum flavum</i> (Schumach. & Thonn.) Farron	a			+	+	+				3	3 0
Asparagaceae	G-bulb	Amo		Ball o	Myt ra	<i>Chlorophytum cf orcidastrum</i> Lindl.	h	+							+	2	2 0
Leguminosae	Mcph	G-Sz		Ball o	Myt ra	<i>Erythrina senegalensis</i> DC.	a					+		+		2	2 0
Moraceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Myt ra	<i>Ficus mucoso</i> Ficalho	A			+	+					2	2 0
Phyllanthacea e	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Myt ra	<i>Hymenocardia heudelotii</i> Müll. Arg.	a								+	1	1 0



				o	o	Engl.											0
Oleaceae	T-erec	G-Sz		Sarc o	Ole o	<i>Jasminum dichotomum</i> Vahl.	l		+						+	2	2
Poaceae	H-prot	G		Pog o	Ole o	<i>Rhynchelytrum repens</i> (Willd.) C.E. Bubbard	h							+	1	0	
Orchidaceae	H-E	G		Sclé ro	Ole o	<i>Tridactyle tridactylites</i> (Rolfe) Schltr.	ep		+	+					2	2	
Hypericaceae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Ole o	<i>Vismia rubescens</i> Oliv.	a		+					+	2	0	
Cucurbitaceae	T-L	Pan		Sarc o	Ole o	<i>Zehneria scabra</i> (L.f.) Sander	l				+			+	2	0	
<i>Phragmitetea</i>	Tüxen & ng	Preisi 2	194														
Cyperaceae	G-rhiz	Am		Sclé ro	Phr a	<i>Scleria racemosa</i> subsp. <i>Depressa</i> (CB. Cl) J. Raynal	h		+						1	1	
<i>Piptadeniastro-Celtidetalia</i>			Lebrun et Gilbert 1954														
Connaraceae	PhL	Ind		Ball o	Pip	<i>Agelaea sp.</i>	l	+						+	3	0	
Leguminosae	Mspf	Cg		Pté r o	Pip	<i>Amphimas ferrugineus</i> Pierre ex Pellegr.	A		+						1	0	

Lomariopsidae	G-rhiz	Am		Sclé ro	Pip	<i>Bolbitis acrostichoides</i> (Afz. ex Sw.) Ching	h	+	+	+						3 0
Celtidaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Celtis gomphophylla</i> Bak.	A			+		+	+	+	4 0	
Celtidaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Celtis integrifolia</i> Lam.	a							+	1 0	
Sapotaceae	Mspf	Ind		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Chrysophyllum</i> sp.	a	+							1 0	
Connaraceae	PhL	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Cnestis ferruginea</i> DC.	sa	+	+	+					3 0	
Connaraceae	PhL	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Cnestis urens</i> Gilg.	sa	+					+	2 0		
Sterculiaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Cola acuminata</i> (P. Beauv.) Schott. & Engl.	a						+	2 0		
Combretaceae	PhL	G		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Combretum dolichopetalum</i> Engl. & Diels	l		+	+				+	3 0	
Combretaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Combretum hispidum</i> Laws	l	+					+	1 3 0		
Combretaceae	PhL	Cg		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Combretum hypopilinum</i> Diels	l						+	1 0		
Combretaceae	PhL	At		Ptér	Pip	<i>Combretum paniculatum</i> Vent	l		2						1 1	

				o															0
Combretaceae	PhL	Ind		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Combretum</i> sp.	1						+ +					2 0	
Leguminosae	Mspf	At		Bar o	Pip	<i>Copaifera mildbraedii</i> Harms	A					+ +					3 0		
Vitaceae	Mcph	At		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Cyphostemma adenocaule</i> (Steud.) Descoing	1									+ 1	1 0		
Leguminosae	PhL	Cg		Bar o	Pip	<i>Entada gigas</i> (L.) Fawcett & Rendle	1	+		+ +	+ +						6 0		
Meliaceae	Mspf	Cg		Ball o	Pip	<i>Entandrophragma utile</i> (Dawe & Sprague) Sprague	A								+ +	2 0			
Samydaceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Homalium dolichophyllum</i> Gilg	a									+ 1	1 0		
Verbenaceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Vitex grandifolia</i> Gürke	a	1	+	1	+	1	+	2	1	2	1	0 0	
Apocynaceae	PhL	G-Sz		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Landolphia owarensis</i> P. Beauv.	1	+		+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	9	9 0		
Meliaceae	Mspf	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Trichilia rubescens</i> Oliv.	a			+ 2	2	1	1	2	+			7 0	
Ochnaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc	Pip	<i>Ochna afzelii</i> R. Br.ex Oliv.	a	+	+	+	+	+	+			+ +	7 7		

