

THE DISTRIBUTION OF STOCK RETURNS: TEST OF STABILITY

Dr. Sandeep Bansal,

Associate Professor, Indira Gandhi National College, Ladwa.

ABSTRACT

Over the period of four decades, the stability of return distributions has been extensively tested for the developed capital markets like U.S.A, Australia and Europe. However, there has been a very little testing of this assumption in a developing capital market like India. The main objective of the present paper is to test the stability of return distribution on Indian stocks. In the present study, the tests of stability of return on 50 companies stocks from specified and unspecified group, listed on Bombay stock exchange, for the period of seven years are carried out. The hypothesis of no difference between yearly means is tested by a one-way analysis of variance. The hypothesis of stability of returns will be rejected only if the calculated F-value exceeds the critical F-value, which is 2.124 at 5 per cent level of significance. The study found that forty-two out of fifty sample stocks have stable yearly means during the study period and also BSE-100 has stable yearly means because calculated F-value does not exceed critical F-value.

Key Words: Average Return, Variance, F-value.

Introduction

Over the period of four decades, the normality of return distributions has been extensively tested for the developed capital markets like U.S.A, Australia and Europe. However, there has been a very little testing of this assumption in a developing capital market like India. This assumption is also important to find out the evidence in support of market model and capital asset pricing model. So, the test of this assumption for the Indian stock market is deemed essential because the modern market theory, which assumes normality, is gaining wide acceptance.

The main objective of the present paper is to test the stability of return distribution on Indian stocks.

In India obaidulla (1991) tested the normality of stock market returns. He used Sensex data from April 1979 to August 1991 and Natex data from April 1984 to November 1991. The daily returns were computed as percentage price changes and the monthly returns were computed both as percentage price changes and logarithmic price changes. He found that daily returns of both indexes differed significantly from normality, whereas monthly Sensex returns were not significantly different from a normal distribution. The monthly returns were positively skewed and leptokurtic but not statistically significant. He also found that the deviations were not statistically significant and were less when returns were measured as logarithmic price differences as against the percentage price changes.

In another study, Sehgal (1994) used data of the Natex and 80 individual securities over the period from April 1984 to March 1993 and used logarithmic price changes. Testing for the significance of skewness and kurtosis, he found that for Natex skewness is not significant but kurtosis is significant. For individual securities a vast majority had significantly positive kurtosis. Further, each of the randomly formed portfolios of eight securities were also found to significantly deviate from normality. However, the sample period includes the security scam period of February 1992 to May 1992 during which period there were extreme variations in the indices and stock prices. Therefore, he clearly rejected the normal distribution as a description of the log distribution of returns of Indian stocks.

Gali (1995) tested the normality of the returns of Sensex, ET index and Natex during May 1987 to June 1994. He constructed daily, weekly, settlement period-wise and monthly returns. Monthly and settlement period wise returns were normal for all the indices.

Data

In the present study, the tests of stability of return on 50 companies stocks from specified and unspecified group, listed on Bombay stock exchange, are carried out. The study covers a period of seven years from 1st January 1995 to 31st December 2001. Weekend prices of 50 sample companies have been adjusted for bonus issue, right issue, stock split, and stock merger. After that, weekly holding period percentage returns are calculated for further computation. The data for weekly stock prices are obtained from Prowess Database provided by the Center for Monitoring of Indian Economy, BSE website and Business Newspaper like Economic Times, Business Standard, Business Line, etc. The sample of companies is selected keeping in mind that the equity price for the company concerned is available across the time period under consideration. The sample securities, which have been considered, are given in Table 1 with their industry group and symbol. The present paper covers a big chunk of and post liberalization period. The test of stability is also done on BSE-National Index over the same time period as on individual stocks.

Methodology

To test the stability of return on sample securities, the weekly percentage returns during the study period, are divided on yearly basis. The hypothesis of no difference between yearly means is tested by a one-way analysis of variance. The F-value is tested for significance at 5 per cent level.

Results

First the stability of yearly means of individual series is tested. In table below, we have the mean of weekly percentage return of sample securities and BSE-100 for total period. The hypothesis of no difference between yearly means is tested by a one-way analysis of variance. The hypothesis

of stability of returns will be rejected only if the calculated F-value exceeds the critical F-value, which is 2.124 at 5 per cent level of significance.

Table: 2 Yearly Average Weekly Percentage Return and F-value

| Symbol Years | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | F-value |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| BSE-100 | -0.49 | -0.04 | 0.33 | -0.28 | 1.44 | -0.35 | -0.44 | 1.533 |
| S1 | -1.03 | 0.15 | -1.55 | 0.41 | 2.73 | -1.34 | 1.38 | 2.0275 |
| S2 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.25 | -0.16 | -0.67 | -0.54 | 1.18 | 0.7966 |
| S3 | -0.26 | 0.19 | 2.78 | 0.65 | 1.55 | -0.27 | 0.97 | 1.4410 |
| S4 | -0.98 | -0.14 | 1.39 | -2.43 | 0.96 | 1.22 | -0.73 | 1.3656 |
| S5 | -0.94 | -1.08 | 2.22 | -0.17 | 0.07 | -1.18 | -0.79 | 1.1825 |
| S6 | 0.08 | 1.04 | 0.04 | -1.00 | 2.26 | -1.45 | -0.73 | 1.7822 |
| S7 | 0.20 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.54 | 0.26 | -2.13 | 0.78 | 1.3280 |
| S8 | -0.63 | -0.45 | 0.52 | -0.45 | 2.30 | -0.46 | 0.07 | 1.0540 |
| S9 | -0.17 | -0.10 | 0.39 | 0.15 | 2.01 | -1.09 | 0.58 | 1.3834 |
| S10 | -0.24 | -0.05 | -0.96 | 0.07 | 1.30 | -0.37 | -0.22 | 0.7471 |
| S11 | -0.30 | -1.36 | -0.84 | 1.33 | 3.04 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 1.1037 |
| S12 | -0.46 | -0.68 | 1.67 | 5.23 | 2.61 | -0.17 | -2.74 | 2.0827 |
| S13 | -0.29 | -2.81 | 0.05 | 6.31 | 2.28 | -1.82 | -0.65 | 4.2066 |
| S14 | -0.12 | 1.34 | 2.46 | 1.96 | 4.88 | -0.91 | -0.05 | 2.7174 |
| S15 | -0.25 | 0.75 | 2.24 | 1.78 | 2.66 | -0.79 | -2.69 | 2.4675 |
| S16 | -1.24 | -0.86 | 1.13 | 3.49 | 4.43 | -0.64 | -0.66 | 2.4838 |
| S17 | -0.49 | 0.01 | 3.43 | 3.21 | 4.19 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 1.8278 |
| S18 | -1.15 | -0.50 | 1.70 | 3.21 | 4.78 | -1.58 | -1.70 | 2.3895 |
| S19 | -0.49 | -0.11 | 1.12 | 2.42 | 3.97 | -1.67 | -0.42 | 1.6217 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| S20 | -0.40 | 0.00 | 3.51 | 1.66 | 0.45 | -2.07 | -0.15 | 1.8795 |
| S21 | 0.37 | -0.31 | 3.28 | 2.74 | 4.54 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 1.5000 |
| S22 | -1.10 | -0.56 | -0.27 | -0.43 | 0.55 | -0.39 | -0.13 | 0.2581 |
| S23 | -0.70 | -1.56 | 0.00 | -0.62 | 1.47 | -0.23 | 0.09 | 0.5603 |
| S24 | -1.37 | -0.59 | 0.91 | -0.23 | 1.66 | -0.58 | 0.39 | 0.9912 |
| S25 | 0.40 | -0.22 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 0.61 | -1.43 | -0.32 | 0.7036 |
| S26 | -1.56 | -0.17 | -0.88 | 0.35 | 2.67 | -0.48 | 0.64 | 1.0428 |
| S27 | 0.09 | -0.21 | 0.02 | -0.38 | 2.92 | -1.63 | 0.18 | 2.4844 |
| S28 | -0.58 | -0.38 | 1.23 | 1.13 | 2.53 | -0.80 | -0.09 | 0.0816 |
| S29 | -0.59 | -0.42 | 1.03 | 0.94 | 2.38 | -0.90 | -0.12 | 2.2049 |
| S30 | -0.25 | 0.87 | -0.23 | 0.40 | -0.96 | 0.32 | -0.33 | 0.5079 |
| S31 | 0.17 | -1.42 | 0.01 | -0.10 | 0.47 | -1.18 | -1.40 | 0.6182 |
| S32 | 0.88 | 0.09 | -2.75 | 0.37 | -0.22 | -0.98 | 1.32 | 1.3220 |
| S33 | -1.28 | 1.86 | 1.14 | -0.28 | 0.10 | -0.07 | -0.08 | 1.0276 |
| S34 | -0.44 | 1.94 | -0.25 | -1.48 | 2.25 | -0.75 | -0.61 | 1.3818 |
| S35 | 0.36 | -1.02 | -2.16 | 1.74 | 0.98 | -0.71 | -0.35 | 1.1840 |
| S36 | -0.05 | 0.29 | -0.24 | -0.73 | 1.30 | 1.77 | -2.36 | 0.6729 |
| S37 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 1.45 | -1.05 | 0.36 | -0.98 | 0.29 | 0.7881 |
| S38 | -2.14 | -1.16 | 2.85 | 1.21 | 2.21 | -0.68 | -1.56 | 2.0545 |
| S39 | -2.01 | 0.22 | -0.82 | 1.19 | 1.91 | 0.70 | -0.08 | 0.4499 |
| S40 | -1.84 | -1.26 | 0.08 | -0.29 | 4.16 | -1.26 | -0.78 | 1.2975 |
| S41 | -0.91 | 0.10 | -0.25 | -0.38 | 2.36 | -0.71 | -1.33 | 0.7793 |
| S42 | -1.43 | -0.99 | 0.37 | 1.11 | 4.27 | 1.13 | -0.19 | 1.6924 |
| S43 | -1.47 | -1.34 | 0.09 | 1.92 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.77 | 0.8935 |
| S44 | -0.12 | -0.28 | -1.23 | 0.63 | 2.25 | -0.86 | -0.69 | 1.5947 |
| S45 | -0.93 | -0.20 | 0.26 | 1.35 | 3.94 | -1.34 | -0.38 | 2.1502 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| S46 | -1.43 | -0.92 | -0.04 | 1.74 | 1.43 | -0.07 | -1.04 | 0.8644 |
| S47 | -1.46 | -0.11 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.48 | -1.23 | -0.89 | 0.4402 |
| S48 | -1.80 | 1.02 | -0.02 | -1.98 | 1.66 | -0.01 | -0.36 | 1.0317 |
| S49 | -0.74 | -1.00 | 0.26 | 1.73 | 2.22 | -0.25 | 0.94 | 0.7583 |
| S50 | 0.47 | 1.49 | 1.26 | 0.07 | 0.65 | -1.08 | -0.34 | 1.3750 |