				o															0
Leguminosae	Mcph	At		Ball o	Pip	<i>Hymenostegia breteleri</i> Aubr.	A	1		+	+		1	+	+	+	7	7	
Lauraceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Hypodaphnis zenkeri</i> (Engl.) Stapf	a		+	+	+		+	+		+	6	0	
Asteraceae	Th(er ec	G		Pog o	Pip	<i>Lactuca capensis</i> Thunb.	h									+	1	0	
Sapindaceae	Mspf	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Lecaniodiscus cupanioides</i> Planch.ex Benth.	A			+					+	3	0		
Euphorbiacea e	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Mallotus oppositifolius</i> (Geisel) Müll. Arg.	a					1		1		2	0		
Bignoniaceae	Mcph	G		Pté r o	Pip	<i>Markhamia lutea</i> (Benth.) K. Schum.	a		1	+	+		+			4	0		
Annonaceae	Mcph	G-Sz		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Monodora myristica</i> Gaertn. Dunal	a			+	+	1		+	+	5	0		
Cecropiaceae	Mspf	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Myrianthus arboreus</i> P. Beauv.	a								+	1	0		
Commelinacea e	Ch- erec	Cg		Sclé ro	Pip	<i>Palisota ambigua</i> (P. Beauv.) C. B. Cl.	h	+	1				+	+	+	6	0		
Leguminosae	Mspf	Cg		Bar o	Pip	<i>Parkia bicolor</i> A. Chev.	a		+				+		+	3	0		

Piperaceae	Nnph	G-Sz		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Piper capense</i> L.	h				+	+	+	+	+	4	4	0
Piperaceae	PhL	Pan		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Piper umbellatum</i> L.	h					+			+	2	2	0
Leguminosae	Mspf	G		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Piptadeniastrum africanum</i> (HooK. f.) Brenan	A		+	+	+	+		+		5	5	0
Polypodiacea e	G- rhiz-E	At		Sclé ro	Pip	<i>Platycerium angolensis</i> Welw.ex. Hooker	ep			+						1	1	0
Leguminosae	Mcph	Cg		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Pterocarpus mildbraedii</i> Engl.	A				+		+			2	2	0
Leguminosae	Mspf	G		Ptér o	Pip	<i>Pterocarpus soyauxii</i> Taub.	A								+	1	1	0
Euphorbiacea e	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Sapium cornutum</i> var. <i>Cociaceum</i> <i>Pax</i>	l	+				+		+		3	3	0
Meliaceae	Mspf	G		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Sorindeia grandifolia</i> Engl.	sa					+	+	+		3	3	0
Anacardiacea e	Nnph	Ind		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Sorindeia</i> sp.	a								+	1	1	0
Rutaceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Pip	<i>Teclea afzelli</i> Engl.	a					+	+			2	2	0
<i>Polyscietalia fulvae</i> Lebrun et Gilbert																		



				o	y	TD. Penn. PRA											0	0
				Ball o	Pter y	<i>Lovoia trichiliooides</i> Harms	A		2	1	1	+	+	2	+	+	8	0
Meliaceae	Mspf	Cg		Pté o	Pter y	<i>Hylodendron gabunense</i> Taub.	A		1	+	+	+		1	+	+	7	0
Burseraceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Pter y	<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> Engl.	a	1			+	+	+	+	+	+	7	0
Commelinace ae	G- rhiz	Cg		Sclé ro	Pter y	<i>Palisota barteri</i> Hook.f.	h	+		+	+	+		+	+	+	7	0
Meliaceae	Mspf	At		Sarc o	Pter y	<i>Guarea thompsonii</i> Sprague & Hutch	A		2	1	+	+	+		+		6	0
Burseraceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Pter y	<i>Dacryodes macrophylla</i> (Oliv.) Lam.	A								+		1	0
Caesalpiniace ae	Mspf	G- Sz		Ball o	Pter y	<i>Erythrophleum ivorens</i> e A. Chev.	A				+			+			2	0
Apocynaceae	Mcph	At		Pog o	Pter y	<i>Funtumia elastica</i> (Preus) Stapf	A			+							1	0
Euphorbiacea e	Mcph	Cg		Bar o	Pter y	<i>Microdesmis puberula</i> Hook.f. ex Planch.	a							+			1	0
Myristicaceae	Mspf	Pan		Sarc	Pter	<i>Pychnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.)	A			+	+	+		+	+		5	5