It can be inferred from the table that eight out of fifty sample stocks have significant F-values at 5 per cent level, during the study period. So, forty-two stocks have stable yearly means during the study period. Table exhibits that BSE-100 also has stable yearly means because calculated F-value does not exceed critical F-value.

REFERENCES

1. Blume, M.E. (1970), 'Portfolio Theory : A Step towards its Parctical Applications', *Journal of Business*, Vol. 43, pp. 152-73.
2. Bodic Zvi, Kane Alex, Marcus J. Alan (2002), 'Investment', *Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd. 5th ed.*, New Delhi.
3. Brealey Richard and Myers Steward (1996), 'Principal of Corporate Finance', 4th ed., *New Yprk : Mcgraw Hill, Inc.*, p. 143.
4. Chandra, P. (2002), 'Financial Management-Theory and Practice', *Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd. 5th ed.*, New Delhi.
5. Gupta, L.C. (1981), 'Rates of Return on Equities', *OxFord University Press*.
6. James, H. L., Dodd and Mary H. Kimston (1985), 'The Stock Market : Theories and Evidence', *New York, Richard D. Irwin, Inc.*, p. 143.

7. Obaidullah, M. (1991), 'The Distribution of Stock Returns', *Chartered Financial Analyst*, November.
8. Obaidullah, M. (1991a), 'Earnings, Stock Prices & Market Efficiency : Indian Evidence. Securities Industry Review', *Journal of the Singapore Securities Research Institute*, October.
9. Sehgal, Sanjay (1994), 'The Distribution of Stock Market Returns: Tests of Normality', *Indian Journal of Finance and Research*, July, Vol. 5, No. 2.
10. Vaidyanathan, R. (July-1995), 'CAPM-The Indian Context.' The ICFAI', *Journal of Applied Finance*. Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 134-154.

Appendix

Table : Sample Securities with Symbols and their Industry Group

| Symbols | Name of the Company | Industry |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| S1 | Ashok Leland Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S2 | Bajaj Auto Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S3 | Hero Honda Motors Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S4 | Kinetic Motor Co. Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S5 | L M L Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S6 | Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S7 | T V S Motor Co. Ltd. | Automobile Industry |
| S8 | Associated Cement Cos. Ltd. | Cement Industry |
| S9 | Gujarat Ambuja Cements Ltd. | Cement Industry |
| S10 | Madras Cements Ltd. | Cement Industry |

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| S11 | Shree Cement Ltd. | Cement Industry |
| S12 | D S Q Software Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S13 | H C L Infosystems Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S14 | Infosys Technologies Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S15 | N I I T Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S16 | Rolta India Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S17 | Satyam Computer Services Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S18 | Silverline Technologies Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S19 | Tata Elxsi Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S20 | Tata Infotech Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S21 | Wipro Ltd. | Computer Industry |
| S22 | Bombay Burmah Trdg. Corpn. Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S23 | Century Textiles & Inds. Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S24 | E I D-Parry (India) Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S25 | I C I India Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S26 | Kesoram Industries Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S27 | Larsen & Toubro Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S28 | Tata Chemicals Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S29 | Voltas Ltd. | Diversified Industry |
| S30 | Asea Brown Boveri Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S31 | Asian Electronics Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S32 | Bharat Bijlee Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S33 | Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S34 | Birla Yamaha Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S35 | Crompton Greaves Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S36 | Emco Ltd. | Electrical Industry |

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| S37 | Honda Siel Power Products Ltd. | Electrical Industry |
| S38 | B P L Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S39 | B S T Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S40 | J C T Electronics Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S41 | Kalyani Sharp India Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S42 | Mirc Electronics Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S43 | Philips India Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S44 | Siemens Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S45 | Tata Honeywell Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S46 | Videocon International Ltd. | Electronic Industry |
| S47 | Avery India Ltd. | Engineering Industry |
| S48 | Bharat Earth Movers Ltd. | Engineering Industry |
| S49 | Manugraph India Ltd. | Engineering Industry |
| S50 | Swaraj Engines Ltd. | Engineering Industry |