				o	y	Warb.												0
Olacaceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Pter y	<i>Strombosia grandifolia</i> Hook.f. ex Benth.	A			+	1	+			+	+	5	5
Annonaceae	Mcph	G		Ball o	Pter y	<i>Xylopia aethiopica</i> (Dunal) A. Rich.	sa			+	+		+	1		4	4	
Commelinaceae	Ch- erec	G		Sarc o	pter y	<i>Palisota hirsuta</i> (Thun.) Schum ex Engl.	h	+		+	+	+				4	4	
<i>Ruderali-Manihotetea</i>		emendit Hoff et Brisse 198																
Euphorbiacea e	G-rad	Pan		Ball o	Rud e	<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz	sa									+	1	1
Malvaceae	Nnph	Pan		Ball o	Rud e	<i>Urena lobata</i> L.	sa									+	1	1
Soncho-	<i>Bidentetea</i>		pilosi Hoff, Brisse et Grandjouan (1983) 1985															
Asteraceae	T- erec	Pan		Des mo	Son c	<i>Ageratum conizoides</i> L.	h									+	1	1
Asteraceae	T- erec	G		Pog o	Son c	<i>Aspilia africana</i> (Pers.) C. D. Adams	h									+	1	1
Asteraceae	T- erec	Cg		Des mo	Son c	<i>Aspilia helianthoides</i> <i>subsp. Prieuriana</i> (DC.) DC.	h									+	1	1
Asteraceae	T-	Cg		Des	Son	<i>Aspilia spenceriana</i> Muschl.	h									+	1	1

	erec			mo	c													0
Leguminosae	Nnph	G-Sz		Ball o	Son c	<i>Cassia floribunda</i> Cav.		sa								+	1 0	1
Commelinace ae	H-rept	At		Sclé ro	Son c	<i>Commelina africana</i> L.		h								+	1 0	1
Leguminosae	T-erec	G-Sz		Ball o	Son c	<i>Crotalaria elonifolia</i>		h								+	1 0	1
Leguminosae	Nnph	G-Sz		Ball o	Son c	<i>Crotalaria pallida</i> Ait.		h								+	1 0	1
Leguminosae	Nnph	G-Sz		Ball o	Son c	<i>Crotaria hyssopifolia</i> Klotzsch.		h								+	1 0	1
Apiaceae	T-erec	Am o		Ptéro	Son c	<i>Heteromorpha arborescens</i> Cham. & Schlest Adam		h								+	1 0	1
Malvaceae	Nnph	G-Sz		Des mo	Son c	<i>Hibiscus congestiflorus</i> Hochr.		sa								+	1 0	1
Malvaceae	Nnph	Ind		Des mo	Son c	<i>Hibiscus</i> sp.		sa								+	1 0	1
Convolvulacea e	G-rad	G-Sz		Sclé ro	Son c	<i>Ipomoea involucrata</i> P. Beauv.		h								+	1 0	1
Sapindaceae	PhL	At		Ball o	Son c	<i>Paullinia pinnata</i> L.		l	+		+	+	+	+	+	6 0	6	



		Sz		ro	m														0
Euphorbiacea e	Mspf	G- Sz		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Bridelia micrantha</i> (Hochst) Baill.	a	+						+				2 2 0	
Meliaceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Carapa procera</i> DC.	a			+	+	+		+	+			5 5 0	
Verbenaceae	PhL	At		Ptér o	Stro m	<i>Clerodendrum paniculatum</i> Vent.	1	1			+				+			3 3 0	
Asteraceae	PhL	Cg		Ball o	Stro m	<i>Coreopsis parviflora</i> Jacq.	1									+		1 1 0	
Rubiaceae	Nnph	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Cremaspora triflora</i> (Thonn.) K. Schum.	1					+	+		+	+		4 4 0	
Araceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Culcasia obliquifolia</i> Engl.	h			+				+				2 2 0	
Araceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Culcasia tenuifolia</i> Engl,	h					+			+			2 2 0	
Burseraceae	Mspf	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Dacryodes igaganga</i> Aubr. & Pellegr.	A								2	+	2 2 0		
Dracaenaceae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Draceana deisteliana</i> Engl.	a	3	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	0 1 0 0	
Euphorbiacea e	Mspf	Pan		Bar	Stro	<i>Sapium ellipticum</i> (Hochst.) Pax	A			+		+	+	+		+	1	6 6	

e				o	m														0
Aspleniaceae	H-E	Cg		Sclé ro	Stro m	<i>Asplenium biafratum</i>	ep	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	6	6	
Moraceae	Mcph	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Ficus abscondita</i> C. C. Berg.	a					+	+	+	+	+	4	4	
Moraceae	Mcph	G		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Ficus thonningii</i> Blume	a			+	+		+				3	3	
Leeaceae	Nnph	G		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Leea guineensis</i> G. Don	sa						+	+	+	+	3	3	
Celastraceae	Mcph	G- Sz		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Maytenus senegalensis</i> (Lam.) Exell	a									+	1	1	
Bignoniaceae	Mspf	Cg		Pté r o	Stro m	<i>Stereospermum acuminatissimum</i> K. Schum	A	+	1	+			+				4	4	
Olacaceae	Mcph	Am		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Strombosia pustulata</i> Oliv.	a	+	+	+							3	3	
Loganiaceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Strychnos boonei</i> De Wild.	l			+		+	+	+			4	4	
Loganiaceae	PhL	Cg		Sarc o	Stro m	<i>Strychnos floribunda</i> Gilg.	l						+	+			2	2	
								6 0	5 3	9 7	8 0	7 6	6 0	6 9	6 8	8 5	15 7		

BIOLOGICAL TYPES (TB) : Msp = mésophanerophytes; Mcph = microhanerophytes; Nnph = nanophanerophytes; PhL = phanerophytic Liana; T-erec = Therophytes erected; TL = Therophytic Lianas; G-rhiz = Rhizome-geophytes; G rad = Root-budding geophytes (radicigemma = rad); G bulb = Bulbous geophytes; G rhiz E = rhizome-geophytes (hemi-epiphytes); Ch-erect = Chamephytes erected; Ch E = Chamephytes epiphytes; H caesp = Caespitose hemicryptophytes ; H E = Hemicryptophytes epiphytes; H rept = Reptant hemicryptophytes ; H-prost = Hemicryptophytes prostrated ;

TYPES OF DISSEMINATION OF THE DIASPORES (TD): Ballo = Ballochories (diaspores thrown out by the plant itself); Baro = Barochories (diaspores non fleshy, heavy) ; Pogo = pogonochories (diaspores with feathery or silky appendixes) ; Ptero ) Pterochories (diapores provided of wing-dispersal appendixes); Sarco = sarcochories (diaspores totally or partially fleshy) ; Sclero = sclerochories (non fleshy diaspores, relatively light).

ECOSOCIOLOGIC GROUPS : Fical = *Ficalhoeto-Podocarpetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 ; Gar = *Garcinietalia* Noumi 1998 ; Gilb = *Gilbertiodendretalia dewevrei* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 ; Hypar = *Hyparrhenietea* Schmitz 1963 ; Mus = *Musango-Terminalietea* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 ; Mytra = *Mitragynetea* Schmitz 1963 ; Oleo = *Oleo-Jasminetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 ; Pip = *Piptadeniastro-Celtidetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954; Polys = *Polyscietalia fulvae* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954; Ptery = *Pterygotetalia* Lebrun and Gilbert 1954 ; Rude = *Ruderali-Manihotetea* emendit Hoff and Brisse 1983 ; Phragmitetea Tüxen and Preising 1942 ; Sonc = *Soncho-Bidentetea pilosi* Hoff, Brisse and Grandjouan (1983) 1985 ; Strombosio-Parinarietea Lebrun and Gilbert 1954.

PHYTOGEOGRAPHIC TYPES (TP): Aam = Afro-Malagasy; Am = Afro-America; Amo = Afromountaneous; At = tropical Africa; Ca = Endemic Cameroonian; Cg = Centro-Guineo-Congolian; G – Omni or subomni-Guineo-Congolian; G-Sz = Guineo-Sudano-Zambezian; Pal = Paleotropical; Pant = Pantropical; Pant = Pantropical